

# Effect of Planned School Breaks on Student Absenteeism due to Influenza-like Illness in School Aged Children - Oregon School District, Wisconsin September 2014-June 2019

Cecilia He<sup>1</sup>, Derek Norton<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Temte<sup>2</sup>, Shari Barlow<sup>3</sup>, Maureen Goss<sup>4</sup>, Emily Temte<sup>5</sup>, Cristalyne Bell<sup>1</sup>, Guanhua Chen<sup>1</sup>, and Amra Uzicanin<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Wisconsin-Madison

<sup>2</sup>University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health

<sup>3</sup>University of Wisconsin

<sup>4</sup>University of Wisconsin Madison

<sup>5</sup>University of Wisconsin Madison Department of Family Medicine

<sup>6</sup>CDC

February 3, 2023

## Abstract

**Background** School-aged children and school reopening dates have important roles in community influenza transmission. Although many studies evaluated the impact of reactive closures during seasonal and pandemic influenza outbreaks on medically attended influenza in surrounding communities, few assess the impact of planned breaks (i.e., school holidays) which coincide with influenza seasons, while accounting for differences in seasonal peak timing. Here, we analyze the effects of winter and spring breaks on influenza risk in school-aged children, measured by student absenteeism due to influenza-like illness (a-ILI). **Methods** We compared a-ILI counts in the two-week periods before and after each winter and spring break over five consecutive years in a single school district. We introduced a “pseudo-break” of 9 days’ duration between winter and spring break each year when school was still in session to serve as a control. The same analysis was applied to each pseudo-break to support any findings of true impact. **Results** We found strong associations between winter and spring breaks and a reduction in influenza risk, with a nearly 50% reduction in a-ILI counts post-break compared to the period before break, and the greatest impact when break coincided with increased local influenza activity. **Conclusions** These findings suggest that brief breaks of in-person schooling, such as planned breaks lasting 9-16 calendar days, can effectively reduce influenza in schools and community spread. Additional analyses investigating the impact of well-timed shorter breaks on a-ILI may determine an optimal duration for brief school closures to effectively suppress community transmission of influenza.

**Title:** Effect of Planned School Breaks on Student Absenteeism due to Influenza-like Illness in School Aged Children - Oregon School District, Wisconsin September 2014—June 2019

**Running Title:** Effect of school breaks on absenteeism due to ILI

**Authors:** Cecilia He, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Derek Norton, MS<sup>1</sup>; Jonathan L Temte, MD/PhD<sup>1</sup>; Shari Barlow, BS<sup>1</sup>; Maureen Goss, MPH<sup>1</sup>; Emily Temte, BA<sup>1</sup>; Cristalyne Bell<sup>1</sup>, BS; Guanhua Chen, PhD<sup>1</sup>; Amra Uzicanin, MD/MPH<sup>2</sup>

## Author Affiliations

<sup>1</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

<sup>2</sup>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

## Acknowledgments

This study has been supported by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (*www.cdc.gov*) through cooperative agreement # 5U01CK000542-02-00. The findings and conclusions in this study are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

## Conflicts of Interest

Jonathan L. Temte, MD/PhD reports non-financial support from Quidel Corporation during the conduct of the study.

## Background

School-aged children and school reopening dates have important roles in community influenza transmission. Although many studies evaluated the impact of reactive closures during seasonal and pandemic influenza outbreaks on medically attended influenza in surrounding communities, few assess the impact of planned breaks (i.e., school holidays) which coincide with influenza seasons, while accounting for differences in seasonal peak timing. Here, we analyze the effects of winter and spring breaks on influenza risk in school-aged children, measured by student absenteeism due to influenza-like illness (a-ILI).

## Methods

We compared a-ILI counts in the two-week periods before and after each winter and spring break over five consecutive years in a single school district. We introduced a “pseudo-break” of 9 days’ duration between winter and spring break each year when school was still in session to serve as a control. The same analysis was applied to each pseudo-break to support any findings of true impact.

## Results

We found strong associations between winter and spring breaks and a reduction in influenza risk, with a nearly 50% reduction in a-ILI counts post-break compared to the period before break, and the greatest impact when break coincided with increased local influenza activity.

## Conclusions

These findings suggest that brief breaks of in-person schooling, such as planned breaks lasting 9-16 calendar days, can effectively reduce influenza in schools and community spread. Additional analyses investigating the impact of well-timed shorter breaks on a-ILI may determine an optimal duration for brief school closures to effectively suppress community transmission of influenza.

