

FRACTIONAL SCHRÖDINGER-POISSON SYSTEM WITH LOW ORDER TERM

SHAOYING ZHANG, KAIMIN TENG AND QUANQING LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the following fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u + \lambda K(x)\phi u = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + b(x)|u|^2u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (-\Delta)^t \phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $s, t \in (0, 1)$, $\lambda > 0$, $2 < p < 4$, $K(x)$, $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are nonnegative functions satisfying some suitable conditions. We establish the existence of nontrivial solutions by using a refinement constrained minimization methods combining with compactness-concentration arguments.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + u + \lambda K(x)\phi u = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + b(x)|u|^2u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (-\Delta)^t \phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\lambda > 0$, $2 < p < 4$, $s, t \in (0, 1)$, $2s + 2t > 3$ and $K(x)$, $a(x)$, $b(x)$ are nonnegative functions. we assume that the function $a(x)$ and $b(x)$, $K(x)$ satisfy the following assumptions:

(H_1) $a(x)$ is a positive continuous function on \mathbb{R}^3 , then we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = a_\infty > 0, \quad a_{max} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} a(x) < \frac{a_\infty}{A(p)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}},$$

where

$$A(p) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, & \text{if } 2 < p \leq 3, \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } 3 < p < 4; \end{cases}$$

(H_2) $K(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ is a non-negative function on \mathbb{R}^3 and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} K(x) = K_\infty \geq 0;$$

(H_3) $b(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a non-negative continuous function on \mathbb{R}^3 such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} b(x) = 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B38, 35R11.

Key words and phrases. Fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system; nontrivial solution; positive solution; variational method; concentration-compactness principle.

When $s = t = 1$, problem (1.1) reduces to the following system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + u + K(x)\phi u = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + b(x)|u|^2u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and its more general form is written as

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u + K(x)\phi u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ -\Delta\phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where $f(x, u) \in C(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Problem (1.3) can be regarded as a model to describe the interaction between charged particles and electrostatic field, which was proposed by Benci-Fortunato [5] in quantum mechanics. The nonlinearity $f(x, u)$ represents the particles interacting with each other, u and ϕ represent the wave functions associated with the particle and the electric potential. We refer the interesting readers to see [1] for more detailed information on mathematical and physical backgrounds.

In recent years, Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a local nonlinear term $f(x, u)$ have been studied in depth. For system (1.3), there have been extensive studies under different assumptions of V , K and f . For example, see [2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 6, 14, 15, 19, 30, 28, 32, 31] and the references therein. In the case of the critical $f = |u|^{p-2}u + u^5$ with $4 < p < 6$ and the subcritical $3 < p < 6$, the existence of ground state solutions were proved in [3]. For the case $p \leq 2$ or $p \geq 6$, the reader may see [9] and for the case $2 < p < 6$, can see [2, 3, 7, 8, 19]. When V is non-radial, $K \equiv 1$ and $f = |u|^{p-2}u$, system (1.3) has a ground state solution in [3] and [30] for $4 < p < 6$ and $3 < p \leq 4$. In [26], when $V \equiv 1$ and $f = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ with $4 < p < 6$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, Varia proved the exist of ground state solutions in the case of $4 < p < 6$ if $\lambda > 0$ and $2 < p < 6$ if $\lambda < 0$.

On the other hand, for problem (1.1), we give its more general form by

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u + K(x)\phi u = f(x, u) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (-\Delta)^t \phi = K(x)u^2 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

In recent years, the system (1.4) are receiving a great attention. For example, in [29], Zhang, Do ó and Squassina considered the existence of radial ground state solution to the fractional Schrödinger-poisson system with a general subcritical or critical nonlinearity as $V(x) = 0$, $K(x) = \lambda > 0$. In [23], when $f(x) = |u|^{p-1}u$, Teng established the existence of ground state solution to the nonlinear fractional Södinger-Poisson system (1.4) when $2 < p < 2_s^*$, and system (1.4) has a trivial solution when $1 < p \leq 2$, $K(x) = \lambda \geq \frac{1}{4}$ or $p = 2_s^* - 1$. In [22], Teng studied the existence of a nontrivial ground state solution through using the method of Pohozaev-Nehari manifold and the arguments of Brezis-Nirenberg, the monotonic trick and global compactness Lemma for $f(x, u) = \mu|u|^{q-1}u + |u|^{2_s^*-2}u$ with $q \in (1, 2_s^* - 1)$. For other related works, we refer the readers to see [13, 18, 20, 24, 25] and so on.

As far as we know, there are few results about the case of $2 < p \leq 4$ and $\lambda > 0$. In very recent, Sun and Wu, Feng [21] established the existence of ground state solutions and positive solutions to the non-autonomous fractional Schrödinger-poisson system (1.3) when $f(x, u) = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u$. Motivated by the above mentioned works, the purpose of this study is to prove the existence results of positive solutions for system (1.1) under $2 < p < 4$. Observed that the usual Nehari manifold is not ideal choices because the energy functional I constrained on its Nehari manifold is not

bounded below when $2 < p < 4$. To kill this obstacle, based on recent study [21], through introducing a new set $N(c)$, which is sub-level set of the Nehari manifold

$$N(c) = \{u \in \mathcal{N} : I(u) < c\},$$

where N is the Nehari manifold and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $N(c)$ is a subset of the Nehari manifold and it can be divided into two parts

$$N(c) = N^{(1)}(c) \cup N^{(2)}(c), \quad N^{(1)}(c) = \{u \in N(c) : \|u\|_{H^s} < C_1\}$$

and

$$N^{(2)}(c) = \{u \in N(c) : \|u\|_{H^s} > C_2\}.$$

Moreover, local minimum of I on each set is a critical point of I in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The advantage of this subset is that the functional I constrained on $N^{(1)}(c)$ is bounded below so that we can consider the corresponding minimum problem $\inf_{u \in N^{(1)}(c)} I(u)$.

Applying this approach, we can prove the existence of nontrivial solutions of system (1.1). Compare with the work of [21], we introduce a perturbation term $b(x)u^3$, this will make more careful analysis, except that our problem (1.1) is a class of nonlocal problem.

Now, we introduce some notations.

- (a): S is the best constants for the embedding of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in $L^{\frac{12}{3+2s}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- (b): \bar{S}_t is the best constants for the embedding of $D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in $L^{2^*_t}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.
- (c): S_p is the best Sobolev constant for the embedding of $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Remark 1.1. For $2 < p < 4$, it is not difficult to show that

$$A(p) < \frac{1}{\sqrt{e}} < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A(p) \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > 1.$$

Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $2 < p < 4$, $K(x) = K_\infty > 0$ and $a(x) = a_\infty > 0$, $b(x) = b_\infty = 0$. Then for each $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, system (1.1) has a positive solution $(w_\lambda, \phi_{w_\lambda}^t)$, and it satisfies

$$0 < \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s} < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$$

and

$$\alpha_0^\infty < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} := J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) < \frac{A(p)(p-2)}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $2 < p < 4$, $K_\infty > 0$ and conditions (H_1) - (H_3) hold. In addition, we assume that

(H_4) : $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a(x) - a_\infty] w_\lambda^p dx \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx$ but the equality signs can not hold at the same time, where w_λ is the positive solution is given in Theorem 1.2.

Then for each $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $(v_\lambda, \phi_{v_\lambda}^t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and it satisfies

$$0 < \|v_\lambda\|_{H^s} < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$$

and

$$\frac{p-2}{4p}C_0 \leq J_\lambda(v_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $2 < p < 4$, $K_\infty \geq 0$ and conditions (H_1) - (H_3) hold. In addition, we assume that*

(H_5) : $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a(x) - a_\infty] w_0^p dx > 0$ where w_0 is the unique positive solution of equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = a_\infty |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (E_0^\infty)$$

Then there exists $0 < \widehat{\Lambda} < \Lambda$ such that for each $0 < \lambda < \widehat{\Lambda}$, system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $(u_0, \phi_{u_0}^t) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and it satisfies

$$0 < \|u_0\|_{H^s} < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{\max}(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$$

and

$$\frac{p-2}{4p}C_0 \leq J_\lambda(u_0) < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0. \end{cases}$$

The structure of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce some technical Lemmas. In section 3, we prove Theorem1.2. Section 4 and Section 5 are devoted to proving Theorem1.3 and Theorem1.4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the second equation of system (1.1), applying the Lax-Milgram theorem, for each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, when $4s + 2t \geq 3$, there exists a unique $\phi_u^t \in D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\phi_u^t(x) = C_t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{K(y)u^2(y)}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dy \quad \text{where} \quad C_t = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-2t} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{3-2t}{2})}{\Gamma(t)}, \quad (2.1)$$

and then $(-\Delta)^t \phi = K(x)|u|^2$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Replacing it into the first equation of system (1.1), we get that

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u + \lambda K(x)\phi_u^t u = a(x)|u|^{p-2}u + b(x)|u|^2 u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (E_\lambda)$$

Equation (E_λ) is variational, and its solutions are the critical points of the functional $J_\lambda(u)$ defined in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx.$$

Obviously, $J_\lambda \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\lambda(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + u \varphi dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u \varphi dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^2 u \varphi dx, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where J'_λ denotes the Fréchet derivative of J_λ . Note that $(u, \phi) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a solution of system (1.1) if and only if u is a critical point of J_λ and $\phi = \phi_u^t$.

Define the Nehari manifold for the functional J_λ as follows

$$M_\lambda := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

clearly, $u \in M_\lambda$ if and only if

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0.$$

Let $h_{\lambda,u} : t \rightarrow J_\lambda(tu)$ for $t > 0$, such map was introduced by Drábek-Pohozaev in [10], which is known as fibering map. For $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$h_{\lambda,u}(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - \frac{t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx,$$

$$h'_{\lambda,u}(t) = t \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda t^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - t^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - t^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx,$$

$$h''_{\lambda,u}(t) = \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 3\lambda t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - (p-1)t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - 3t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx.$$

Obviously, $h'_{\lambda,u}(t) = 0$ if and only if $tu \in M_\lambda$. Particularly, $h'_{\lambda,u}(1) = 0$ if and only if $u \in M_\lambda$. As usual, in order to find the local minimizer of J_λ , we split the set M_λ into three parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} M_\lambda^+ &= \{u \in M_\lambda : h''_{\lambda,u}(1) > 0\}, \\ M_\lambda^0 &= \{u \in M_\lambda : h''_{\lambda,u}(1) = 0\}, \\ M_\lambda^- &= \{u \in M_\lambda : h''_{\lambda,u}(1) < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $2 < p < 4$, $J_\lambda(u)$ is coercive and bounded below on M_λ^- . For any $u \in M_\lambda^-$, $J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} C_0$.*

Proof. For all $u \in M_\lambda$, by the Sobolev inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 &\leq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \\ &\leq a_{\max} S_p^{-p} \|u\|_{H^s}^p + b_{\max} S_4^{-4} \|u\|_{H^s}^4, \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leq a_{\max} S_p^{-p} \|u\|_{H^s}^{p-2} + b_{\max} S_4^{-4} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \max\{a_{\max} S_p^{-p}, b_{\max} S_4^{-4}\} (\|u\|_{H^s}^{p-2} + \|u\|_{H^s}^2) \\ &\leq 2 \max\{a_{\max} S_p^{-p}, b_{\max} S_4^{-4}\} \max\{\|u\|_{H^s}^{p-2}, \|u\|_{H^s}^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\|u\|_{H^s}^2$ is bounded below, i.e.,

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \geq C_0 > 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where C_0 is a positive constant only dependent of a_{\max} , b_{\max} , S_4 and S_p . Thus, for each $u \in M_\lambda^-$, by (2.2) and the definition of $h'_{\lambda,u}(1)$, we deduce that

$$J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} C_0.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that u_0 is a local minimizer for J_λ on M_λ and $u_0 \notin M_\lambda^0$, then $J'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$.*

Proof. Let

$$G(u) = \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx.$$

Using the Lagrange multiplier theorem, there exists $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$J'_\lambda(u) + \mu G'(u) = 0.$$

Next, we prove that $\mu = 0$. Otherwise, we have

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle + \mu \langle G'(u), u \rangle = 0,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \\ & + \mu \left[2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in M_\lambda$, then $\langle J'_\lambda(u), u \rangle = 0$. So we deduce

$$2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0,$$

that is

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - 3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0,$$

which means that $u \in M_\lambda^0$, contradiction with $u \notin M_\lambda^0$. Thus $\mu = 0$ and then $J'_\lambda(u) = 0$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *For each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the following two inequalities are true.*

(i) $\phi_u^t \geq 0$;

(ii) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 \leq K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4$.

