

Cloud impacts on photochemistry

Statistical analysis of global chemistry models and measurements from the NASA Atmospheric Tomography (ATom) mission



Samuel R. Hall¹, K. Ullmann¹, M.J. Prather², C.M. Flynn², L.T. Murray³, A.M. Fiore^{4,5}, G. Correa⁴, S.A. Strode^{6,7}, S.D. Steenrod^{6,7}, J.-F. Lamarque¹, J. Guth⁸, B. Josse⁸, J. Flemming⁹, V. Huijnen¹⁰, N.L. Abraham^{11,12}, A.T. Archibald^{11,12}

Introduction

The influence of clouds on photochemistry remains a significant uncertainty in global chemistry models. Variability in cloud fraction, morphology, phase and optical properties challenges the model determinations of photolysis rates (j-values) as horizontal resolutions far exceed the scale of most clouds.


The airborne NASA ATom mission provided an extensive set of measurements to statistically examine how clouds alter j-values throughout remote ocean basins.

The J-values were calculated from

- 9 global chemistry – climate / transport models
- Actinic flux measurements along the NASA DC-8 flight track

We focus on model and measurement comparisons during the north and tropical Pacific transects during the first deployment (ATom-1) including regular profiles through cloudy, partly cloudy and clear conditions. The statistical cloud impact on J-values emerges through the distribution of the ratio of cloud influenced models and measurement to corresponding cloud-free model runs (J-cloud/J-clear).

For comparison, we also show CAFS observations for the complete mission (ATom 1-4) including the tropical Atlantic and global datasets to assess the representativeness and variability of the dataset.



- PI: Steven C. Wofsy, Harvard
- 4 DC-8 aircraft campaigns in 4 seasons
- Systematic, global-scale profile sampling
- 20 instrument payload: trace gases, aerosols, actinic flux
- Anthropogenic impacts on remote trace gases and aerosols
- Focus on CH₄, O₃ and aerosols for climate change influences
- Improve GCM chemistry and prediction
- Satellite validation

Discussion

ATom 1: CAFS observations guide the accuracy of J-value calculations in global models









- Models reproduce general enhancement above and shading below cloud
- Models vary in distribution patterns
- Models diverge into two distinct classes of higher and lower clear-sky prevalence
 - CAFS data supports lower prevalence but not robustly enough to be conclusive
- CAFS/TUV rlnJ exhibits broader features due to nearby cloud influences or incomplete albedo and aerosol parameterizations in the cloud-free model

ATom 1-4: CAFS full data set now available for additional analysis

- Tropical Pacific region is relatively most consistent across seasons
- Tropical Atlantic is more variable, likely due to aerosols and greater cloud dynamics
- CAFS enhancements above boundary layer consistent with UCI and NCAR models
- Global analysis biased by flights with high polar albedos, particularly ATom-4









Tropical Pacific

20°S-20°N
160°E-120°E



North Pacific

20°N-50°N
170°E-135°W










Tropical Atlantic

20°S-20°N
40°W-10°W

Vertical profiles of JNO₂ (J-cloudy / J-clear)
for the Tropical and North Pacific blocks for ATom-1 (left). CAFS/TUV is determined from the measurements and position data along the flight path. The 10 models are sampled for cloudy and clear over 24 hours from a day in mid-August. Data is selected for SZA < 36°. Some of the spread in JNO₂ is likely due to different choices for interpolating cross sections and quantum yields.

CAFS/TUV for ATom 1-4 (below) for each region but adjusted for SZA < 60° to allow for significant data/bin.











Global

80.6°S-82.9°N
164.6°E-14.3°W




Vertical profiles of JNO₂ (J-cloudy / J-clear)
for the Tropical and North Pacific blocks for ATom-1 (left). CAFS/TUV is determined from the measurements and position data along the flight path. The 10 models are sampled for cloudy and clear over 24 hours from a day in mid-August. Data is selected for SZA < 36°. Some of the spread in JNO₂ is likely due to different choices for interpolating cross sections and quantum yields.

CAFS/TUV for ATom 1-4 (below) for each region but adjusted for SZA < 60° to allow for significant data/bin.