**Keywords:** influenza; respiratory infection; viral surveillance; school breaks; K-12; student absenteeism

## Introduction

School-aged children are often recognized as primary drivers of influenza transmission within communities<sup>1</sup>, and in the fall of 2009 school reopening dates were associated with the local surges of pandemic influenza<sup>2</sup>. Children frequently have larger social networks<sup>3,4</sup>, experience prolonged viral shedding<sup>5</sup>, have lower coverage rates for influenza vaccine<sup>6</sup>, and may lack sufficient preexisting immunity for herd effects<sup>7</sup>. Although most of the frequent influenza infections among school-aged children are mild to moderate, some children can still develop serious influenza-related complications following infection<sup>8</sup>. During the 2017-18 influenza season, there were an estimated 11.5 million cases of influenza in children and over 48,000 pediatric hospitalizations in the U.S. alone<sup>8</sup>.

The rapid evolution and wide variability of the influenza virus contributes to the challenges of control. Normal efforts in disease prevention, such as vaccination, are hampered as vaccines must be updated and administered annually to account for changes in circulating viruses<sup>9</sup>, leading to varying levels of effectiveness from year to year<sup>10</sup>. Thus, it is important to consider alternative strategies to control outbreaks, especially

during seasons when vaccine effectiveness is suboptimal, or when a well-matched vaccine is not yet available (e.g., in early stages of a pandemic).

School closures include planned breaks in instruction for holidays or teacher training, and unscheduled breaks due to weather, safety or other emergencies. With regard to their anticipated effects on influenza transmission, school closures are considered a nonpharmaceutical intervention (NPI) only when implemented sufficiently early relative to the start of an outbreak (i.e., before influenza becomes widespread in schools and surrounding communities)<sup>11</sup>. Effectiveness of preemptive school closures has been extensively studied and scrutinized in systematic literature reviews<sup>12,13</sup>. In contrast, reactive school closures—implemented only after influenza is widespread in schools—are not considered NPI, but rather a consequence of the disease<sup>11</sup> because epidemiologic studies have not found them to effectively reduce medically attended influenza (MAI) in surrounding communities<sup>14-16</sup>. Studies noted reactive closures to have no statistically significant impact on overall influenza-like illnesses (ILI) rates<sup>14,15</sup>. In fact, these unplanned closures often have socioeconomic consequences and may further introduce challenges to households, such as making alternative childcare arrangements and loss of access to school lunch programs<sup>16</sup>.

Schools close for regularly scheduled or planned breaks (holidays) throughout the academic year (Figure 1). At least one earlier study reported that such planned school breaks may interrupt the dynamics of seasonal influenza by changing social contact patterns among children<sup>17</sup>. Such closures have been associated with a reduction in the reproduction number relative to when school is in session, leading to reduced transmission<sup>18,19</sup>. However, the precise impact of these breaks on seasonal influenza remains unclear. Few studies have investigated the effect of planned school closures on local transmission, and no studies currently assess the impact of numerous breaks within an academic year to account for potential seasonal differences in the timing of circulation.

To account for multiple breaks and seasonal timing, we investigated the role of regularly scheduled school breaks on ILI within a single school district over the course of five academic years. We assessed rates of ILI-related absenteeism (a-ILI) during two-week periods leading up to and following scheduled winter and spring breaks.

## Methods

**ORCHARDS** : The ORegon CHild Absenteeism due to Respiratory Disease Study (ORCHARDS) is a prospective, observational study of kindergarten through 12<sup>th</sup> grade (K-12) student absenteeism and influenza in the Oregon School District (OSD), Dane County, located in southcentral Wisconsin. The primary goal of ORCHARDS is to develop a system to monitor cause-specific, K-12 absenteeism on a daily basis and to assess its usability for early detection of influenza and ILI transmission in schools and in the community. The overall methodology of ORCHARDS is detailed elsewhere<sup>20</sup>.

**Population:** The OSD comprises six public schools with a growing enrollment, estimated at 4,091 students (18% of total population) during the 2018-2019 school year<sup>21</sup>. The district's overall population is estimated at 23,000 and is less racially and ethnically diverse, wealthier, and more educated than the average community in the United States<sup>22</sup>.