Proof. It follows from (2.1) that $\phi_u^t \geq 0$ holds. We will prove (ii) as follows.

By the definition of ϕ_u^t and Sobolev's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_u^t\|_{D^{t,2}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx \leq K_{max} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\phi_u^t|^{2^*_t} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*_t}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x)|^{\frac{12}{3+2t}} dx \right)^{\frac{3+2t}{6}} \\ &\leq K_{max} \bar{S}_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\phi_u^t\|_{D^{t,2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(x)|^{\frac{12}{3+2t}} dx \right)^{\frac{3+2t}{6}} \\ &\leq K_{max} \bar{S}_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^{-1} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \|\phi_u^t\|_{D^{t,2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the following

$$\|\phi_u^t\|_{D^{t,2}} \leq K_{max} \bar{S}_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^{-1} \|u\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Thus, by Höder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 &\leq K_{max} \|\phi_u^t\|_{L^{2^*_t}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}}}^2 \leq K_{max} \bar{S}_t^{-\frac{1}{2}} S^{-1} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \|\phi_u^t\|_{D^{t,2}} \\ &\leq K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the conclusion (ii) follows. \square

For $2 < p < 4$ and any $u \in M_\lambda$ with $J_\lambda(u) < A(p)\left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right)\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A(p)\left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right)\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > J_\lambda(u) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx \\
 &= \frac{p-2}{2p}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{p-4}{4p}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx + \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx \quad (2.3) \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{p-4}{4p}\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p}\lambda K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Lambda = \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$$

and

$$\Lambda_0 = \left[1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right] \left(\frac{a_\infty}{S_p^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{\bar{S}_t S^2}{K_{max}^2}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $K_{max} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} K(x)$.

Moreover, consider the following quadratic equation

$$\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right) \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)}{pS_p^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} x^2 - x + A(p)\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

where $x = \|u\|_{H^s}^2$. It is not difficult to get its solutions,

$$x_0 = \frac{2\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - A(p)\left(1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}}\right)}{\left(1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - A(p)\left(1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}}\right)}{\left(1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\right)\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

We infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x_0 > 2\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}, \\
 & \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > x_1 > A(p)\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, we denote $G = (1 - A(p)\left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}})\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$. By (H_1) , we can easily see that $0 < G < 1$. By Remark 1.1, we have

$$1 - A(p)G > (1 - A(p)G)^2.$$

By (H_1) , we have $A(p) < \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$. Directly calculations, we have

$$1 - \sqrt{1 - A(p)G} < \frac{G}{2} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - A(p)G}\right)}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \\ &< \frac{G}{2} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{2}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} = \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by calculations, we have

$$2(1 - \sqrt{1 - A(p)G}) > A(p)G,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{2\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - A(p)G}\right)}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \\ &> \frac{A(p)G}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} = A(p) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using the fact of $1 - A(p)G > (1 - A(p)G)^2$ and $0 < G < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \frac{2\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - A(p)G}\right)}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > \frac{2\left(1 + 1 - A(p)G\right)}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \\ &= \frac{2\left(2 - A(p)G\right)}{G} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \geq 2 \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to $x_0 > 2\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$. Thus, (2.8) is proved.

For $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)}\left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}\Lambda_0$, according to (2.3) and (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), then there exist two positive numbers \widehat{D}_1 and \widehat{D}_2 satisfying

$$\sqrt{A(p)}\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < \widehat{D}_1 < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < \widehat{D}_2,$$

such that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} < \widehat{D}_1 \quad \text{or} \quad \|u\|_{H^s} > \widehat{D}_2.$$

Clearly, we can get that $\widehat{D}_1 \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow 4^-$.

Therefore, we define

$$\widetilde{M}_\lambda = \left\{ u \in M_\lambda : J_\lambda(u) < A(p)\left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right)\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \right\} = M_\lambda^{(1)} \cup M_\lambda^{(2)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$M_\lambda^{(1)} := \left\{ u \in \widetilde{M}_\lambda : \|u\|_{H^s} < \widehat{D}_1 \right\}, \quad M_\lambda^{(2)} := \left\{ u \in \widetilde{M}_\lambda : \|u\|_{H^s} > \widehat{D}_2 \right\}.$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} < \widehat{D}_1 < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, \quad \text{for any } u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|u\|_{H^s} > \widehat{D}_2 > \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, \quad \text{for any } u \in M_\lambda^{(2)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.4. For $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, we have $M_\lambda^{(1)} \subset M_\lambda^-$, $M_\lambda^{(2)} \subset M_\lambda^+$ are C^1 sub-manifolds. Furthermore, each local minimizer of the functional J_λ in the sub-manifolds $M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $M_\lambda^{(2)}$ is a critical point of J_λ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. For $u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$, by (2.10) and Sobolev's inequality, we have that

$$h''_{\lambda,u}(1) = -2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \leq -2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p)S_p^{-p}a_{max}\|u\|_{H^s}^p < 0,$$

which means that $M_\lambda^{(1)} \subset M_\lambda^-$.

For $u \in M_\lambda^{(2)}$, by (2.11), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{(4-p)}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx &= J_\lambda(u) < A(p) \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \\ &< \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} < \frac{p-2}{4p} \|u\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 < (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx, \quad \text{for any } u \in M_\lambda^{(2)}. \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.12), it follows that

$$h''_{\lambda,u}(1) = -2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx > 0.$$

Thus, $M_\lambda^{(2)} \subset M_\lambda^+$. According to Lemma 2.2, we know that each local minimizer of the functional J_λ in the sub-manifolds $M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $M_\lambda^{(2)}$ is a critical point of J_λ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The proof is completed. \square

For $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$T_a(u) = \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}.$$

Lemma 2.5. For each $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$(H_6): \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_{max}^2}{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^p$$

and

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx,$$

there exists a constant $\hat{t}_\lambda^{(0)} > \left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$ such that

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) = \inf_{\left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < t < \hat{t}_\lambda^{(0)}} J_\lambda(tu) < 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. For any $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$, and $t > 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(tu) &= \frac{t^2}{2}\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx - \frac{t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx \\ &= t^4 \left[g(t) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx \right] = h_{\lambda,u}(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(t) = \frac{t^{-2}}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{t^{p-4}}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx.$$

It is obvious that $J_\lambda(tu) = 0$ if and only if

$$g(t) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx = 0.$$

A direct calculation shows that

$$g(\widehat{t}_a) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = \infty \text{ and } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = 0,$$

where $\widehat{t}_a = (\frac{p}{2})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$. Hence,

$$g'(t) = -t^{-3} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{p-4}{p} t^{p-5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx = t^{-3} \left[-\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{4-p}{p} t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \right],$$

which implies that $g(t)$ is decreasing when $0 < t < (\frac{p}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$ and is increasing when $t > (\frac{p}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$. Thus, we have that

$$\inf_{t>0} g(t) = g \left[\left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) \right] = -\frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{p\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^2.$$

By Lemma 2.3 and Sobolev's inequality, for each $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_{max}^2}{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^p,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} g(t) &= -\frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{p\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< -\lambda K_{max}^2 \overline{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4 \leq -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx \\ &< -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the hypothesis, there exist $\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(0)}$ and $\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(1)}$ satisfying

$$0 < \widehat{t}_\lambda^{(1)} < \left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < \widehat{t}_\lambda^{(0)}, \quad (2.14)$$

such that

$$g(\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(j)}) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1,$$

that is,

$$J_\lambda(\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(j)} u) = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, 1.$$

Therefore, for each $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_{max}^2}{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^p,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J_\lambda \left[\left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) u \right] \\ = & \left[\left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) \right]^4 \left[g \left(\left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) \right) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \right] < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) < 0.$$

Note that

$$h'_{\lambda,u}(t) = 4t^3 \left[g(t) + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \right] + t^4 g'(t),$$

which means that

$$h'_{\lambda,u}(t) < 0 \text{ for all } t \in \left(\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(1)}, \left(\frac{p}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) \right],$$

and

$$h'_{\lambda,u}(t) \left(\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(0)} \right) > 0.$$

Thus, (2.13) is proved. \square

Lemma 2.6. *For $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying (H_6) , then the following two statements are true.*

(i) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx$, then there exist two constants t_λ^+ and t_λ^- which satisfy*

$$T_a(u) < t_\lambda^- < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < t_\lambda^+,$$

such that

$$t_\lambda^\pm u \in M_\lambda^\pm, \quad J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(tu)$$

and

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u) = \inf_{t \geq t_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(tu) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) < 0.$$

(ii) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx$, then there exist a constant t_λ^0 which satisfies*

$$0 < t_\lambda^0 < T_a(u),$$

such that

$$t_\lambda^0 u \in M_\lambda^-, \quad J_\lambda(t_\lambda^0 u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(u)} J_\lambda(tu).$$

Proof. Define

$$f(t) = t^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx \text{ for } t > 0.$$

It is easy to see that $tu \in M_\lambda$ if and only if

$$f(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0.$$

Directly computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(T_a(u)) &= \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{p-4}{p-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \\ &= \frac{(\|u\|_{H^s}^2)^{\frac{p-4}{p-2}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}}} - \frac{(\|u\|_{H^s}^2)^{\frac{p-4}{p-2}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t) = \infty, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) = 0.$$

From $2 < p < 4$ and

$$f'(t) = t^{-3} \left(-2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p)t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx \right),$$

we know that $f(t)$ is decreasing if $0 < t < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$ and is increasing if $t > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)$. Thus

$$\inf_{t>0} f(t) = f\left[\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)\right]. \quad (2.15)$$

For each $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_{max}^2}{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^p.$$

By Lemma 2.3, Sobolev's inequality, and $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)\right) &= -\left(\frac{p-2}{4-p}\right) \left(\frac{2\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< -2\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \lambda K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4 \\ &< -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx < -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

And, for $2 < p < 4$, by Remark 1.1 we have

$$T_a(u) < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u), \quad (2.16)$$

and it is not difficult to show that

$$\frac{\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)A(p)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1}{A(p)\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} > \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \quad (2.17)$$

By using (2.15)-(2.17), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u)\right) &= -\frac{\left[\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)A(p)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} - 1\right]}{A(p)\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}} \left(\frac{\|u\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u|^p dx} \right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< -\lambda K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u\|_{H^s}^4 \leq -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx \\ &< -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we need to distinguish two cases.

(i) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx$ holds, there exist two constants t_λ^+ and $t_\lambda^- > 0$ which satisfy

$$T_a(u) < t_\lambda^- < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(u) < t_\lambda^+, \quad (2.18)$$

such that

$$f(t_\lambda^\pm) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0,$$

which implies that $t_\lambda^\pm u \in M_\lambda$. Moreover, we have

$$h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^- u}(1) = -2 \|t_\lambda^- u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_\lambda^- u|^p dx = (t_\lambda^-)^5 f'(t_\lambda^-) < 0$$

and

$$h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^+ u}(1) = -2 \|t_\lambda^+ u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_\lambda^+ u|^p dx = (t_\lambda^+)^5 f'(t_\lambda^+) > 0.$$

These yield that $t_\lambda^\pm u \in M_\lambda^\pm$. It is not difficult to verify that $h'_{\lambda, u}(t) > 0$ if $t \in (0, t_\lambda^-) \cup (t_\lambda^+, \infty)$ and $h'_{\lambda, u}(t) < 0$ if $t \in (t_\lambda^-, t_\lambda^+)$. Hence, we obtain

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(tu), \quad J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u) = \inf_{t \geq t_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(tu)$$

and $J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u) < J_\lambda(t_\lambda^- u)$. By Lemma 2.5, we get

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu) < 0.$$

(ii) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx$ holds, similar to the proof of (i), there exists a constant t_λ^0 which satisfies

$$0 < t_\lambda^0 < T_a(u) \quad (2.19)$$

such that

$$f(t_\lambda^0) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx = 0,$$

which implies that $t_\lambda^0 u \in M_\lambda$. Moreover,

$$h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^0 u}(1) = -2 \|t_\lambda^0 u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_\lambda^0 u|^p dx = (t_\lambda^0)^5 f'(t_\lambda^0) < 0.$$

This means that $t_\lambda^0 u \in M_\lambda^-$ and $h'_{\lambda, u}(t) > 0$ if $t \in (0, t_\lambda^0)$, $h'_{\lambda, u}(t) < 0$ if $t \in (t_\lambda^0, +\infty)$. Hence,

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^0 u) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(u)} J_\lambda(tu).$$

This proof is completed. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, suppose that $K(x) \equiv K_\infty > 0$ and $a(x) \equiv a_\infty > 0$, $b(x) \equiv b_\infty = 0$.

