

From Bright Windows to Dark Spots: Snow Cover Controls Melt Pond Optical Properties During Refreezing

P. Anhaus^{1*}, C. Katlein¹, M. Nicolaus¹, M. Hoppmann¹, C. Haas^{1,2}

¹Alfred-Wegener-Institut Helmholtz-Zentrum für Polar- und Meeresforschung,
Bremerhaven, Germany

²Department of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

*Corresponding author: Philipp Anhaus (philipp.anhaus@awi.de)

Contents of this file

Figures S1 to S4

Tables S1 to S2



Figure S1: Photograph as of 23 August illustrating that refrozen melt ponds have a recessed topographic position within the adjacent bare ice.




Figure S2: Histograms of light transmittance as measured on 24 August (summer) and 13 September (autumn) of melt ponds and bare ice combined.




Figure S3: (a) Snow depth and (b) ice thickness on ponded sea ice as measured on 14 September (autumn). The background images are orthorectified aerial images acquired during a drone flight on 13 September. Pixels within the area of focus that were classified as melt pond during the summer are colored in light blue to illustrate the refrozen and snow-covered ponds during autumn. The edges around the melt ponds were dilated by a buffer of about 2 m. This area is indicated by a brighter blue. Red labels indicate the marker (M) and transponder locations (T). The ROV tent and control hut are visible on the lower left corners of the images.




Figure S4: Simulated light transmittance depending on the snow depth as modelled by DORT2002 for four cases: bare ice (light red), melt ponds (light blue), snow-covered bare ice (red), and snow-covered melt ponds (blue).

Table S1: Parameters used in the radiative transfer model. SSL is the surface scattering layer. The melt pond depth is based on the in-situ average melt pond depth measured at six marker locations. The scattering coefficient for cold dry snow was provided by Perovich (1990). The other parameters were chosen with respect to Ehn et al. (2008), Light et al. (2008), Petrich et al. (2012b), and Katlein et al. (2021) and adjusted so that they resulted in transmittance values similar to our observations. A Henyey–Greenstein phase function with an asymmetry parameter $g = 0.9$ was used for all layers.

Type	Layer Thickness [m]		Scattering Coefficient [m ⁻¹]	Absorption Coefficient [m ⁻¹]	Refractive Index
	Bare	Pond			

Snow	0 - 0.2	0 - 0.2	800	0.15	1.33
SSL	0.1	-	250	0.15	1.33
Interior ice	2.0	1.8	25	0.15	1.33
Pond	-	0.3	0	0.10	1.30

Table S2: Statistics of measured snow depth (m), ice thickness (m), and light transmittance (%), of melt ponds and bare ice. N is the number of measurements. The modes were read from histograms (Figure 2) with bin widths of 0.01 m, 0.10 m, and 0.5 %, respectively.

Variable	Date	Type	N	Min	Max	Mean	Std	Median	Mode
Snow Depth [m]	Autumn 14.09.	Bare	1 308	0.04	0.25	0.11	0.03	0.10	0.09
		Pond	887	0.05	0.32	0.14	0.05	0.13	0.10
Ice Thickness [m]	Autumn 14.09.	Bare	26 831	1.25	2.83	1.90	0.21	1.92	2.00
		Pond	18 794	1.14	2.32	1.73	0.19	1.75	1.80
Transmittance [%]	Summer 24.08.	Bare	830	0.7	15.5	4.1	1.9	3.9	4.5
		Pond	859	1.6	23.2	8.9	5.5	7.1	5.5
	Autumn 13.09.	Bare	466	0.2	3.4	1.8	0.7	1.9	2.0
		Pond	328	0.4	3.1	1.3	0.6	1.2	1.0

References

- Ehn, J. K., Papakyriakou, T. N., & Barber, D. G. (2008). Inference of optical properties from radiation profiles within melting landfast sea ice. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(C09024). doi:10.1029/2007jc004656
- Katlein, C., Valcic, L., Lambert-Girard, S., & Hoppmann, M. (2021). New insights into radiative transfer within sea ice derived from autonomous optical propagation measurements. *The Cryosphere*, 15(1). doi:10.5194/tc-15-183-2021

- Light, B., Grenfell, T. C., & Perovich, D. K. (2008). Transmission and absorption of solar radiation by Arctic sea ice during the melt season. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113(C03023). doi:10.1029/2006jc003977
- Perovich, D. K. (1990). Theoretical estimates of light reflection and transmission by spatially complex and temporally varying sea ice covers. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 95(C6). doi:10.1029/JC095iC06p09557
- Petrich, C., Nicolaus, M., & Gradinger, R. (2012b). Sensitivity of the light field under sea ice to spatially inhomogeneous optical properties and incident light assessed with three-dimensional Monte Carlo radiative transfer simulations. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*, 73. doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2011.12.004