

# Bed topography controls response time of Greenland outlet glacier mass loss

Denis Felikson<sup>1,\*</sup>, Ginny Catania<sup>2,3</sup>, Mathieu Morlighem<sup>4</sup>, and Timothy Bartholomaus<sup>5</sup>

\*denis.felikson@nasa.gov



## Take-home messages

1. Outlet glaciers with gentle bed topography allow terminus-initiated thinning to diffuse far inland and respond slower.
2. Outlet glaciers with mountainous bed topography limit spatial extent of terminus-initiated thinning and respond faster.
3. Refining the timing of sea-level rise over next 100 years from GrIS requires modeling response of each individual outlet glacier.

## Sea-level rise potential

If perturbed, glaciers will thin from terminus to length of drawn flowlines.

Glaciers ranked by potential contribution to sea-level rise (method below) with top 20 highest potential contributors numbered.

Glaciers like KAK (#4) in Northwest Greenland will thin far inland and will respond slower.

Glaciers like KLG (#13) in East Greenland will not thin far inland and will respond faster.

n = 141 marine-terminating outlet glaciers surveyed

### Method

1. Find  $Pe=3$  predicted inland thinning limits for flowlines surveyed across glacier flow
2. **Glacier-wide thinning limit:** maximum distance to  $Pe=3$  thinning limits minus 1 std. dev. of distances to all across-flow  $Pe=3$  thinning limits
3. **Sea-level rise potential:** rank glaciers separately by (1) glacier-wide thinning limits and (2) rank glaciers by ice flux. Then, rank by the average of (1) and (2).

## Spatial extent of thinning in response to terminus retreat

### Kakivfaat Sermiat (KAK)

bed topography	geometric thinning limits*	ice discharge	spatial extent of thinning	response time
gentle (typical of northwest)	scattered, some far inland	low (3 km <sup>3</sup> /yr)	100s of km inland	slower
mountainous (typical of east)	clustered, at heads of troughs	high (13 km <sup>3</sup> /yr)	limited to 35 km of terminus	faster

### Kangerlussuaq Gletscher (KLG)

### How did we do the modeling?

We used the Ice Sheet System Model (ISSM)

1. Initialize glacier with pre-retreat geometry/velocity (SSA physics)
2. Force glacier to retreat (level-set method)
3. Allow glacier to respond to retreat until year 2100

### After retreat, modeled dynamic thinning extends 100s of km inland.

### After retreat, modeled dynamic thinning is limited to 35 km from terminus.

## Response time of mass loss

- KAK reponds more slowly than KLG  
KLG 90% of response @ 2045  
KAK 90% of response @ 2142  
- At 2200, KAK mass loss exceeds KLG

years of terminus retreat

ice mass loss (Gt)

sea-level equivalent (mm)

time (yr)

## \*Using Peclet number (Pe) to predict thinning

Simple metric, based on geometry, to predict how far inland thinning can spread from terminus.

- ① After terminus retreat, thinning behaves like a diffusive-kinematic wave:
- ② By comparing Pe to measured dynamic thinning ...

modified from Felikson et al., 2017

**Peclet number** =  $\frac{\text{downglacier advection}}{\text{upglacier diffusion}}$

... we find that thinning diffuses upstream until  $Pe=3$  (Felikson et al., 2017).

<sup>1</sup> NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (work done while at the Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX)  
<sup>2</sup> University of Texas Institute for Geophysics, Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX  
<sup>3</sup> Jackson School of Geosciences, Univ. of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX  
<sup>4</sup> Dept. of Earth System Science, Univ. of California, Irvine, CA  
<sup>5</sup> Dept. of Geological Sciences, Univ. of Idaho, Moscow, ID

**References.**  
Felikson, D., Bartholomaus, T.C., Catania, G.A., Korsgaard, N.J., Kjær, K.H., Morlighem, M., Noël, B., van Den Broeke, M., Stearns, L.A., Shroyer, E.L. and Sutherland, D.A., 2017. Inland thinning on the Greenland ice sheet controlled by outlet glacier geometry. *Nature Geoscience*, 10(5), p.366.

**Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by NASA grant NNX12AP50G.