Now, we consider the following limit problem associated to problem (E_λ) :

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u + \lambda K_\infty \phi_u^t u = a_\infty |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (E_\lambda^\infty)$$

The energy functional $J_\lambda^\infty : H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ corresponding to (E_λ^∞) is defined by

$$J_\lambda^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx,$$

clearly, $J_\lambda^\infty \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R})$ and its critical points are weak solutions of (E_λ^∞) .

Define

$$M_\lambda^\infty := \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

where $(J_\lambda^\infty)'$ is the Fréchet derivative of J_λ^∞ . Then, $u \in M_\lambda^\infty$ if and only if

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx = 0.$$

In particular, when $\lambda = 0$, equation E_λ^∞ reduces to following fractional Schrödinger equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = a_\infty |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (E_0^\infty)$$

Let w_0 be the unique positive solution of E_0^∞ (see [12]), we know that

$$w_0(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} w_0(x),$$

$$\|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx = \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_\infty} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\alpha_0^\infty := \inf_{u \in M_0^\infty} J_0^\infty(u) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_\infty} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

where J_0^∞ is the energy functional of equation (E_0^∞) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as follows

$$J_0^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$M_0^\infty = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus \{0\} : \langle (J_0^\infty)'(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Since $K(x) = K_\infty$ and $a(x) = a_\infty$, we have

$$\Lambda_0 = [1 - A(p)] \left(\frac{a_\infty}{S_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{\bar{S}_t S^2}{K_\infty^2}.$$

For $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, by (3.1), w_0 is the unique positive solution of equation (E_0^∞) , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx = a_\infty S_p^{-p} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p.$$

By (H_3) , similar to the proof of conclusion (i) of Lemma 2.6, there exist two constants $t_\lambda^{\infty,+}$ and $t_\lambda^{\infty,-}$ satisfying

$$1 < t_\lambda^{\infty,-} < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_\lambda^{\infty,+},$$

such that $t_\lambda^{\infty,\pm} w_0 \in M_\lambda^{\infty,\pm}$. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0) &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^{\infty,+}} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_0), \\ J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{\infty,+} w_0) &= \inf_{t \geq t_\lambda^{\infty,-}} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_0) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_0) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. $J_\lambda^\infty(u)$ is coercive and bounded below on $M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$. Furthermore, for all $u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, there holds $J_\lambda^\infty(u) \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$.

Proof. Let $u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, by Sobolev's inequality, we have that

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx \leq S_p^{-p} a_{max} \|u\|_{H^s}^p,$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx \geq \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \geq \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

For $u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{\lambda,u}^\infty)''(1) &= \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 3\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx - (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx \\ &= -2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx < 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus, we have

$$J_\lambda^\infty(u) = \frac{1}{4} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u|^p dx \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 \geq \frac{p-2}{4p} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}. \quad (3.4)$$

This completes the proof. \square

By a simple computation, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0) &= \frac{1}{2} \|t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0}^t (t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0)^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^2 \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} (t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^2 \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} (t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^p \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 = \frac{(t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^2}{4} \left[1 - \frac{4-p}{4p} (t_\lambda^{\infty,-})^{p-2} \right] \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< A(p) \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{p-2}{2p} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 = A(p) \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\|t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0\|_{H^s} < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_\infty} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < \widehat{D}_1.$$

Thus, $t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0 \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$, which implies that $M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$ is nonempty.

Similar to Lemma 2.4, we know that $M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, $M_\lambda^{\infty,(2)} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty,+}$. On the other hand, $M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$ or $M_\lambda^{\infty,(2)}$ is a sublevel set, and thus the infimums of

$J_\lambda^\infty(u)$ constrained on these two sets are equal. Hence, we can define the following minimum problem

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} &= \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}} J_\lambda^\infty(u) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}} J_\lambda^\infty(u), \\ \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,+} &= \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(2)}} J_\lambda^\infty(u) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{\infty,+}} J_\lambda^\infty(u).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, (3.5) and Lemma 6.1 in Appendix A, we have that

$$\frac{p-2}{4p} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \leq \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} < A(p) \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,+} = -\infty. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let $\{u_n\} \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$ satisfy

$$J_\lambda^\infty(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} + o(1) \quad \text{and} \quad (J_\lambda^\infty)'(u_n) = o(1) \quad \text{in } H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (3.8)$$

By virtue of Lemma 6.2 in Appendix B, we get

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

compactness holds for the sequence $\{u_n\}$. Then for each $\theta > 0$ there exist a positive constant $R = R(\theta)$ and a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{[B(z_n;R)]^c} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n(x)|^2 + u_n^2(x)) dx < \theta \quad \text{uniformly for } n \geq 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Let

$$v_n := u_n(\cdot + z_n) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

then $\{v_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$, and

$$\phi_{v_n}^t = \phi_{u_n(\cdot+z_n)}^t \quad \text{and} \quad J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} + o(1).$$

By (3.9), for each $\theta > 0$, there exists $R = R(\theta) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{[B(0;R)]^c} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} v_n(x)|^2 + v_n^2(x)) dx < \theta \quad \text{uniformly for } n \geq 1. \quad (3.10)$$

Since $\{v_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $w_\lambda \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$v_n \rightharpoonup w_\lambda \quad \text{weakly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (3.11)$$

$$v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \quad \text{strongly in } L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq r < 2_s^*, \quad (3.12)$$

$$v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

By (3.10)-(3.12) and Fatou's Lemma, for any $\theta > 0$ and sufficiently large $n(\geq 1)$, there exists a constant $R > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_n - w_\lambda|^p dx \\ & \leq \int_{[B(0;R)]} |v_n - w_\lambda|^p dx + \int_{[B(0;R)]^c} |v_n - w_\lambda|^p dx \\ & \leq \theta + \left(\int_{[B(0;R)]^c} v_n^2 dx \right)^{\frac{\tau p}{2}} \left(\int_{[B(0;R)]^c} v_n^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\tau)p}{2^*}} + \left(\int_{[B(0;R)]^c} w_\lambda^2 dx \right)^{\frac{\tau p}{2}} \left(\int_{[B(0;R)]^c} w_\lambda^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{(1-\tau)p}{2^*}} \\ & \leq \theta + 2\tilde{C}\theta^{\frac{\tau p}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that for every $r \in (2, 2_s^*)$, there holds

$$v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \text{ strongly in } L^r(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (3.13)$$

Since $\phi : L^{\frac{12}{3+2t}} \rightarrow D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is a continuous function, we get that

$$\phi_{v_n}^t \rightarrow \phi_{w_\lambda}^t \text{ in } D^{t,2}(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{v_n}^t v_n^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx. \quad (3.14)$$

Since $v_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$, by (3.3) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx \geq \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} > 0.$$

This implies that $w_\lambda \neq 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \geq \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 > 0. \quad (3.15)$$

Next, we prove that

$$v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda \text{ strongly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3),$$

suppose by the contrary that

$$\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s} < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{H^s}. \quad (3.16)$$

Similar to the argument of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$J_\lambda^\infty(tw_\lambda) = (h_{\lambda, w_\lambda}^\infty)(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda t^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \frac{t^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx,$$

and

$$(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda}^\infty)'(t) = t^3 \left(d^\infty(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \right) \text{ for } t > 0,$$

where $d^\infty(t) = t^{-2} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx$. Clearly, $d^\infty(T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)) = 0$. By (3.15), we have $T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) = \left(\frac{\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < 1$. Then, by (3.13)-(3.16)

$$(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda}^\infty)'(1) < 0,$$

$$(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda}^\infty)'(T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)) = (T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda))^3 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx > 0.$$

Hence, there exists $T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) < t_\lambda^- < 1$ such that

$$t_\lambda^- w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^\infty \quad \text{and} \quad (h_{\lambda, w_\lambda}^\infty)'(t_\lambda^-) = 0. \quad (3.17)$$

Since $v_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$, by (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16), we have

$$(h_{\lambda,w_\lambda}^\infty)''(1) < 0.$$

This implies that $t_\lambda^- w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$.

By (3.13), (3.14) and (3.16), we know that $(h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)'(t_\lambda^-) > 0$ for sufficiently large n . Owing to $v_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$, we get

$$(h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)'(1) = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$(h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)'(t) = t^3 \left(f^\infty(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{v_n}^t v_n^2 dx \right) \text{ for } t > 0,$$

where

$$f^\infty(t) = t^{-2} \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx.$$

$$\begin{cases} f^\infty(t) \text{ is decreasing,} & 0 < t < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \left(\frac{\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, \\ f^\infty(t) \text{ is increasing,} & t > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \left(\frac{\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, \end{cases}$$

by using (2.16) and (3.18), we have $\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \left(\frac{\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} > 1$. This means that $(h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)'(t) > 0$ when $0 < t < 1$, which implies that (h_{λ,v_n}^∞) is increasing on $(t_\lambda^-, 1)$ for sufficiently large n . Therefore, $(h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)(t_\lambda^-) < (h_{\lambda,v_n}^\infty)(1)$ for sufficiently large n . That is

$$J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^- v_n) < J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) \text{ for sufficiently large } n.$$

By (3.13)-(3.16), we deduce that

$$J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^- w_\lambda) < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^- v_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-},$$

we get a contradiction. Thus we have $v_n \rightarrow w_\lambda$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) \rightarrow J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, we obtain that

$$\Lambda = \frac{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0. \quad (3.19)$$

Therefore, w_λ is a minimizer for J_λ^∞ on $M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$ for each $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$. By (3.5), we deduce that

$$J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} \leq J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{\infty,-} w_0) < A(p) \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}},$$

which implies that $w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{\infty,(1)}$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4, we see that w_λ is a nontrivial solution of problem (E_λ^∞) . By standard argument as [23], it follows that $w_\lambda(x) > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . This indicates that $(w_\lambda, \phi_{w_\lambda}^t)$ is a positive solution of system (1.1). In addition, since

$$(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx < 2 \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 \text{ and } t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) w_\lambda \in M_0^\infty,$$

where

$$\left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) := \left(\frac{\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < 1, \quad (3.20)$$

similar argument as Lemma 2.6, there holds

$$J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^+} J_\lambda^\infty(tw_\lambda), \quad (3.21)$$

where $t_\lambda^+ > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) > 1$ by (3.20). Thus, we obtain

$$\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} = J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) > J_\lambda^\infty(t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)w_\lambda) \geq \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda \left[t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)\right]^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx > \alpha_0^\infty.$$

Thus, the proof is completed.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a bounded $(PS)_\beta$ - sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for J_λ . There exist a subsequence $\{u_n\}$, a number $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a sequences $\{y_n^i\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{R}^3 , a function $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $0 \neq w_i \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ when $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that*

- (i) $|y_n^i| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|y_n^i - y_n^j| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$;
- (ii) $(-\Delta)^s v_0 + v_0 + \lambda K(x) \phi_{v_0}^t v_0 = a(x)|v_0|^{p-2} v_0 + b(x)|v_0|^2 v_0$;
- (iii) $(-\Delta)^s w^i + w^i + \lambda K_\infty \phi_{w^i}^t w^i = a_\infty |w^i|^{p-2} w^i$;
- (iv) $u_n = v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m w^i(\cdot + y_n^i) + o(1)$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$;
- (v) $J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m J_\lambda^\infty(w^i) + o(1)$.

