



PHYSICS AND ENGINEERING PHYSICS

Assessing E-CHAIM ionospheric model with SuperDARN radars

A.V. Koustov, S. Ullrich, and D. Themens¹


Institute of **S**pace and **A**tmospheric **S**tudies

¹University of Birmingham/University of New Brunswick

Objectives

1. Determine whether ground scatter in SuperDARN HF observations can be used for testing E-CHAIM model
2. Focus on long-term trends, not on individual events
3. Conclude what can be said on trends given by E-CHAIM model

Ray-tracing modeling




(a) Ray tracing for 10 MHz radio waves transmitted at Rankin Inlet. The 2-D electron density distribution is given by E-CHAIM (Themens et al., 2017) for 19 UT on 6 March 2016.

(b) Expected power of 10 MHz echoes from various heights as a function of RKN range gate. Arbitrary units were used.

E region (below 120 km, green)
F region (above 120 km, blue)
Ground scatter, red

SuperDARN HF radars (10-12 MHz) in the Northern Hemisphere




Selected:

Saskatoon (auroral oval)

&

Rankin Inlet (polar cap)

Some problems with SuperDARN mapping




1. While mapping echo location, SuperDARN is using the model for the virtual height h_{virtual}

Improved method (Greenwald et al., 2017) of mapping using elevation angle data has not been implemented for routine measurements.

2. Ground scatter identification is not always reliable

3. HF radio waves are very sensitive to 3-D electron density distribution

Rankin Inlet observations, 02 Jun 2019, Ionospheric & Ground Scatter




Ground scatter (G-S) is shown in grey

Comparison for an individual event (02 June 2019), summer case

Observed ground scatter bands at 10 MHz


Model Power prediction in dB

Model Occurrence Rate prediction in %




SAS, 10 MHz, bb 5-10, Mar 2014

Experiment




SAS, 10 MHz, bb 5-10, Mar 2014


Experiment



Model



Model




Saskatoon

Experiment and modeling


Monthly-averaged
ground scatter echo
bands with 15-min
resolution, 10 MHz

Estimated band location
comparison- lines
directly

SAS, 10 MHz, 2014



RKN, 10MHz, bb5-10, Mar 2014






Rankin Inlet

Experiment and modeling

Monthly-averaged Ground scatter echo bands with 15-min resolution, 10 MHz

Estimated band location comparison- lines directly









A different way of assessing:

2-D pattern correlation

Considered correlation of diurnal variations at all range gates by allowing some range gate shift for a modeled distribution. Selected the optimal gate separation.


SAS, 10 MHz, 2014







Long-term trends

E-CHAIM NmF2 variations for the RKN and SAS viewing zones




Correlation coefficients for average band location




Auroral zone: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum in all seasons.

Polar Cap: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum in winter but improves in summer. Equinoctial maxima are changing to summer max, in agreement with NmF2

Correlation coefficients for 2-D patterns comparison



Auroral zone: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum in summer.



Polar Cap: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum in winter and somewhat in summer

Conclusions

1. Matching observed SuperDARN bands and predictions of the E-CHAIM model is doable but analysis is not straightforward owing to many factors affecting SuperDARN GS occurrence, e.g. presence of E region bands
2. Performed crude analysis shows that matching of predictions and observations are more successful for the polar cap
3. For the auroral zone: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum, stronger in summer
4. For the polar cap: Agreement deteriorates toward solar minimum in winter. Agreement is better for seasons with largest electron densities