**Data Collection:** Parents/guardians are required to report absences using an automated telephone system and are prompted to report respiratory symptoms. The OSD records absenteeism in Infinite Campus® (<https://www.infinitecampus.com>), a commercially available electronic student information system. For the purpose of this study, in 2014 the OSD Information Technology staff added an option within the system that allowed entry of student absenteeism characterized as a-ILI. We defined absence as missing any part of the school day. We defined ILI as the presence of fever and at least one of the following symptoms: cough, sore throat, nasal congestion, or runny nose<sup>20</sup> as reported by a parent/guardian on the telephone system. The daily count of a-ILI was the primary outcome measure for this study.

**Data Extraction:** The OSD developed an automated process to extract daily counts of student absences by school, grade, and type of absence. Data were sent on a daily basis to ORCHARDS researchers using

a secure file transfer (ftp) site. No personal identifiable information was included, and the data were fully compliant with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; 34 CFR Part 99).

**Community Risk:** The Wisconsin component of the Influenza Incidence Surveillance Project (W-IISP) is a long-standing, independent influenza surveillance system that assesses MAI in and around OSD<sup>23</sup>. The system has been in continuous operation since October 2009 and is organized by the ORCHARDS research team. W-IISP includes five primary care clinics, one of which is located in the OSD and four that are located in communities surrounding OSD. The clinics conduct active laboratory-supported surveillance for influenza and other respiratory viruses in patients presenting with acute respiratory illnesses. Weekly counts of laboratory-confirmed MAI served as a proxy for underlying community influenza risk in this analysis.

**Timing of regularly scheduled major school breaks :** The winter holiday (including Christmas and New Year’s Day) at the OSD is relatively fixed in time, occurring in late December and early January, extending between 10 and 16 days, including weekend days (Table 1). The timing of spring break is more variable depending upon year but is fixed in length at 9 days (including weekend days).

**Pseudo-breaks as a control:** We introduced into the analysis “pseudo-breaks” of 9 days’ duration between winter and spring break each year and starting five weeks before the spring break, when school was actually in session, to support any findings of the true impact of the planned breaks. The timing of regularly scheduled winter and spring breaks, along with pseudo-breaks, is presented in Figure 2 against the backdrop of statewide laboratory-confirmed influenza detections.

**Statistical analysis:** Analyses were performed on absentee data from five consecutive academic school years (September 2, 2014, to June 12, 2019). The primary outcome measure was the number of a-ILI days in the two weeks before and after the regularly scheduled school break. Absenteeism due to ILI has been validated as an acceptable marker for influenza through the home visit component in ORCHARDS<sup>20</sup>.

The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel (CMH) Test was used to measure the crude association between a-ILI and the two-week period before versus after each break period, stratified by school year. Exposure was defined as whether the a-ILI count occurred during the two-week period before a break (not exposed) or after a break (exposed). Cases were defined as the sum of a-ILI counts for each pairing of school year and the two-week time periods before versus after a break. The number of controls (not absent due to ILI) in each of these two-week periods was defined as the number of students enrolled in OSD for that school year, multiplied by the number of school days in attendance during those two-week periods, minus the number of a-ILI cases in that same period.

Generalized Linear Regression Models (GLM) were used to assess the relationship between a-ILI counts and before- versus after-break periods, while accounting for the community’s underlying influenza risk. A Poisson distribution was assumed with the outcome of daily a-ILI counts and the canonical natural log link function used. The natural log of the OSD enrollment number for that school year was used as an offset to account for varying enrollment numbers. Time from break was accounted for within these two-week periods, measured in days. For the period before a break, days were counted going backwards in time from the first date of the break. For the period after a break, days were counted going forward in time from the last date of the break (i.e., break periods are “day 0”, school days before a break are negative days, and school days after a break are positive days). Covariates used in this model included community-level influenza risk, linear effect of time, quadratic effect of time, indicator of before or after break, an interaction between the break indicator and linear time effect, and an interaction between the break indicator and the quadratic time effect.

The community-level influenza risk was represented as a weekly measure of influenza risk in the community. This was calculated by summing the number of MAI instances in the community data set for the first 7-day period (week 1) before and after break, and the second 7-day period (week 2) before and after break, for a total of 20 such calculations at the community level (4 weeks calculated for each of the 5 school years analyzed), for each break type (winter and spring). To assess if there was an association between a-ILI and the period indicator of before and after break, a 3 degree-of-freedom Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) was

performed. The results from the GLM were compared to a null model without the period indicator and its interactions to assess the significance of comparing before versus after breaks.