Proof. (1) Since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, up to a subsequence, there exists a $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Next, we will prove $J'_\lambda(v_0) = 0$. It is suffice to prove $\langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle J'_\lambda(v_0), \varphi \rangle$ for all $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle J'_\lambda(u_n), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \langle u_n, \varphi \rangle_{H^s} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u_n}^t u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^2 u_n \varphi dx, \\ & \langle J'_\lambda(v_0), \varphi \rangle = \langle v_0, \varphi \rangle_{H^s} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{v_0}^t v_0 \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |v_0|^{p-2} v_0 \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_0|^2 v_0 \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the $u_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we can conclude that $\langle u_n, \varphi \rangle_{H^s} \rightarrow \langle v_0, \varphi \rangle_{H^s}$. Since $|u_n|^{p-2} u_n$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and combining with $u_n \rightarrow u$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^{p-2} u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |v_0|^{p-2} v_0 \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Similar to the above argument, we also have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^2 u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |v_0|^2 v_0 \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

From the fact that $u_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we can deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u_n}^t u_n \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{v_0}^t v_0 \varphi dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Hence, $J'_\lambda(v_0) = 0$.

(2) We will prove that $(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = o(1)$ and $J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0) + J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1) + o(1)$, where $w_n^1 = u_n - v_0$. By the Brezis-Lieb Lemma, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 &= \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \|v_0\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|w_n^1|^p dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_n|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|v_0|^p dx + o(1), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_n^1|^4 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u_n|^4 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|v_0|^4 dx + o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

From (H_1) , $w_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and (4.1), we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_n^1|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_n|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|v_0|^p dx + o(1). \quad (4.2)$$

By (H_3) , $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, there exist $R(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{|x| \geq R(\varepsilon)} b(x)|w_n^1|^4 dx \leq \varepsilon,$$

and from the fact that $w_n^1 \rightarrow 0$ in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R(\varepsilon)} b(x)|w_n^1|^4 dx = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u_n|^4 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|v_0|^4 dx = o(1). \quad (4.3)$$

By Lemma 2.4 in [22] ($2s + 2t > 3$), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t (w_n^1)^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{v_0}^t v_0^2 dx = o(1). \quad (4.4)$$

Combining (4.2)-(4.4), we get that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0) + J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1) + o(1). \quad (4.5)$$

By Lemma 8.1 in [27], we have that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)(|u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |v_0|^{p-2}v_0 - |w_n^1|^{p-2}w_n^1)\varphi dx \right| = o(1)\|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

From the condition (H_1) , we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty)|w_n^1|^{p-2}w_n^1\varphi dx \right| = o(1)\|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Thus,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [a(x)(|u_n|^{p-2}u_n - |v_0|^{p-2}v_0) - a_\infty|w_n^1|^{p-2}w_n^1]\varphi dx \right| = o(1)\|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} [K(x)(\phi_{u_n}^t u_n - \phi_{v_0}^t v_0) - K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t w_n^1]\varphi dx = o(1)\|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3). \quad (4.7)$$

By (H_3) , and $\forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_n^1|^2 w_n^1 \varphi dx = o(1)\|\varphi\|_{H^s},$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)[|w_n^1|^2 w_n^1 - |u_n|^2 u_n - |v_0|^2 v_0] \varphi dx \right| = o(1) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)[|u_n|^2 u_n - |v_0|^2 v_0] \varphi dx \right| = o(1) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}. \quad (4.8)$$

Combining (4.6)-(4.8), we have

$$|\langle J'_\lambda(u_n) - J'_\lambda(v_0), \varphi \rangle - \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1), \varphi \rangle| = o(1) \|\varphi\|_{H^s}, \quad \forall \varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Therefore,

$$(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = o(1). \quad (4.9)$$

Next, we will consider the following two cases.

Case 1.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^1|^2 dx = 0.$$

By the vanishing Lemma, we have that

$$w_n^1 \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^t(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \forall t \in (2, 2^*). \quad (4.10)$$

Combining (4.5) and (4.10), there holds

$$J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) = \frac{1}{2} \|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t (w_n^1)^2 - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_n^1|^p dx + o(1)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t (w_n^1)^2 dx \leq \widehat{C} \|w_n^1\|_{\frac{4}{3+2s}}^4.$$

Thus,

$$J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) = \frac{1}{2} \|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1).$$

By $(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1) = 0$, we have

$$\|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t (w_n^1)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_n^1|^p dx = 0$$

and by (4.10), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n^1}^t (w_n^1)^2 dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_n^1|^p dx \rightarrow 0,$$

which yields $\|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 = o(1)$. Thus, $J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0)$.

Case 2. There is $\gamma_1 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |v_n^1|^2 dx \geq \gamma_1 > 0.$$

In this case, there exists $y_n^1 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^1| \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\int_{B_1(y_n^1)} |w_n^1|^2 dx \geq \frac{\gamma_1}{2} > 0$. Up to a subsequence, we assume that $w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1) \rightharpoonup w^1 \neq 0$ weakly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) &= J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1)) + o(1), \\ (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1)) &= o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Therefore $(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w^1) = 0$. Let $w_n^2 = w_n^1(\cdot + y_n^1) - w^1$, then we have that $\|w_n^2\|_{H^s}^2 = \|w_n^1\|_{H^s}^2 - \|w^1\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1)$. Combining the first equality of (4.1), we have

$$\|w_n^2\|_{H^s}^2 = \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \|v_0\|_{H^s}^2 - \|w^1\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1). \quad (4.12)$$

Similar argument as (4.5) and (4.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) - J_\lambda^\infty(w^1) + o(1) &= J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^2), \\ (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^2) &= o(1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Next, similarly argue as above, we note that either

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^2|^2 dx = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

or there is $\gamma_2 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_1(y)} |w_n^2|^2 dx \geq \gamma_2 > 0. \quad (4.15)$$

If (4.14) holds, it is similar to the case 1, we can show that $J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0) + J_\lambda^\infty(w^1)$.

If (4.15) holds, it is similar to the case 2. There is $y_n^2 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^2| \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying $\int_{B_1(y_n^2)} |w_n^2|^2 dx \geq \frac{\gamma_2}{2} > 0$. Up to a subsequence, we can assume that $w_n^2(\cdot + y_n^2) \rightharpoonup w^2 \neq 0$ weakly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w^2) = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) - J_\lambda^\infty(w^1) - J_\lambda^\infty(w^2) + o(1) &= J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^3), \\ (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^3) &= o(1), \end{aligned}$$

$$\|w_n^3\|_{H^s}^2 = \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \|v_0\|_{H^s}^2 - \|w^1\|_{H^s}^2 - \|w^2\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1),$$

where $w_n^3 = w_n^2(\cdot + y_n^2) - w^2$. Continuing this process, we have $w_n^i \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $y_n^i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|y_n^i| \rightarrow \infty$ satisfying $w_n^i(\cdot + y_n^i) \rightharpoonup w^i \neq 0$ weakly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and

$$(J_\lambda^\infty)'(w^i) = 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where $w_n^{j+1} = w_n^j(\cdot + y_n^j) - w^j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(3) From the above argument, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) - J_\lambda(v_0) - \sum_{i=1}^j J_\lambda^\infty(w^i) + o(1) &= J_\lambda^\infty(w_n^{j+1}), \\ (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n^{j+1}) &= o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$\|w_n^{j+1}\|_{H^s}^2 = \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \|v_0\|_{H^s}^2 - \sum_{i=1}^j \|w^i\|_{H^s}^2 + o(1).$$

Combining the fact that $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w^i), w^i \rangle = 0$ and Sobolev embedding theorem, we can find $\kappa > 0$ independent of i such that

$$\|w^i\|^2 \geq \kappa > 0.$$

From (4.17), it is obvious that $w_n^{j+1} \rightarrow 0$ at some $j = m$. Hence, we conclude that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = J_\lambda(v_0) + \sum_{i=1}^m J_\lambda^\infty(w^i) + o(1). \quad (4.18)$$

□

Corollary 4.2. *If $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a $(PS)_\beta$ -sequence in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for J_λ and $0 < \beta < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}$. Then there exist a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and a nonzero u_0 in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \beta$. Furthermore, (u_0, ϕ_{u_0}) is a nonzero solution of equation (E_λ) .*

By Theorem 1.2, we see that problem (E_λ^∞) admits a positive solution $w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, and

$$J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, \quad \frac{4-p}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx < \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Define $T_a(w_\lambda)$ as follows

$$\left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty}{2a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < T_a(w_\lambda) := \left(\frac{\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_\lambda|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, then there exists $t_\lambda^\infty > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) > 1$ such that*

$$J_\lambda^\infty(w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^\infty} J_\lambda^\infty(tw_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)$ is given in (3.20).

Proof. Since

$$(h_{\lambda,u}^\infty)'(t) = t^3 \left[b_\lambda^\infty(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx \right],$$

where

$$b_\lambda^\infty(t) = t^{-2} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Observe that

$$b_\lambda^\infty(1) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

for all $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, it is easy to show that

$$b_\lambda^\infty(t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} b_\lambda^\infty(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_\lambda^\infty(t) = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (b_\lambda^\infty)'(t) &= -2t^{-3} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p)t^{p-5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx \\ &= t^{-3} \left(-2 \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p)t^{p-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_\lambda|^p dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation gives that

$$\begin{cases} b_\lambda^\infty(t) \text{ is decreasing,} & 0 < t < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda), \\ b_\lambda^\infty(t) \text{ is increasing,} & t > \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda). \end{cases}$$

Thus, we get

$$\inf_{t>0} b_\lambda^\infty(t) = b_\lambda^\infty\left(\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda)\right). \quad (4.22)$$

In view of (3.20), we know that

$$\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) > 1. \quad (4.23)$$

Hence, by (4.21)-(4.23), we obtain

$$\inf_{t>0} b_\lambda^\infty(t) < b_\lambda^\infty(1) = -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \quad (4.24)$$

which implies that there exists $t_\lambda^\infty > (\frac{2}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) > 1$ such that

$$b_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^\infty) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx = 0.$$

By a similar argument as the proof of Lemma 2.6, we get (4.19). \square

Lemma 4.4. *Assume that $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$ and (H_1) - (H_3) , (H_4) hold, the following two statements are true:*

(1) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx$, then there exist two constants $t_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $t_\lambda^{(2)}$ satisfying*

$$T_a(w_\lambda) < t_\lambda^{(1)} < (\frac{2}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda) < t_\lambda^{(2)},$$

such that

$$t_\lambda^{(i)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(i)} \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^{(2)}} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$$

and

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda) = \inf_{t \geq t_\lambda^{(1)}} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda).$$

(2) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx$, then there exist a constant $t_\lambda^{(3)}$ satisfying*

$$0 < t_\lambda^{(3)} < T_a(w_\lambda),$$

such that

$$t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(1)},$$

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(w_\lambda)} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}.$$

Proof. Let

$$b_\lambda(t) = t^{-2} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_\lambda|^p dx \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Clearly, $t w_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ if and only if

$$b_\lambda(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_\lambda^4 dx = 0. \quad (4.25)$$

It is not difficult to verify that

$$b_\lambda(T_a(w_\lambda)) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} b_\lambda(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} b_\lambda(t) = 0,$$

$b_\lambda(t)$ is decreasing when $0 < t < (\frac{2}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda)$ and is increasing when $t > (\frac{2}{4-p})^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda)$. From (H_4) , we get

$$T_a(w_\lambda) \leq T_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad b_\lambda(t) \leq b_\lambda^\infty(t),$$

where b_λ^∞ is given in (4.20). By condition (H_4) and (4.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \inf_{t>0} b_\lambda(t) &= b_\lambda\left(\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda)\right) \\
 &= -\frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 \left(\frac{\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|w_\lambda|^p dx}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \\
 &\leq -\frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{4-p}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 \left(\frac{\|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty|w_\lambda|^p dx}\right)^{-\frac{2}{p-2}} \\
 &= \inf_{t>0} b_\lambda^\infty(t) < -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \\
 &\leq -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \\
 &< -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_\lambda|^4 dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the argument of Lemma 2.6, we need to distinguish two cases.

