# Impaired humoral immunity of COVID-19 patients with re-detectable positive RNA test after recovery

qing Lei<sup>1</sup>, Caizheng Yu<sup>1</sup>, Yang Li<sup>2</sup>, Hong-Yan Hou<sup>3</sup>, Banga Ndzouboukou Jo-Lewis<sup>1</sup>, Zhuqing Ouyang<sup>1</sup>, Yandi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaosong Lin<sup>1</sup>, Zongjie Yao<sup>1</sup>, Hui Fu<sup>1</sup>, Zi-Yong Sun<sup>3</sup>, Feng Wang<sup>3</sup>, Shengce Tao<sup>2</sup>, and Xionglin Fan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Huazhong University of Science and Technology <sup>2</sup>Shanghai Jiao Tong University <sup>3</sup>Tongji Hospital of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology

April 16, 2024

# Impaired humoral immunity of COVID-19 patients with re-detectable positive RNA test after recovery

Qing Lei<sup>1,2</sup>, Caizheng Yu<sup>3</sup>, Yang Li<sup>4</sup>, Hongyan Hou<sup>5</sup>, Banga Ndzouboukou Jo-Lewis<sup>1</sup>, Zhuqing Ouyang<sup>1</sup>, Yandi Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaosong Lin<sup>1</sup>, Zongjie Yao<sup>1</sup>, Fu hui<sup>1</sup>, Ziyong Sun<sup>5</sup>, Feng Wang<sup>5,\*</sup>, Shengce Tao<sup>4,\*</sup>, Xionglin Fan<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pathogen Biology, School of Basic Medicine, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Medical Laboratory, The Central Hospital of Wuhan, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Public Health, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of

Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

<sup>4</sup>Shanghai Center for Systems Biomedicine, Key Laboratory of Systems Biomedicine (Ministry of Education), Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Laboratory Medicine, Tongji Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China.

\*Correspondence' e-mail: Xiong-lin Fan(xlfan@hust.edu.cn), Sheng-ce Tao(taosc@sjtu.edu.cn), Feng Wang(fengwang@tjh.tjmu.edu.cn)

To the Editor,

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has rapidly spread worldwide.<sup>1,2</sup>As of May 28, 2020, WHO estimated that there had been 169 million confirmed cases and 3.5 million patients died from SARS-CoV-2 infection.<sup>3</sup> Due to lack of etio-logical treatments, about 3.5%-18% of discharged COVID-19 patients after recovery had a re-tested positive result for SARS-CoV-2 RNA, who was also named after re-detectable positive patients (RP).<sup>4-8</sup> Moreover, RP patients may have the potential for virus transmission.<sup>9,10</sup>However, the mechanism of the occurrence of RP patients remains little known.

Humoral immunity, especially neutralizing antibody plays an important role in preventing against SARS-CoV-2 infection, and even disease progression. To elucidate the role of humoral immunity in RP patients, we compared anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG antibody responses between RP and non-RP patients in this study. 21 RP patients from Wuhan Pulmonary Hospital (Wuhan, China) between Jan 11, 2020, and April 1, 2020<sup>4</sup> and 113 non-RP patients discharged from Tongji Hospital, between February 17, 2020, and April 28, 2020 were recruited. Non-RP had negative NAT results while RP patients had at least once positive result during weekly follow-up after discharge. The median time from discharge to a positive retest of 21 RP patients was 14 (range: 4-24) days, and their serum samples were collected at the time of the positive retest. Besides, the serum samples of 113 non-RP patients were collected on the 14th day after discharge. At the same time, we collected serum samples of 601 non-COVID-19 controls including healthy donors, lung cancer patients, patients with autoimmune diseases from Ruijin Hospital (Shanghai, China), or National Institutes for Food and Drug Control.<sup>11</sup> All serum samples were stored under -80°C until use.

First, we used a homemade proteome microarray to detect serum IgM (red) or IgG (green) antibody responses against 20 out of 28 predicted proteins of SARS-CoV-2 with Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated donkey antihuman IgM and Cy3-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Figure S1), as previously described. Based on the fluorescent intensity extracted from the microarray, anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgM and IgG profiles for each serum sample were established (Figure 1). Interestingly, there were differential IgM and IgG profiles observed between RP patients and non-RP patients. RP patients elicited lower levels of IgM and IgG responses against NSP7, ORF3a, and ORF7b, as well as anti-S1 and N specific IgM and IgG than non-RP patients (Figure 2). More importantly, RP patients induced higher levels of specific IgG antibodies against most non-structural and accessory proteins, such as NSP1, NSP2, NSP4, NSP5, NSP7, NSP8, NSP9, NSP10, RdRp, NSP14, NSP15, NSP16, ORF-3b, ORF6, and ORF9b, and E structural protein (Figure 2). Previously, the levels of IgG responses to NSP4, NSP7, NSP9, NSP10, RdRp, NSP14 and ORF3 might be related with the poor prognosis and severity of COVID-19.