The analysis approach above for winter and spring break was also applied to the pseudo-break period that was introduced five weeks prior to each spring break when school remained in session. This pseudo-break serves as a control to assess for time as a potential confounder. The estimates predicted by the model for the pseudo-break were compared to the results from the winter and spring break analyses to help assess the true impact of school breaks on a-ILI.

## Results

**Absenteeism due to ILI:** Across the 5 academic years, the mean tallies of a-ILI for the two-week periods before winter and spring breaks were 130.4 (range 51-262) and 151.4 (range 69-275), respectively. The mean a-ILI tallies for the periods after winter and spring breaks were 82 (range 33-152) and 49.6 (range 33-74), respectively. Comparatively, the two weeks before pseudo-breaks had an a-ILI average of 106 (range 43-200), and the two weeks after pseudo-breaks had a-ILI average of 100.8 (range 71-131). The grade distributions of a-ILI are displayed in Figure 3, showing higher levels of a-ILI reported among students in 4K and elementary schools, in comparison to middle and high schools.

**Crude association between school breaks and a-ILI:** The two-week after-break period was associated with a statistically significant decrease in the odds of a-ILI compared to the two-week before-break period. The CMH test estimated an odds ratio of 0.679 (95% CI: 0.600-0.769;  $p < 0.001$ ) following winter breaks and 0.327 (95% CI: 0.283-0.378;  $p < 0.001$ ) following spring breaks. The crude a-ILI counts for each school year, occurring before versus after breaks, are depicted in Table 2. Differences in a-ILI proportions in the two weeks before and after each true break varied every school year (Figure 4). While several of the yearly school breaks had a clear difference in the a-ILI proportions, not every yearly break displayed a difference.

**Adjusted association between school breaks and a-ILI:** In the regression models, the estimated a-ILI over the two-week period after a break was nearly half the amount of that in the period before a break. The estimated proportional change following a break was 0.483 (95% CI: 0.347-0.673;  $p < 0.001$ ) for winter break and 0.488 (95% CI: 0.327-0.730;  $p < 0.001$ ) for spring break. The weekly community MAI count was also strongly associated with a-ILI ( $p < 0.001$ ). No statistical significance was detected in the change in linear or quadratic time components for before vs. after breaks (Table 3).

The models produced estimates of daily mean a-ILI for the ten days before and after each break, based on the mean weekly community MAI counts and the mean student enrollment of 3,749 in OSD (Figure 5). Although the behavior of time remained similar in the ten days before and after each break, the model consistently estimated an overall reduction in the amount of a-ILI in the periods following breaks compared to the periods before breaks.

The assessment for the association between a-ILI in the periods before and after breaks was found to be significant. The null model, which consisted of a removal of the two-week period indicator and its interactions with linear and quadratic time, yielded a  $X^2$  statistic value of 125.9 on 3 degrees of freedom ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the winter break analysis and 102.4 ( $p < 0.001$ ) in the spring break analysis. This indicated that the inclusion of the period indicator in the model was associated with a statistically significant amount of variation, after accounting for linear and quadratic passage of time and the weekly community ILI count.

**Pseudo-breaks as a control:** There was consistently no statistically significant difference observed in a-ILI in the two-week periods before and after the pseudo-break when school was actually in session. The unadjusted association between the two-week period after the pseudo-break and the risk for change in a-ILI estimated an odds ratio of 0.985 (95% CI: 0.872-1.11;  $p = 0.839$ ). The changes in proportions of a-ILI before and after each pseudo-break vary throughout the five years (Figure 4). The LRT for removal of the two-week period indicator and its interactions with linear and quadratic time yielded a  $X^2$  statistic value of 4.8 on 3 degrees of freedom ( $p = 0.189$ ), indicating that how the period indicator was included in the model was not associated with a statistically significant amount of variation in a-ILI. All covariates included in the pseudo-

break model were non-statistically significant (Table 3). In Figure 5, the estimated daily ILI means predicted by the model displayed no clear level of change in absenteeism counts for before versus after a pseudo-break.

**Conclusions** Over a 5-year period of enhanced monitoring of cause-specific absenteeism, from September 2014 through June 2019, a nearly 50% reduction in a-ILI was observed consistently in the two-week periods immediately following scheduled winter and spring breaks with durations of 9 to 16 days, as compared to the two weeks immediately preceding these breaks. We found a strong association between the period indicator and a-ILI in regression models. This implies that the regular scheduled school breaks produce a significant acute effect on a-ILI. Such an effect has high biological plausibility: (a) if schools are primary centers of influenza transmission and acceleration, and (b) given that the time period spans approximately 2.8 to 4.4 serial intervals for influenza<sup>24</sup>.