Case 1:

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_\lambda|^4 dx,$$

then there are two constants $t_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $t_\lambda^{(2)}$ satisfying $T_a(w_\lambda) < t_\lambda^{(1)} < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda) < t_\lambda^{(2)}$ such that

$$b_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(i)}) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_\lambda|^4 dx = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2$$

which implies that $t_\lambda^{(i)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda(i = 1, 2)$. Taking the derivative of $h'_{\lambda, t_\lambda^{(i)} w_\lambda}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda}(1) &= -2\|t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda|^p dx \\
 &= (t_\lambda^{(1)})^5 (b_\lambda)'(t_\lambda^{(1)}) < 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda}(1) &= -2\|t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda|^p dx \\
 &= (t_\lambda^{(2)})^5 (b_\lambda)'(t_\lambda^{(2)}) > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^-$, $t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^+$ and

$$t_\lambda^{(1)} < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) < \min\left\{\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, t_\lambda^\infty\right\},$$

where t_λ^∞ is given in Lemma 4.3. By (3.6), Lemma 4.3 and (H_4) , for every $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) &= \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^2}{2} \|w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \\
&\quad - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_\lambda|^p dx - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx \\
&= J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_\lambda|^p dx - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx \\
&< J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_\lambda|^p dx \\
&\leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^\infty} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_\lambda) + \frac{\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)})^4}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{(t_\lambda^{(1)})^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_\lambda|^p dx \\
&< \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore, $t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}$ and

$$(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda})'(t) = t^3 \left(b_\lambda(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx \right).$$

By computation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda})'(t) &> 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0, t_\lambda^{(1)}) \cup (t_\lambda^{(2)}, \infty), \\
(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda})'(t) &< 0 \text{ for all } t \in (t_\lambda^{(1)}, t_\lambda^{(2)}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_\lambda^{(2)}} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda) \text{ and } J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda) = \inf_{t \geq t_\lambda^{(1)}} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda).$$

By (4.26) and (4.27), we have $J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda) \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(1)} w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}$, $t_\lambda^{(2)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(2)}$, which yields the conclusion.

Case 2:

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx.$$

Similar to the discussion of Case 1, there exists a constant $t_\lambda^{(3)}$ satisfying

$$0 < t_\lambda^{(3)} < T_a(w_\lambda)$$

such that

$$b_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)}) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx = 0$$

which implies that $t_\lambda^{(3)} u \in M_\lambda$.

Taking the second order derivatives of $h_{\lambda, t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, t_\lambda^{(3)} u}(1) &= -2 \|t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda|^p dx \\ &= (t_\lambda^{(3)})^5 b'_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)}) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

thus, $t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^-$. Moreover,

$$t_\lambda^{(3)} < T_a(w_\lambda) < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} t_{a_\infty}(w_\lambda) < \min\left\{\left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}, t_\lambda^\infty\right\}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Therefore, $t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda) < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}$. Since

$$(h_{\lambda, w_\lambda})'(t) = t^3 \left(b_\lambda(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_\lambda}^t w_\lambda^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_\lambda|^4 dx \right).$$

By computation, we know that $h'_{\lambda, w_\lambda}(t) > 0$ holds if $t \in (0, t_\lambda^{(3)})$ and $h'_{\lambda, w_\lambda}(t) < 0$ holds if $t \in (t_\lambda^{(3)}, +\infty)$. This implies that

$$J_\lambda(t_\lambda^{(3)} w_\lambda) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(w_\lambda)} J_\lambda(t w_\lambda).$$

□

Lemma 4.5. For $2 < p < 4$ and $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, for each $u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$, there exist $\sigma > 0$ and a differentiable function:

$$t^* : B(0; \sigma) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$$

such that

$$t^*(0) = 1 \text{ and } t^*(v)(u - v) \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$$

for all $v \in B(0; \sigma)$, and there holds

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (t^*)'(0), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \frac{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + u \varphi) + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u \varphi dx - p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^2 u \varphi dx}{-2 \|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx} \end{aligned}$$

for $\varphi \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Proof. For any $u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$, we define the function $F_u : \mathbb{R} \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} F_u(t, v) &= \langle (J_\lambda)'(t(u-v)), t(u-v) \rangle \\ &= t^2 \|u - v\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda t^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u-v}^t (u-v)^2 dx \\ &\quad - t^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u-v|^p dx - t^4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u-v|^4 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $F_u(1, 0) = \langle (J_\lambda)'(u), u \rangle = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} F_u(1, 0) &= 2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u^2 dx - p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^4 dx \\ &= -2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 - (p-4) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx < 0. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the implicit function theorem, there exist $\sigma > 0$ and a differentiable function $t^* : B(0; \sigma) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $t^*(0) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle (t^*)'(0), \varphi \rangle \\ &= \frac{2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} ((-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u (-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} \varphi + u \varphi) dx + 4\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_u^t u \varphi dx - p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^{p-2} u \varphi dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u|^2 u \varphi dx}{-2\|u\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u|^p dx}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_u(t^*(v), v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in B(0; \sigma),$$

that is,

$$\langle (J_\lambda)'(t^*(v)(u-v)), t^*(v)(u-v) \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } v \in B(0; \sigma).$$

From the continuity of the map t^* , if σ is sufficiently small, we have

$$h''_{\lambda, t^*(v)(u-v)}(1) = -2\|t^*(v)(u-v)\|_{H^s}^2 - (p-4) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t^*(v)(u-v)|^p dx < 0,$$

and

$$J_\lambda(t^*(v)(u-v)) < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Hence, $t^*(v)(u-v) \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ for all $v \in B(0; \sigma)$. \square

Similar reason as we define $\alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}$ and $\alpha_\lambda^{\infty, +}$ in Section 3, we can define the following minimum problem

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\lambda^- &= \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}} J_\lambda(u) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(u), \\ \alpha_\lambda^+ &= \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{(2)}} J_\lambda(u) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4.6. *For $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ such that*

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^- + o(1) \text{ and } (J_\lambda)'(u_n) = o(1). \quad (4.28)$$

Proof. First, we will show that $M_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a complete metric space. It is obvious that $M_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a metric space. Then take any sequence $u_n \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a cauchy sequence. We have $d(u_n, u_m) \rightarrow 0$, that is $\|u_n - u_m\|_{H^s} \rightarrow 0$. Then there exists $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It is easy to show that

$$\|u\|_{H^s} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{H^s} \leq \widehat{D}_1, \quad J_\lambda(u) < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

So, we have $u \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. Hence, $M_\lambda^{(1)}$ is a complete metric space. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we know $J_\lambda(u)$ is bounded below on $M_\lambda^{(1)}$. Using the Ekeland variational principle [11], there exists a minimizing sequence $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) < \alpha_\lambda^- + \frac{1}{n},$$

and

$$J_\lambda(u_n) \leq J_\lambda(w) + \frac{1}{n} \|w - u_n\|_{H^s} \text{ for all } w \in M_\lambda^{(1)}. \quad (4.29)$$

By applying Lemma 4.5 with $u = u_n$, there exists a function $t_n^* : B(0; \epsilon_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for some ϵ_n such that $t_n^*(w)(u_n - w) \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. Let $0 < \delta < \epsilon_n$ and $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $u \neq 0$. Set

$$w_\delta = \frac{\delta u}{\|u\|_{H^s}} \text{ and } z_\delta = t_n^*(w_\delta)(u_n - w_\delta).$$

Clearly, $z_\delta \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$, and by (4.29), we have

$$J_\lambda(z_\delta) - J_\lambda(u_n) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s}.$$

Using the mean value theorem, we have

$$\langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), z_\delta - u_n \rangle + o(\|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s}) \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), -w_\delta \rangle + (t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1) \langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), u_n - w_\delta \rangle \\ & \geq -\frac{1}{n} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s} + o(\|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

Observe that $t_n^*(w_\delta)(u_n - w_\delta) \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. By (4.30), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\delta \left\langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^s}} \right\rangle + \frac{t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1}{t_n^*(w_\delta)} \langle (J_\lambda)'(z_\delta), t_n^*(w_\delta)(u_n - w_\delta) \rangle \\ & + (t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1) \langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n) - (J_\lambda)'(z_\delta), u_n - w_\delta \rangle \\ & \geq \frac{1}{n} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s} + o(\|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s}), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^s}} \right\rangle & \leq \frac{t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1}{\delta} \langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n) - (J_\lambda)'(z_\delta), u_n - w_\delta \rangle \\ & + \frac{\|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s}}{\delta_n} + \frac{o(\|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s})}{\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s} & = \|t_n^*(w_\delta)(u_n - w_\delta) - u_n\|_{H^s} \\ & = \|(t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1)(u_n - w_\delta) - w_\delta\|_{H^s} \\ & \leq \|w_\delta\|_{H^s} + |t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1| \|u_n - w_\delta\|_{H^s} \leq \delta + C |t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{|t_n^*(w_\delta) - 1|}{\delta} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{t_n^*(w_\delta) - t_n^*(0)}{w_\delta} \right| = (t_n^*)'(0) \leq \|(t_n^*)'(0)\| \leq C.$$

Owing to $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|z_\delta - u_n\|_{H^s} = 0$, and letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (4.31), we have that

$$\left\langle (J_\lambda)'(u_n), \frac{u}{\|u\|_{H^s}} \right\rangle \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

thus, (4.28) holds true. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

By Proposition 4.6, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ such that

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^- + o(1) \text{ and } (J_\lambda)'(u_n) = o(1).$$

By Corollary 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Lemma 4.4, let $v_\lambda \in M_\lambda^-$ be a nontrivial solution of equation (E_λ) such that $J_\lambda(v_\lambda) = \alpha_\lambda^-$. Hence, J_λ has a minimizer v_λ on M_λ^- . By $\alpha_\lambda^- < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$, we obtain $v_\lambda \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. By Lemma 2.2, problem (E_λ) has a nontrivial solution v_λ . Therefore, system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $(v_\lambda, \phi_{v_\lambda}^t)$.

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.4

Recall that $w_0(x)$ is a unique positive solution of equation (E_0^∞) (up to translation) such that $J_0^\infty = \alpha_0^\infty = \frac{p-2}{2p} \left(\frac{S_p^p}{a_\infty}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$ and $w_0(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} w_0(x)$.

Define $T_a(w_0)$ as follows

$$\left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < T_a(w_0) := \left(\frac{\|w_0\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|w_0|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < 1, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\left(\frac{\|w_0\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty|w_0|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} = 1$.

From (H_5) and $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|w_0|^p > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty|w_0|^p dx = a_\infty S_p^{-p} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)S_t S^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p.$$

Similar argument as Lemma 2.6, we can prove the following Lemma holds true.

Lemma 5.1. *If conditions (H_1) - (H_3) and (H_5) hold. Then there exists a positive number $\widehat{\Lambda} = \min\{\bar{\Lambda}, \tilde{\Lambda}\} < \Lambda$, such that for every $0 < \lambda < \widehat{\Lambda}$, the following two statements are true.*

(1) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_0|^4 dx$, there exist two constants \tilde{t}_λ^+ and \tilde{t}_λ^- satisfying*

$$T_a(w_0) < \tilde{t}_\lambda^- < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_0) < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_0) < \tilde{t}_\lambda^+$$

such that $\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$, $\tilde{t}_\lambda^+ w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(2)}$, and

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{t}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(tw_0) < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^+ w_0) = \inf_{t \geq \tilde{t}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(tw_0) < 0.$$

(2) *If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_0|^4 dx$, there exist a constant \tilde{t}_λ^0 satisfying*

$$0 < \tilde{t}_\lambda^0 < T_a(w_0)$$

such that $\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(w_0)} J_\lambda(tw_0) < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (3.19), we have $\Lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$. For each $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$, similar to the proof of Lemma 2.6:

(1) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx$, there exist two constants \tilde{t}_λ^+ and \tilde{t}_λ^- satisfying

$$T_a(w_0) < \tilde{t}_\lambda^- < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_0) < \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_a(w_0) < \tilde{t}_\lambda^+,$$

such that $\tilde{t}_\lambda^\pm w_0 \in M_\lambda^\pm$,

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq \tilde{t}_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(t w_0),$$

and

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^+ w_0) = \inf_{t \geq \tilde{t}_\lambda^-} J_\lambda(t w_0) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(t w_0) < 0.$$

(2) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx$, there exists \tilde{t}_λ^0 satisfying

$$0 < \tilde{t}_\lambda^0 < T_a(w_0),$$

such that $\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0 \in M_\lambda^-$ and

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_a(w_0)} J_\lambda(t w_0).$$

From (H₅) and (5.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) &= \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^2}{2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &= \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^2}{2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_0|^p dx \\ &\quad - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} A(p)^2 \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^-)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} A(p)^2 \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

This, together with $\alpha_0^\infty < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}$ (see Theorem 1.2), we obtain that there exists a positive number $\bar{\Lambda} \leq \Lambda$ such that for every $\lambda < \bar{\Lambda}$, we have

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0. \end{cases}$$

In fact, if $K_\infty > 0$, then $K_{max} > 0$, there exists a positive number $\bar{\Lambda} \leq \Lambda$ such that for every $\lambda < \bar{\Lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} A(p)^2 \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}. \end{aligned}$$

If $K_\infty = 0$, then we need to distinguish two cases.

