

Impella insertion for residual aortic dissection

running head: Impella insertion for residual AD

Hisashi Yoshida M.D.¹, Yuki Ichihara M.D., Ph.D.^{1†}, Ryogo Hoki M.D.¹

Hiroshi Niinami M.D., Ph.D.¹

¹Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Tokyo Women's Medical University

†Corresponding author: Yuki Ichihara

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, Tokyo Women's Medical University, Japan

Address: 8-1, Kawada-cho, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan, 162-8666

Tel: (+81)-3-3353-8111

Fax: (+81)-3-3356-0441

Email: ichihara.yuki@twmu.ac.jp

Word count: 1130

Keywords: Impella, post-cardiotomy cardiogenic shock, aortic dissection

Abstract

Acute aortic dissection with coronary malperfusion is a life-threatening disease, resulting in demanding postoperative management. We here report a successful insertion

of percutaneous heart pump Impella through the intact true lumen in a patient with residual aortic dissection after the graft replacement and its recovery from the post-cardiotomy cardiogenic shock.

Introduction

Low cardiac output syndrome due to cardiogenic shock remains a leading cause of death following open-heart surgery.^[1] The Impella (Abiomed, Danvers, MA, USA) is a percutaneous ventricular assist device associated with favorable survival outcomes in

patients with PCCS,^[2-4] though little is known about indications for its use in patients with aortic dissection.

Presentation of Case

A 72-year-old male developed sudden chest pain and was urgently brought to our institute in shock status. Electrocardiogram findings revealed ST-segment elevation from the V1 to V6 leads, while globally reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 35% was shown by transthoracic echocardiography (TTE). Computed tomography (CT) revealed type A acute aortic dissection involving the brachiocephalic artery including the right axillary artery, extending to the terminal aorta ([Figure 1a-c](#)). Our heart team decided to perform an emergency operation. Cardiopulmonary bypass perfusion was made via a prosthetic graft anastomosed to the left axillary artery because the dissection of the right femoral artery was found in contrast to the preoperative CT findings. Total arch graft replacement, open stent-graft insertion, and coronary artery bypass grafting with a saphenous vein graft to the left anterior descending artery were performed ([Figure 1d](#)). The cardiopulmonary bypass was weaned with high-dose inotropes support. Postoperative clinical findings are shown in [Figure 2](#). On postoperative day (POD) 2, cardiac index gradually decreased despite further increasing

inotropic support. Coronary angiography showed the bypass graft was patent. We decided the induction with an Impella 2.5, which was inserted via the non-affected left femoral artery in a traditional manner under fluoroscopy guidance ([Supplementary File](#)). No adverse event associated with the insertion of the device as well as the guiding wire was found. Over the following 6 days, significant clinical improvement was demonstrated and the Impella was removed on POD9 without any complications. Hemodynamics remained continuously stable free from inotropes, and native heart recovery with an LVEF of 47% was identified by TTE at 30 days after removal. Unfortunately, the patient died from acute respiratory distress syndrome due to the sudden hemopneumothorax on POD88.

Discussion

Use of an Impella provides hemodynamic stability and can rapidly reverse the death spiral of cardiogenic shock in a less-invasive manner,^[3] though abundant caution is needed for cases with vascular disorders such as aortic aneurysm or dissection.^[5] In the present case, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation and intra-aortic balloon pumping were clearly contraindicated. We carefully examined the access site and inserted an Impella 2.5 via the true lumen of the left femoral artery. Were that artery not available,

we had attempted to insert via the left axillary artery through the replaced prosthetic graft. It might have been chosen as a first choice in terms of the rapid and easy access; however, it has recently been reported that the longer implant duration of the Impella through the axillary artery correlates strongly with thrombus formation.^[6] Therefore, we thought that the insertion from this area in the present case is not always reasonable in consideration of the impact of the prosthetic graft accompanying with the anastomosis of the bypass graft in a middle way.

Regarding size selection, the Impella CP or 5.0 could have been the best size in terms of the adequate systemic flow for the patient's body surface area (1.7 m²). On the other hand, the Impella 2.5 has the smallest pump size with 12 Fr, thus it was considered capable of passing across the dissecting lumen. The improvement of the hemodynamics after insertion of the Impella 2.5 demonstrated that our strategy would have been suitable including the size selection and access site.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of Impella use for a patient with residual aortic dissection and it suggests that the device could be inserted through the true lumen in case. Nevertheless, careful evaluation of the access site and Impella size

selection are required.

Disclosure

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethics statement

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient's family for publication of this case report.

References

- 1) Griffith BP, Anderson MB, Samuels LE, *et al.* The RECOVER I: a multicenter prospective study of Impella 5.0/LD for postcardiotomy circulatory support. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;145:548-54.
- 2) Seyfarth M, Sibbing D, Bauer I, *et al.* A randomized clinical trial to evaluate the safety and efficacy of a percutaneous left ventricular assist device versus intra-aortic balloon pumping for treatment of cardiogenic shock caused by myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;52:1584-88.

- 3) David CH, Quessard A, Mastroianni C, *et al.* Mechanical circulatory support with the Impella 5.0 and the Impella Left Direct pumps for postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock at La Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital. *Eur J Cardiovasc Surg* 2020;57:183-88.
- 4) Sabra MJ, Andrews WG, Crandall ML, *et al.* The postoperative use of Impella as a ventricular assist device in high-risk patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery: A case series and comparison. *J Card Surg* 2020;35:113-117.
- 5) Farhat F, Sassard T, Attouf Y, *et al.* Abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery with mechanical support using the Impella microaxial blood pump. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2008;7:524-25.
- 6) Jones TL, Kearney KE, McCabe JM. Prevalence and Predictors of Vascular Thrombus Formation After Percutaneous Axillary Artery Impella Insertion. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2019;12:e008046.

Figure Legends

Figure 1

(a-c) Preoperative computed tomography (CT) imaging. (a) Sagittal and (b) axial images showing type A acute aortic dissection extending to terminal aorta with patency of false lumen. (c) Axial images showing both femoral arteries appeared to be intact. (d) Postoperative schema of total aortic arch replacement with four-branched prosthetic graft (PG) and open stent-graft (OSG) insertion, and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) with saphenous vein graft (SVG) to the left anterior descending coronary artery (LAD). An 8-mm PG was interposed between the branch of the 8-mm aortic graft and left axillary artery. The native left subclavian artery was ligated.

Figure 2

Postoperative clinical course before and after insertion of Impella 2.5. The cardiac index (CI) value and the mixed venous oxygen saturation (SvO₂) in the Swan-Ganz catheter were improved.

DOA, dopamine; DOB, dobutamine; POD, postoperative day; P level, support level of Impella; Flow, estimated blood flow with Impella, BP, blood pressure