The scale of the proportional differences in a-ILI associated with each break in Figure 4 appears to reflect the timing of peak influenza circulation and annual seasonal peak across Wisconsin (Figure 2). For example, during the 2014/2015 and 2017/2018 school years, there was relatively widespread circulation before the commencement of winter break, with the seasonal peak occurring in late December and early January<sup>25</sup>. Thus, winter break appeared to have a larger impact on reducing a-ILI than spring break in these years. Conversely, in 2015/2016, 2016/2017, and 2018/2019, widespread circulation occurred later in the season with the peak between February and March<sup>25</sup>, explaining the more profound difference in a-ILI following spring break. This observation emphasizes the importance of the timing of a school closure on the potential impact on influenza risk.

The absence of significant findings for the pseudo-breaks lends credence to the true school breaks being an actual causal mechanism to reduce a-ILI, particularly with the lack of association between pseudo-breaks and reductions in a-ILI and weekly community MAI. Although the changes in a-ILI after the pseudo-break for any given year in Figure 4 may appear to be significant, the changes are inconsistent with three years (2014/2015, 2015/2016, and 2018/2019) having higher a-ILI following the pseudo-break and two years (2016/2017 and 2017/2018) having lower a-ILI after the pseudo-break.

Other results from ORCHARDS—specifically data generated through home visits to a subset of K-12 students who had to miss school due to an acute respiratory illness—complement the findings from this analysis on school breaks<sup>20</sup>. Over the five school years (2014-2019), 79% of participants with acute respiratory infections reported missing school because of their illness; 65% of these students who were absent tested positive for influenza or another non-influenza respiratory viral infection, and more than half thought a classmate or friend was the likely source of infection<sup>20</sup>. Thus, the ORCHARDS results support the concept that within-school transmission drives community-wide outbreaks, and that well-timed school breaks (or, alternatively, short-term transitions to distance learning of equivalent duration as a winter or spring break) can reduce influenza or other respiratory virus transmission.

This assessment has several limitations. First, findings based on the models used are suggestive of an association, but do not necessarily imply a causal relationship. The assessment periods occurring before and after the planned breaks are—by definition—ordered through time; therefore, any temporal effect during this same period that may impact influenza may result in confounding. Second, there is some violation in the assumption of independence of observations in both the adjusted and unadjusted analyses. Since the data used in this assessment were de-identified and a-ILI was measured by counts, it is likely that individual students contributed multiple, sequential absences to the a-ILI counts, thereby altering the independence of daily counts. Third, because parents self-report absences through the absentee line, there is potential that a-ILI numbers are underestimated because of underreporting by parents. Fourth, results generated from OSD over five influenza seasons (2014-2019) may not be generalizable to other locations and populations, for markedly different influenza seasons, or over different academic calendars in terms of school break timing relative to local influenza outbreak peaks. Fifth, we used a-ILI as a proxy for influenza. Whereas we have demonstrated a significant association between influenza virus infection and a-ILI, we have also shown that influenza type and subtype have differential effects on a-ILI<sup>20</sup>. Finally, although community data on MAI were used in an attempt to represent the underlying community risk, the models are imperfect as they do not

capture the entirety of the relationship between underlying community level risk and the risk in schools. It is possible that the period indicator is representing differential community-level risk behaviors during before- vs. after-break periods.

Although reports documenting the effect of school closures on reduced influenza transmission exist, there remains a lack of consensus on its effectiveness. The majority of current literature has assessed the impact of reactive school closures during an influenza pandemic<sup>26-33</sup>. Differences in the timing of implementation and length of closure during the pandemic may explain why studies have found variable results from reactionary closures.

Results from these analyses are consistent with findings from other studies looking at the role of scheduled breaks on ILI<sup>34,35</sup>. A study in South Korea observed an immediate 27-39% reduction in influenza transmission during the break period, with a 6-23% reduction in overall transmission following spring break<sup>34</sup>. Another study found school closures to prevent or delay up to 42% of potential influenza cases among school-age children<sup>35</sup>. Although we measured a-ILI as the outcome in this analysis, previous studies have suggested that observed a-ILI can adequately represent changes in community influenza<sup>36</sup>. Moreover, we have previously demonstrated a significant association between a-ILI and influenza in ORCHARDS<sup>20</sup>. Furthermore, several studies have proposed that regular school closures may mitigate community impact by changing social mixing patterns<sup>37-39</sup>.