(1): when $K_{max} = 0$, we have $J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) < \alpha_0^\infty$.

(2): when $K_{max} > 0$, for every $\lambda < \bar{\Lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} A(p)^2 \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{4}{p-2}} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{a_\infty}{a_{max}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx \\ &< \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} = \alpha_0^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) < \max\{\alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}, \alpha_0^\infty\} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

We know $\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. Since $J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^- w_0) < 0$, we obtain $\tilde{t}_\lambda^+ w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(2)}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0) &= \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^2}{2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx \\ &\quad - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &= \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^2}{2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx \\ &\quad - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^4 - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx - \frac{(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0)^4}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |w_0|^4 dx \\ &< \alpha_0^\infty + \frac{\lambda}{4} K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^4 - \frac{\vartheta^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (a(x) - a_\infty) |w_0|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta > 0$ sufficiently small. Using $\alpha_0^\infty < \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}$ (see Theorem 1.2), Similar argument as above, there exists a positive number $\bar{\Lambda} \leq \Lambda$ such that for every $\lambda < \bar{\Lambda}$, we have

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0) < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \end{cases}$$

that is

$$J_\lambda(\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0) < \max\{\alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -}, \alpha_0^\infty\} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p} \right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

We see $\tilde{t}_\lambda^0 w_0 \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Let $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ be a sequence satisfying

$$J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^- + o(1) \text{ and } (J_\lambda)'(u_n) = o(1).$$

In terms of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\frac{p-2}{4p}C_0 \leq \alpha_\lambda^- < \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0. \end{cases}$$

Because $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we assume that there exists $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (5.2)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for } 2 < r < 2_s^*, \quad (5.3)$$

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (5.4)$$

We claim that $u_0 \not\equiv 0$. Otherwise, $u_0 \equiv 0$. Since $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_\lambda^- > 0$, we have that

$$0 < \alpha_\lambda^- < J_\lambda(u_n) = \frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{4-p}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_n|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{4}\|u_n\|_{H^s}^2.$$

which yields that $\|u_n\|_{H^s} > \nu > 0$ for some constant ν and for all n . By concentration compactness principle [16], there are positive constants R, θ and a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{B(0;R)} |u_n(x+z_n)|^p dx \geq \theta \text{ for sufficiently large } n, \quad (5.5)$$

which implies that sequence $\{z_n\}$ is unbounded in \mathbb{R}^3 . By contradiction, suppose that $z_n \rightarrow z_0$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Using (5.3), (5.5) we have

$$\int_{B(z_0;R)} |u_0|^p dx \geq \theta,$$

which contradicts with $u_0 \equiv 0$.

Set

$$\tilde{u}_n(x) = u_n(x+z_n),$$

it is not difficult to prove that

$$\begin{cases} J_0^\infty(\tilde{u}_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda^- \text{ and } (J_0^\infty)'(\tilde{u}_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), \text{ if } K_\infty = 0, \\ J_\lambda^\infty(\tilde{u}_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda^- \text{ and } (J_\lambda^\infty)'(\tilde{u}_n) = o(1) \text{ in } H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), \text{ if } K_\infty > 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

In fact, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x+z_n| = +\infty$. Hence, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_n|^p dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x+z_n)|\tilde{u}_n|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty|\tilde{u}_n|^p dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u_n|^4 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x+z_n)|\tilde{u}_n|^4 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b_\infty|\tilde{u}_n|^4 dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x)\phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x+z_n)\phi_{\tilde{u}_n}^t \tilde{u}_n^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty\phi_{\tilde{u}_n}^t \tilde{u}_n^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when $K_\infty > 0$, $J_\lambda^\infty(\tilde{u}_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda^-$, when $K_\infty = 0$, $J_0^\infty(\tilde{u}_n) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda^-$.

In virtue of $\{u_n\}$ bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we may assume that there exists $\tilde{u}_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\tilde{u}_n \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}_0 \text{ weakly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Similar argument as above can lead to the followings

$$\begin{cases} (J_0^\infty)'(\tilde{u}_0) = 0 & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \\ (J_\lambda^\infty)'(\tilde{u}_0) = 0 & \text{if } K_\infty > 0. \end{cases}$$

But, using Theorem 1.2, we see that $\tilde{u}_0 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^3 and

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_0 \in M_0^\infty \text{ and } J_0^\infty(\tilde{u}_0) \leq \alpha_\lambda^-, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \\ \tilde{u}_0 \in M_\lambda^\infty \text{ and } J_\lambda^\infty(\tilde{u}_0) \leq \alpha_\lambda^-, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $K_\infty = 0$, it is easily seen that $\alpha_0^\infty \leq J_0^\infty(\tilde{u}_0) \leq \alpha_\lambda^-$, we achieve a contradiction with $\alpha_0^\infty < \alpha_\lambda^-$.

If $K_\infty > 0$, similarly argument as the proof of Theorem 1.2, it is not difficult to obtain that $\tilde{u}_0 \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, which indicates that $J_\lambda^\infty(\tilde{u}_0) \geq \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, and so $\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} \leq J_\lambda^\infty(\tilde{u}_0) \leq \alpha_\lambda^-$, this is impossible.

Hence, $u_0 \neq 0$ and $(J_\lambda)'(u_0) = 0$, this means that equation (E_λ) has a nontrivial solution u_0 .

Due to $\{u_n\} \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$ and

$$\|u_n\|_{H^s} < \widehat{D}_1 < \left[\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \text{ for all } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

using Fatou's lemma, we can easily get that

$$\|u_0\|_{H^s} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{H^s} \leq \widehat{D}_1 < \left[\frac{2S_p^p}{a_{max}(4-p)} \right]^{\frac{1}{p-2}}.$$

By Sobolev's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (h_{\lambda, u_0})''(1) &= -2\|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_0|^p dx \\ &\leq -2\|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p)a_{max}S_p^{-p}\|u_0\|_{H^s}^p \\ &< 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $u_0 \in M_\lambda^-$ and then

$$J_\lambda(u_0) \geq \alpha_\lambda^-. \quad (5.7)$$

Now we are in a position to prove $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By contradiction, suppose that there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that $\|u_n - u_0\|_{H^s} > c_0$. Let $v_n = u_n - u_0$. By (5.2)-(5.4), up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup 0 \text{ weakly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ v_n &\rightarrow 0 \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^r(\mathbb{R}^3) \text{ for } 2 < r < 2_s^*, \\ v_n &\rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of conditions (H_1) - (H_3) and (5.6), we have

$$\|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{v_n}^t v_n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx + o(1),$$

it means that

$$J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \\ \alpha_0^\infty, & \text{if } K_\infty = 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

By (5.7), (5.8) and Brezis-Lieb Lemma in [4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_n|^p dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_n|^4 dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{u_0}^t u_0^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_0|^p dx - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) |u_0|^4 dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \|v_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{v_n}^t v_n^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |v_n|^p dx + o(1) \\ &= J_\lambda(u_0) + J_\lambda^\infty(v_n) + o(1) \geq \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^- + \alpha_0^\infty + o(1), & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \\ \alpha_\lambda^- + \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} + o(1), & \text{if } K_\infty > 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^- \geq \begin{cases} \alpha_\lambda^- + \alpha_0^\infty + o(1) & \text{if } K_\infty = 0, \\ \alpha_\lambda^- + \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} + o(1) & \text{if } K_\infty > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we get a contradiction. So, $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \alpha_\lambda^-$. For $2 < p < 4$, we have

$$\alpha_\lambda^- < \max\{\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}, \alpha_0^\infty\} < A(p) \left(\frac{p-2}{2p}\right) \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

That is $u_0 \in M_\lambda^{(1)}$. Similarly, we obtain that $u_0 \in M_\lambda^-$ and $J_\lambda(u_0) = \alpha_\lambda^-$. By Lemma 2.2, we see that equation (E_λ) has a nontrivial solution u_0 . Hence, system (1.1) has a nontrivial solution $(u_0, \phi_{u_0}^t)$.

6. APPENDIX

6.1. Appendix A. As we know that the following fractional Schrödinger equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + u = a_\infty |u|^{p-2} u \quad (E_0^\infty)$$

has a unique positive solution w_0 with $w_0(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} w_0(x)$.

If $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, $K(x) = K_\infty$ and $a(x) = a_\infty$, $b(x) = b_\infty = 0$, we have

$$T_a(w_0) = T_{a_\infty}(w_0) = \left(\frac{\|w_0\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} = 1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |w_0|^p dx = a_\infty S_p^{-p} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p > \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)\bar{S}_t S^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|w_0\|_{H^s}^p. \quad (6.1)$$

For $2 < p < 4$, using Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, there exists a constant $t_\lambda^+ > 0$ satisfying

$$\left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_\lambda^+ < \hat{t}_\lambda^{(0)},$$

such that

$$J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^+ w_0) = \inf_{\left(\frac{p}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t < \hat{t}_\lambda^{(0)}} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_0) = \inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda^\infty(t w_0) < 0,$$

where $\widehat{t}_\lambda^{(0)}$ is given in Lemma 2.5. For $R > 1$, we define a function $\psi_R \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3, [0, 1])$ as

$$\psi_R(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & |x| < \frac{R}{2}, \\ 0 & |x| > R, \end{cases}$$

and $|\nabla\psi_R| \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $u_R(x) = w_0(x)\psi_R(x)$. So, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_R|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_0|^p dx \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_R|^4 dx &\rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_0|^4 dx \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|u_R\|_{H^s} &\rightarrow \|w_0\|_{H^s} \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{u_R}^t u_R^2 dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_0}^t w_0^2 dx \text{ as } R \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.4)$$

Since $J_\lambda^\infty \in C^1(H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathbb{R}^3)$, and using (6.1)-(6.4) there exists an $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx > \frac{2}{p} a_\infty S_p^{-p} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^p, \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^+ u_{R_0}) < 0.$$

Let

$$u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}(x) = w_0(x + iN^3 e)\psi_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e)$$

for $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, where $N^3 > 2R_0$. In terms of condition (H_1) , we get

$$\|u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}\|_{H^s}^2 = \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 \text{ for all } N. \quad (6.6)$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}\|_{H^s}^2 &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}(x) - u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}|^2 dx \\ &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w_0(x + N^3 e)\psi_{R_0}(x + N^3 e) - w_0(y + N^3 e)\psi_{R_0}(y + N^3 e)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_0(x + N^3 e)\psi_{R_0}(x + N^3 e)|^2 dx \\ &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w_0(x)\psi_{R_0}(x) - w_0(y)\psi_{R_0}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_0(x)\psi_{R_0}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_{R_0}(x) - u_{R_0}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0}(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}|^p dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}}^t [u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}]^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y) [u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0, N}^{(1)}(y)]^2}{|x - y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x - N^3 e)K(y - N^3 e) [u_{R_0}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0}(y)]^2}{|x - y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &\rightarrow K_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t [u_{R_0}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0}(y)]^2}{|x - y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If $a(x) \geq a_\infty$, $K(x) \leq K_\infty$ and $b(x) \geq b_\infty = 0$, then there exists an N_0 with $N_0^3 > 2R_0$ such that for every $N > N_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^p dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty|u_{R_0}|^p dx > \frac{2a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^p = \frac{2a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}\|_{H^s}^p,$$

and

$$\inf_{t \geq 0} J_\lambda(tu_{R_0,N}^{(i)}) \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}) \leq J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^+ u_{R_0}),$$

for $e \in \mathbb{S}^2$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Let

$$w_{R_0,N}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}.$$

When $N^3 \geq N_0^3 > 2R_0$, by (6.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{R_0,N}\|_{H^s}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_{R_0,N}|^2 + (w_{R_0,N})^2 dx \\ &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|w_{R_0,N}(x) - w_{R_0,N}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{R_0,N}|^2 dx \\ &= C_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) - u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x) - u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(y))(u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(x) - u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(y))}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_{R_0}(x) - u_{R_0}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\ &+ \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \left[u_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(x + jN^3 e) - u_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + jN^3 e) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_{R_0}(x + jN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + iN^3 e) + u_{R_0}(y + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + jN^3 e) \right] dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} T &= \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} \left[u_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(x + jN^3 e) - u_{R_0}(x + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + jN^3 e) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - u_{R_0}(x + jN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + iN^3 e) + u_{R_0}(y + iN^3 e) u_{R_0}(y + jN^3 e) \right] dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