Modeled photolysis and cloud fields			
Model (abbrev)	Cloud data (resolution) and date	J-values and cloud fraction treatment	Model references including J-values
GEOSChem (GC)	Cloud CF+OD from MERRA-2; GC v11_01 (2.5°x2.0°) 2013 Aug 16	Fast-J* v7.0, single column Briegleb averaging**	Gelaro et al., 2017 Liu et al., 2006, 2009
GFDL AM3 (GFDL)	0.5° AM3 using 1.4° NCEP (u,v) (0.5°x0.5°) 2013 Aug 16	Fast-J v6.4, liquid cloud C1 (12 μm) and ice clouds per Fast-J Briegleb averaging	Donner et al., 2011 Naik et al., 2013; Mao et al., 2013 Li et al., 2018; Lin et al., 2012
GISS Model E2 (GISS)	Nudged to MERRA fields (2.5°x2.0°) 2013 Aug 16	Fast-J2	Schmidt et al., 2014 Shindell et al., 2012 Rienecker et al., 2011
GSFC GMI (GMI)	Cloud CF+OD from MERRA-2 (1.3°x1.0°) 2016 Aug 16	Fast-J v6.5, liquid cloud C1 (6 μm) and ice cloud hexagonal (50 μm) Briegleb averaging	Strahan et al., 2013 Duncan et al., 2007
ECMWF IFS (IFS)	IFS (0.7°x0.7°) 2016 Aug 15	Williams et al. (2012) Liquid cloud (4-16 μm, using CCN) ice clouds, random overlap	Flemming et al., 2015 Sun and Rikus, 1999; Sun, 2001
MOCA (MOCA)	ARPEGE operational analysis, 3h (1.0°x1.0°) 2017 Aug 16	From Brasseur et al. (1998), using CF and liquid water (10 μm) Briegleb averaging	Guth et al., 2016 Arteta & Flemming, 2015
CESM (NCAR)	CAM5 physics on MERRA (u,v,T, ...) (0.6°x0.5°) 2008 Aug 16	TUV lookup J-tables, scaled using CF and liquid water content Briegleb averaging	Tilmes et al., 2016 Madronich, 1987
UCI CTM (UCI)	IFS T159L60N160 forecasts by U Oslo (1.1°x1.1°) 2005 Aug 16	Cloud-J v7.3, quadrature column atmospheres from decorrelation length Liquid & ice clouds per Fast-J Briegleb averaging	Neu et al., 2007 Holmes et al., 2013 Prather 2015; Prather et al., 2017
UCI CTM (UCLb)	same as UCI	Cloud-J v7.3, single column Briegleb averaging	Same as UCI
UKCA (UKCA)	UK Unified Model (1.9°x1.3°) 2008 Aug 17	Fast-J v6.4 cloud optical depths per Telford et al (2013) Briegleb averaging	Morgenstern et al 2009 O'Connor et al 2014 Walters et al 2017

Cloud data includes: cloud fraction (CF), in-cloud ice/liquid water path and effective radius, or in-cloud ice/liquid optical depth (OD in the visible).
**Fast-J versions based on Bian and Prather (2007) with updates, including standard tables for cloud optical properties and simplified estimate of effective radius. Cloud C1 refers to Deirmendjian liquid cloud size distribution from the Fast-J data tables (Wild et al., 2000).
**Briegleb's (1992) method approximates max-random overlap with a single column atmosphere and adjusted effective CF such that the COD in the grid cell is COD(in-cell) = COD(in-cloud) x CF₉₂.



1. National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO
2. University of California, Irvine, CA
3. University of Rochester, Rochester, NY

1. Columbia University, NY, NY
2. Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY
3. NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

4. Universities Space Research Association, GEAR, Columbia, MD
5. CNRS-Météo-France, UMR 3589, Toulouse, France
6. European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK

7. Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, the Netherlands
8. University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
9. National Centre for Atmospheric Science, UK

Acknowledgements: The research was funded by NASA including award NNX15AG71A and by the NCAR Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory. NCAR is operated by the University Corporation for Atmospheric Research under the sponsorship of the National Science Foundation (NSF). Special thanks to Sasha Madronich, mission science collaborators, and the DC-8 crew and logistic teams.