Further, a pseudotyped virus-based neutralization assay platform was used to detect the levels of serum nAb. Unexpectedly, RP patients elicited lower levels of nAb than non-RP. In particular, 90.5% (19/21) RP patients could not detect the nAb response (NT50<10) (Figure S2).

In conclusion, RP patients impaired humoral immunity, which might benefit the replication of residual SARS-CoV-2 virus in vivo. Our findings have an important impact on improving clinical management. The criterion for patients' recovery and discharge should be improved to prevent recurrence, such as serological detection and even nAb response, besides persisting and repeated NAT screening.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants from the Applied Basic Research Key Project of Wuhan Municipal Bureau of Science and Technology (2020020601012218) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (HUST COVID-19 Rapid Response Call No. 2020kfyXGYJ040).

# Author Contributions

X-L.F performed experiments and designed the study. Q.L performed the experiments. F.W and H-Y. H collect specimens. Y-D.Z, BN-JL, Z-J.Y, and X-S.L analyzed the data. X-L.F and Q.L wrote the manuscript with suggestions from other authors. All authors revised the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

## Reference

1. Zhu, N. et al. A Novel Coronavirus from Patients with Pneumonia in China, 2019. N Engl J Med**382**, 727-733 (2020).

2. Zhou, P. et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270-273 (2020).

3. World Health Organization (WHO). Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. Available at: https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports. Accessed April 16, 2020.

4. Mei, Q. et al. Assessment of patients who tested positive for COVID-19 after recovery. *Lancet Infect Dis* **20**, 1004-1005 (2020).

5. Liotti, F. M. et al. Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 RNA Test Results Among Patients Who Recovered From COVID-19 With Prior Negative Results. *JAMA Intern Med* (2020).

6. An, J. et al. Clinical characteristics of recovered COVID-19 patients with re-detectable positive RNA test. Ann Transl Med 8, 1084 (2020).

7. Huang, J. et al. Kinetics of SARS-CoV-2 positivity of infected and recovered patients from a single center. *Sci Rep* **10**, 18629 (2020).

8. Kang, H. et al. Retest positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA of "recovered" patients with COVID-19: Persistence, sampling issues, or re-infection? *J Med Virol* (2020).

9. Lan, L. et al. Positive RT-PCR Test Results in Patients Recovered From COVID-19. Jama **323**, 1502-1503 (2020).

10. Yao, X. H. et al. Pathological evidence for residual SARS-CoV-2 in pulmonary tissues of a ready-fordischarge patient. *Cell Res* **30**, 541-543 (2020).

11. Li, Y. et al. Antibody Landscape Against SARS-CoV-2 Proteome Revealed Significant Differences between Non-Structural/Accessory Proteins and Structural Proteins. 2021. Preprint at SSRN: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=3773793. Accessed Date, June 9 2021.

#### Figure and figure legend



Figure 1. IgM and IgG response in RP patients compared with non-RP patients. (a) IgM antibody response profile against 20 SARS-CoV-2 proteins in 113 non-RP patients and 21 RP patients. (b) IgG antibody response profile against 20 SARS-CoV-2 proteins in 113 non-RP patients and 21 RP

patients.Each square in heatmap indicates the IgM or IgG antibody response against the protein (column) in the serum (row). The proteins and serum samples were arranged with clustering.



Figure 2. Antibody responses in RP patients compared with non-RP patients. (a) The downregulated specific IgM response in RP patients. (b) The upregulated specific IgM response in RP patients. (c) The upregulated specific IgG response in RP patients. (d) The downregulated specific IgG response in RP patients. The data in (a) (b) (c) (d) were presented as mean log<sub>2</sub> (Fluorescence intensity)  $\pm$  SD, (e) Comparison of neutralizing ability between non-RP (n=113) and RP patients (n=21). *p* -value was calculated by two-sided t test. \*, p < 0.05, \*\*, p < 0.01, and \*\*\*, p < 0.001.