By $|\psi_{R_0}| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}(x + iN^3e)u_{R_0}(y + jN^3e)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\
&= \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}(x)u_{R_0}(y)}{|x - y + (j - i)N^3e|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\
&\leq \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{1}{|N^3 - 2R_0|^{3+2s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_{R_0}(x) dx \right)^2 \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}(x + jN^3e)u_{R_0}(y + iN^3e)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}(x + iN^3e)u_{R_0}(x + jN^3e) + u_{R_0}(y + iN^3e)u_{R_0}(y + jN^3e)}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\
& \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_{R_0}(x)u_{R_0}(x + (j - i)N^3e) + u_{R_0}(y)u_{R_0}(y + (i - j)N^3e)}{|x - y + (j - i)N^3e|^{3+2s}} dx dy \\
&\leq \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{2}{|N^3 - 2R_0|^{3+2s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_{R_0}(x)w_{R_0}(x + (j - i)N^3e) dx \\
&\leq \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{2}{|N^3 - 2R_0|^{3+2s}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{R_0}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{R_0}(x + (j - i)N^3e)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sum_{i \neq j}^N \frac{2}{|N^3 - 2R_0|^{3+2s}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_{R_0}(x)|^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$|x - iN^3e - (y - jN^3e)|^{3+2s} \geq \left| |i - j|N^3e - |x| - |y| \right|^{3+2s} \geq |N^3 - 2R_0|^{3+2s}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|w_{R_0, N}\|_{H^s}^2 \\
&= NC_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_{R_0}(x) - u_{R_0}(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_{R_0}|^2 dx + T \\
&= N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 + T.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we obtain

$$\|w_{R_0, N}\|_{H^s}^2 = N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 + T, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |w_{R_0, N}|^p dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |u_{R_0, N}^{(i)}|^p dx, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_{R_0,N}|^4 dx = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^4 dx, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t [w_{R_0,N}]^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y)[w_{R_0,N}(x)]^2 [w_{R_0,N}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y)[u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y)[u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

By simple calculation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y)[u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &\leq \frac{C_t K_{max}^2 (N^2 - N)}{(N^3 - 2R_0)^{3-2t}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_0^2(x) dx \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates that

$$\sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x)K(y)[u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0,N}^{(j)}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.11)$$

Lemma 6.1. For $2 < p < 4$ and $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$. Let $K(x) \leq K_\infty$ and $a(x) \geq a_\infty$, $b(x) = b_\infty = 0$. Then we obtain

$$\alpha_\lambda^+ = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^{(2)}} J_\lambda(u) = \inf_{u \in M_\lambda^+} J_\lambda(u) = -\infty. \quad (6.12)$$

Proof. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, and let

$$f_N(t) = t^{-2} \|w_{R_0,N}\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|w_{R_0,N}|^p dx \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

and

$$f^\infty(t) = t^{-2} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

According to (6.7) and (6.8), it is easy to get

$$\begin{aligned} f_N(t) &= t^{-2} (N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 + T) - t^{p-4} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x)|u_{R_0,N}^{(i)}|^p dx \\ &\leq t^{-2} N \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 - t^{p-4} N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx + t^{-2} T \\ &= N f^\infty(t) + t^{-2} T. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

So we observe that $tw_{R_0,N} \in M_\lambda$ if and only if

$$f_N(t) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t w_{R_0,N}^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x)|w_{R_0,N}|^4 dx = 0.$$

By a direct computation, we can deduce that

$$f^\infty(T_{a_\infty}(u_{R_0})) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f^\infty(t) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f^\infty(t) = 0,$$

where

$$T_{a_\infty}(u_{R_0}) = \left(\frac{\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}.$$

For $2 < p < 4$ and

$$(f^\infty)'(t) = -2t^{-3} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 - (p-4)t^{p-5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx,$$

we can easily show that $f^\infty(t)$ is decreasing when $0 < t < \left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$ and is increasing when $t > \left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}}$. In view of (6.5) we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} f^\infty(t) &= f^\infty \left(\left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \right) \\ &= -\frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx}{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< -\frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^p}{pS_p^p \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &= -\frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^4. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &< \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \left[1 - A(p) \left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \right] \left(\frac{a_\infty}{S_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{\bar{S}_t S^2}{K_{max}^2} \\ \frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} &> 2\lambda \frac{K_{max}^2}{\bar{S}_t S^2} \frac{1}{\left[1 - A(p) \left(\frac{a_{max}}{a_\infty} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \right]} \\ \frac{p-2}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} &> 2\lambda \frac{K_{max}^2}{\bar{S}_t S^2} > \lambda K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

hence, in terms of Lemma 2.3 and (6.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} f_N(t) &\leq f_N \left(\left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{N(p-2)}{4-p} \left(\frac{(4-p)a_\infty}{pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^4 + \left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} T \\ &< -\lambda N K_{max}^2 \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^4 + \left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} T \\ &< -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x) K(y) [u_{R_0}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy + \left(\frac{2\|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} T. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.11), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{t>0} f_N(t) &< -\lambda N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x) K(y) [u_{R_0}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy \\ &\quad - \lambda \sum_{i \neq j}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{C_t K(x) K(y) [u_{R_0}^{(i)}(x)]^2 [u_{R_0}^{(i)}(y)]^2}{|x-y|^{3-2t}} dx dy + \left(\frac{2 \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} T \\ &= -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t w_{R_0,N}^2 dx + \left(\frac{2 \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{-2}{p-2}} T. \end{aligned}$$

From the above proof, we know that $T \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, hence, we get that

$$\inf_{t>0} f_N(t) < -\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t w_{R_0,N}^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_{R_0,N}^4 dx \text{ for sufficiently large } N.$$

Hence, when $0 < \lambda < \frac{p-2}{2(4-p)} \left(\frac{4-p}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \Lambda_0$, by Lemma 2.6, we need to distinguish two cases.

(1) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t w_{R_0,N}^2 dx > \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_{R_0,N}^4 dx$ there exist two constants $t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)}$ and $t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)}$ satisfying

$$1 < t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} < \left(\frac{2 \|u_{R_0}\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_{R_0}|^p dx} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} < t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)},$$

such that

$$f_N(t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)}) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0,N}}^t w_{R_0,N}^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_{R_0,N}^4 dx = 0,$$

for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. So, $t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)} w_{R_0,N} \in M_\lambda$ for $i = 1, 2$ and for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Taking the derivative of $h'_{\lambda, t_{\lambda,N}^{(i)} w_{R_0,N}}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N}}(1) &= -2 \|t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N}\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N}|^p dx = (t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)})^5 f'_N(t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)}) \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h''_{\lambda, t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N}}(1) &= -2 \|t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N}\|_{H^s}^2 + (4-p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a(x) |t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N}|^p dx = (t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)})^5 f'_N(t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)}) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can easily deduce that

$$t_{\lambda,N}^{(1)} w_{R_0,N} \in M_\lambda^- \text{ and } t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N} \in M_\lambda^+.$$

In terms of (6.7)-(6.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N}) &= \inf_{t>0} J_\lambda(t w_{R_0,N}) \leq J_\lambda(t_\lambda^+ w_{R_0,N}) \\ &\leq N J_\lambda^\infty(t_\lambda^+ u_{R_0}) + (t_\lambda^+ u_{R_0})^{-2} T + C_0 \text{ for some } C_0 > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$J_\lambda(t_{\lambda,N}^{(2)} w_{R_0,N}) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, (6.12) is proved.

(2) If $\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0, N}}^t w_{R_0, N}^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_{R_0, N}^4 dx$ there exist a constant $t_{\lambda, N}^{(0)}$ satisfying

$$0 < t_{\lambda, N}^{(0)} < T_{a_\infty}(w_0) = 1,$$

such that

$$f_N(t_{\lambda, N}^{(0)}) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(x) \phi_{w_{R_0, N}}^t w_{R_0, N}^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} b(x) w_{R_0, N}^4 dx = 0.$$

Similar to the argument above we have $t_{\lambda, N}^{(0)} w_{R_0, N} \in M_\lambda^-$. \square

6.2. Appendix B. In order to prove (3.9), we will apply the concentration-compactness lemma due to [16, 17], to get the compactness.

For $2 < p < 4$, let $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)}$ be a sequence as follows

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_\lambda^\infty(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} > 0. \quad (6.14)$$

Define the functional $\Phi_\lambda^\infty : H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Phi_\lambda^\infty(u) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \|u\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_u^t u^2 dx. \quad (6.15)$$

Using Lemma 2.1, for any $u \in M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty, -}$ we obtain

$$J_\lambda^\infty(u) = \Phi_\lambda^\infty(u) > 0.$$

In view of $\{u_n\} \subset M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)}$, we have that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it indicates that there exist a subsequence $\{u_n\}$ and $u_\infty \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightharpoonup u_\infty \text{ weakly in } H^s(\mathbb{R}^3), \\ u_n \rightarrow u_\infty \text{ strongly in } L_{loc}^r \text{ for } 2 \leq r < 2_s^*, \\ u_n \rightarrow u_\infty \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

We need that sequence $\{u_n\}$ has compactness. Finally, using a concentration-compactness argument on the positive measures which are defined as follows. For every $u_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)}$, we define the measure $v_n(\Omega)$ by

$$v_n(\Omega) = \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{C_s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx + u_n^2 \right) dx - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} \int_{\Omega} K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx, \quad (6.17)$$

where C_s is a normalized constant. By $u_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)}$, we obtain $\|u_n\|_{H^s} < \widehat{D}_1$. By Lemma 2.3 and $K(x) = K_\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_n(\Omega) &= \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{C_s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx + u_n^2 \right) dx - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} \int_\Omega K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{C_s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx + u_n^2 \right) dx - \frac{\lambda(4-p)}{4p} K_{max}^2 \overline{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_\Omega \left(\frac{C_s}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{3+2s}} dx + u_n^2 \right) dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \frac{4-p}{4p} K_{max}^2 \overline{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &= \frac{p-2}{2p} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 - \frac{(p-2)}{8p} \frac{K_{max}^2}{K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \|u_n\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \\
 &\geq \frac{p-2}{2p} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{K_{max}^2}{K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \right] \\
 &= \frac{p-2}{2p} \|u_n\|_{H^s(\Omega)}^2 \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4-p}{p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \right] \\
 &\geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is not difficult to check that $v_n(\Omega)$ possesses the subadditivity and monotonicity, Thus, $v_n(\Omega)$ is a positive measure on \mathbb{R}^3 . In terms of (6.14), we have

$$v_n(\mathbb{R}^3) = \Phi_\lambda^\infty(u_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} + o(1),$$

and we have three possibilities as follows:

(a) Vanishing: for all $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_r(\xi)} dv_n = 0, \quad (6.18)$$

where $B_r(\xi) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - \xi| < r\}$.

(b) Dichotomy: there exist a constant $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -})$, two sequences $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$, with $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ and two nonnegative measures v_n^1 and v_n^2 such that

$$v_n - (v_n^1 + v_n^2) \rightarrow 0, \quad v_n^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \alpha, \quad v_n^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} - \alpha, \quad (6.19)$$

and

$$\text{supp}(v_n^1) \subset B_{r_n}(\xi_n), \quad \text{supp}(v_n^2) \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2r_n}(\xi_n). \quad (6.20)$$

(c) Compactness: there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with the following property: for any $\delta > 0$, there exists an $r = r(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(\xi_n)} dv_n \geq \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} - \delta, \quad \text{for large } n. \quad (6.21)$$

Lemma 6.2. For $0 < \lambda < \frac{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}$, $2 < p < 4$, compactness holds for the sequence of measures $\{u_n\}$ defined by (6.17).

Proof. (I) Vanishing does not occur. Otherwise, for all $r > 0$, (6.18) holds. We can show that there exists an $\bar{r} > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} \int_{B_{\bar{r}}(\xi)} u_n^2 dx = 0.$$

It indicates that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for $2 < s < 2_s^*$. Hence, for $u_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty, (1)}$, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq J_\lambda^\infty(u_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_n|^p dx \\ &= -\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx + \frac{p-2}{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |u_n|^p dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get a contradiction.

(II) Dichotomy does not occur. Otherwise, there exist a constant $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -})$, two sequences $\{\xi_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$, with $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ and two nonnegative measures v_n^1 and v_n^2 such that (6.19) and (6.20) hold. Let $\rho_n \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfy that

$$\begin{cases} \rho_n = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2r_n}(\xi_n), \\ 0 < \rho_n < 1 & \text{in } B_{2r_n}(\xi_n) \setminus B_{r_n}(\xi_n), \\ \rho_n = 1 & \text{in } B_{r_n}(\xi_n), \end{cases}$$

and $|\nabla \rho_n| \leq \frac{2}{r_n}$. Let

$$h_n := \rho_n u_n, \quad w_n := (1 - \rho_n) u_n.$$

Similar argument in [23], we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) \geq \alpha \text{ and } \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(w_n) \geq \alpha_\lambda^{\infty, -} - \alpha. \quad (6.22)$$

Therefore, let $\Omega_n := B_{2r_n}(\xi_n) \setminus B_{r_n}(\xi_n)$, then we have

$$v_n(\Omega_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy + \int_{\Omega_n} u_n^2 dx &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \int_{\Omega_n} K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

Similar arguments as that in [23], we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u_n|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} h_n|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} w_n|^2 dx + o_n(1), \quad (6.24)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} h_n^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} w_n^2 dx, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u_n|^p dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |h_n|^p dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |w_n|^p dx + o_n(1), \quad (6.26)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{u_n}^t u_n^2 dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{w_n}^t w_n^2 dx + o_n(1). \quad (6.27)$$

Thus, by (6.24)-(6.27), we deduce that

$$\Phi_\lambda^\infty(u_n) \geq \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) + \Phi_\lambda^\infty(w_n) + o_n(1).$$

Hence, we have

$$\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(u_n) \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(w_n) \geq \alpha + (\alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} - \alpha) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}.$$

It indicates that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(w_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-}$. By (6.22), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) = \alpha > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_\lambda^\infty(w_n) = \alpha_\lambda^{\infty,-} - \alpha. \quad (6.28)$$

By (6.24), (6.25) and (6.26), (6.27) we have

$$0 = \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle + \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n), w_n \rangle + o_n(1). \quad (6.29)$$

So for all $n \geq 1$ and $2 < p < 4$, there holds

$$\max\{\|h_n\|_{H^s}, \|w_n\|_{H^s}\} < \widehat{D}_1 < \left(\frac{2S_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \quad (6.30)$$

and

$$\lambda < \frac{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p)K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty(4-p)^2}{2pS_p^p}\right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Furthermore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^p &= \frac{p}{4-p} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^{p-2} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2pS_p^p}{a_\infty(4-p)^2} \left(\frac{2\lambda(4-p)K_\infty^2}{(p-2)\overline{S}_t S^2}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &< \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \leq \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.6, for any $n \geq 1$ there exists

$$T_{a_\infty}(h_n) < t_{\lambda,n}^- < \sqrt{A(p)} \left(\frac{2}{4-p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} T_{a_\infty}(h_n),$$

such that $t_{\lambda,n}^- h_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, where

$$T_{a_\infty}(h_n) = \left(\frac{\|h_n\|_{H^s}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} > 0.$$

Next, we discuss the following three cases:

Case (i). Up to a subsequence, $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle \leq 0$. We claim that $t_{\lambda,n}^- \leq 1$.

Since $t_{\lambda,n}^- h_n \in M_\lambda^{\infty,-}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \lambda K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx = -(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx. \quad (6.31)$$

By (6.31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle = \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx \\ &= \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 - (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{p-4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx \\ &= [1 - (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + [(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{p-4} - 1] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

Suppose by contradiction that $t_{\lambda,n}^- > 1$. By (6.31), we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx < \frac{2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{2-p} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2. \quad (6.33)$$

By virtue of (6.32) and (6.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq [1 - (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + \frac{2}{4-p} [(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} - (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{2-p}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &= [1 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} - \frac{2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{2-p}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \\ &= (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} [(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^2 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} - \frac{2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{4-p}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

that is,

$$(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^2 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} - \frac{2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{4-p} \leq 0.$$

Nevertheless, for $2 < p < 4$, it is not difficult to get that

$$t^2 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} - \frac{2}{4-p} t^{4-p} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 1. \quad (6.35)$$

In fact, let $g(t) = t^2 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} - \frac{2}{4-p} t^{4-p}$, we have $g'(t) = 2t - 2t^{3-p}$. When $g'(t) = 0$, we obtain $t = 0$ or $t = 1$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &> 0, \quad \text{if } t > 1, \\ f(t) &< 0, \quad \text{if } t < 1. \end{aligned}$$

The above facts gives a contradiction. Thus, $t_{\lambda,n}^- \leq 1$.

Now, we define function $\Phi_\lambda^\infty(th_n)$ by

$$\Phi_\lambda^\infty(th_n) = \frac{(p-2)t^2}{2p} \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 - \frac{(4-p)t^4}{4p} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx \quad \text{for } t > 0.$$

Since $2 < p < 4$, it is not difficult to prove that there exists a constant

$$t_\lambda^\infty(h_n) = \left[\frac{(p-2) \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0,$$

such that $\Phi_\lambda^\infty(th_n)$ is increasing when $t \in (0, t_\lambda^\infty(h_n))$ and is decreasing when $t \in (t_\lambda^\infty(h_n), \infty)$. By using Lemma 2.3 and (6.30), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} t_\lambda^\infty(h_n) &= \left[\frac{(p-2) \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2}{(4-p) \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \left[\frac{p-2}{(4-p) \lambda \bar{S}_t^{-1} S^{-2} K_\infty^2 \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\geq \left[\frac{(p-2) \lambda \bar{S}_t S^2}{\lambda(4-p) K_\infty^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{a_\infty (4-p)^2}{2S_p^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda < \frac{(p-2) \bar{S}_t S^2}{2(4-p) K_\infty^2} \left(\frac{a_\infty (4-p)^2}{2p S_p^p} \right)^{\frac{2}{p-2}}.$$

Therefore, owing to $t_{\lambda,n}^- \leq 1$, we have that

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{\infty,-} \leq J_\lambda^\infty(t_{\lambda,n}^- h_n) = \Phi_\lambda^\infty(t_{\lambda,n}^- h_n) \leq \Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) \rightarrow \alpha < \alpha_{\lambda}^{\infty,-},$$

this is impossible.

Case(ii). $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n), w_n \rangle \leq 0$. Similar argument as the proof of Case (i), we also get a contradiction.

Case(iii). Up to a subsequence, $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle > 0$, $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n), w_n \rangle > 0$.

By (6.29), we obtain that $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle = o_n(1)$, and $\langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(w_n), w_n \rangle = o_n(1)$. Repeating the arguments of Case (i), suppose by contradiction that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{\lambda,n}^- = t_{\lambda,\infty}^- > 1. \quad (6.36)$$

By (6.32), we have that

$$o_n(1) = \langle (J_\lambda^\infty)'(h_n), h_n \rangle = [1 - (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 + [(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{p-4} - 1] \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} a_\infty |h_n|^p dx.$$

From (6.34), we deduce that

$$o_n(1) \geq (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{-2} [(t_{\lambda,n}^-)^2 + \frac{p-2}{4-p} - \frac{2}{4-p} (t_{\lambda,n}^-)^{4-p}] \|h_n\|_{H^s}^2,$$

which implies that

$$\|h_n\|_{H^s}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K_\infty \phi_{h_n}^t h_n^2 dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, it is easy to infer that $\Phi_\lambda^\infty(h_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts with (6.28). Therefore, dichotomy does not occur. \square

Acknowledgements. The work is supported by NSFC grant (11501403, 11801153), by fund program for the Scientific Activities of Selected Returned Overseas Professionals in Shanxi Province (2018) and by the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi Province (No. 201901D111085).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, On Schrödinger-Poisson systems, *Milan J. Math.* 76 (2008) 257–274.
- [2] A. Azzollini, P.dAvenia, A. Pomponio, On the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations under the effect of a general nonlinear term, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 27 (2010) 779–791.
- [3] A. Azzollini, A. Pomponio, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345 (2008) 90–108.
- [4] H. Březis and E. H. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88 (1983) 486–490.
- [5] V. Benci and D. Fortunato, An eigenvalue problem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Top. Methods. Nonlinear Anal.* 11 (1998) 283–293.
- [6] G. Cerami and G. Vaira, Positive solutions for some non autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson Systems, *J. Differential Equations.* 248 (2010) 521–543.
- [7] G.M. Coclite, A multiplicity result for the nonlinear Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Commun. Appl. Anal.* 7 (2003) 417–423.
- [8] T. D’Aprile, D. Mugnai, Solitary waves for nonlinear Klein-Gordon-Maxwell and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 134 (2004) 893–906.
- [9] T. D’Aprile, D. Mugnai, Non-existence results for the coupled Klein-Gordon-Maxwell equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 4 (2004) 307–322.
- [10] P. Drábek and S. I. Pohozaev, Positive solutions for the p-Laplacian: Application of the fibering method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 127 (1997) 703–726.
- [11] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 47 (1974) 324–353.
- [12] R. L. Frank, E. Lenzmann and L. Silvestre, Uniqueness of radial solutions for the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* LXIX (2016) 1671–1726.
- [13] M. M. Fall, F. Mahmoudi and E. Valdinoci, Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional Schrödinger equation, *Nonlinearity* 28 (2015) 1937–1961.

- [14] Y. Jiang, H. Zhou, Schrödinger-Poisson system with steep potential well, *J. Differential Equations* 251 (2011) 582–608.
- [15] H. Kikuchi, On the existence of a solution for elliptic system related to the Maxwell-Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 67 (2007) 1445–1456.
- [16] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case I, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré e Anal. Non Linéaire*, 1 (1984) 109–145.
- [17] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case II, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré e Anal. Non Linéaire*, 1 (1984) 223–283.
- [18] Z. S. Liu and J. J. Zhang, Multiplicity and concentration of solutions for the following critical fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems with critical growth, *ESAIM: Control, Optim. Calc. Var.* 23 (2017) 1515–1542.
- [19] D. Ruiz, The Schrödinger-Poisson equation under the effect of a nonlinear local term, *J. Funct. Anal.* 237 (2006) 655–674.
- [20] C. D. Ronaldo and A. S. S. Marco, Fractional Schrödinger-Poisson equations with general nonlinearity, *Elec. J. Differ. Equa.* Vol. 2016 (2016), 1–19.
- [21] J. Sun, T. Wu and Z. Feng, Non-autonomous Schrödinger-Poisson System in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, 38 (2018) 1889–1933.
- [22] K. M. Teng, Existence of ground state solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system with critical Sobolev exponent, *J. Differential Equations*, 261 (2016) 3061–3106.
- [23] K. M. Teng, Ground state solutions for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger-Poisson system, *Applicable Analysis*, (2018) 1–43.
- [24] K. M. Teng, Multiple solutions for a class of fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^n , *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* 21 (2015) 76–86.
- [25] K. M. Teng and X. M. He, Ground state solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* 15 (2016) 991–1008.
- [26] G. Vaira, Ground states for Schrödinger-Poisson type systems, *Ric. Mat.*, 60 (2011) 263–297.
- [27] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, *Birkhäuser, Boston*, 1996.
- [28] Z. Wang, H. Zhou, Positive solution for a nonlinear stationary Schrödinger-Poisson system in \mathbb{R}^3 , *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 18 (2007) 809–816.
- [29] J. Zhang, J. M. DO Ó and M. Squassina, Fractional Schrödinger-Poisson systems with a general subcritical or critical nonlinearity, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* 16 (2016) 15–30.
- [30] L. Zhao, F. Zhao, On the existence of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 346 (2008) 155–169.
- [31] L. Zhao, H. Liu, F. Zhao, Existence and concentration of solutions for the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with steep well potential, *J. Differential Equations* 255 (2013) 1–23.
- [32] J. Zhang, On the Schrödinger-Poisson equations with a general nonlinearity in the critical growth, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 6391–6401.

SHAOYING ZHANG

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030024,
P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: 1464495934@qq.com

KAIMIN TENG (CORRESPONDING AUTHOR)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAIYUAN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TAIYUAN, SHANXI 030024,
P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: tengkaimin2013@163.com

QUANQING LI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HONGHE UNIVERSITY, MENGZI, YUNNAN 661100, PEOPLE'S RE-
PUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: shili06171987@126.com