Overall, the findings from these analyses support the hypothesis that planned K-12 school breaks of moderate duration (9-16 days) reduce influenza transmission. Our finding is consistent with the results of the modeling studies which explored the impact of different timing and durations of the school closures during influenza pandemics<sup>29</sup>, as well as with the conclusions of observational studies of school holidays' effect on influenza transmission in other countries<sup>12,40</sup>. The identified impact occurs in the short term and does not imply a long-term effect on an annual seasonal influenza epidemic; however, such short-term effect may be helpful for targeted suppression of influenza activity to reduce pressures on local health care systems during the local disease surges. Additional analyses investigating the impact of well-timed shorter breaks, both planned and unplanned, on a-ILI may determine an optimal duration for brief school closures to effectively suppress community transmission of influenza.

## References

1. Worby CJ, Chaves SS, Wallinga J, Lipsitch M, Finelli L, Goldstein E. On the relative role of different age groups in influenza epidemics. *Epidemics*. 2015;13:10-6. Epub 2015/06/23. doi: 10.1016/j.epidem.2015.04.003. PubMed PMID: 26097505; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4469206.
2. Chao DL, Halloran ME, Longini IM Jr. School opening dates predict pandemic influenza A(H1N1) outbreaks in the United States. *J Infect Dis*. 2010 Sep 15;202(6):877-80. doi: 10.1086/655810. PMID: 20704486; PMCID: PMC2939723.
3. Glass LM, Glass RJ. Social contact networks for the spread of pandemic influenza in children and teenagers. *BMC public health*. 2008;8:61. Epub 2008/02/16. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-8-61. PubMed PMID: 18275603; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2277389.
4. Mossong J, Hens N, Jit M, Beutels P, Auranen K, Mikolajczyk R, et al. Social contacts and mixing patterns relevant to the spread of infectious diseases. *PLoS Med*. 2008;5(3):e74. Epub 2008/03/28. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.0050074. PubMed PMID: 18366252; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2270306.
5. Esposito S, Daleno C, Baldanti F, Scala A, Campanini G, Taroni F, et al. Viral shedding in children infected by pandemic A/H1N1/2009 influenza virus. *Virol J*. 2011;8:349. Epub 2011/07/15. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-349. PubMed PMID: 21752272; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3150308.
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Flu Vaccination Coverage, United States, 2019–20 Influenza Season. Accessed 3/04/2021 at: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluview/coverage-1920estimates.htm>

7. Coates BM, Staricha KL, Wiese KM, Ridge KM. Influenza A Virus Infection, Innate Immunity, and Childhood. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2015;169(10):956-63. Epub 2015/08/04. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.1387. PubMed PMID: 26237589; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4765914.
8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Influenza (Flu). Accessed 10/20/2022 at: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/>.
9. Nextstrain. Real-time tracking of influenza A/H3N2 evolution using data from GISAID [April 27, 2020]. Available from: <https://nextstrain.org/flu/seasonal/h3n2/ha/2y>.
10. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Past Seasons Vaccine Effectiveness Estimates. Accessed 3/04/2021 at: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/vaccines-work/past-seasons-estimates.html>
11. Qualls N, Levitt A, Kanade N, et al. Community Mitigation Guidelines to Prevent Pandemic Influenza — United States, 2017. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2017;66(No. RR-1):1–34. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.rr6601a1>
12. Jackson C, Vynnycky E, Hawker J, et al School closures and influenza: systematic review of epidemiological studies *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e002149. Doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002149
13. Jackson C, Mangtani P, Hawker J, Olowokure B, Vynnycky E. The effects of school closures on influenza outbreaks and pandemics: systematic review of simulation studies. *PLoS One.* 2014 May 15;9(5):e97297. Doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0097297. PMID: 24830407; PMCID: PMC4022492.
14. Davis BM, Markel H, Navarro A, Wells E, Monto AS, Aiello AE. The effect of reactive school closure on community influenza-like illness counts in the state of Michigan during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic. *Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America.* 2015;60(12):e90-7. Epub 2015/04/22. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ182. PubMed PMID: 25896795.
15. Cowling BJ, Lau EH, Lam CL, Cheng CK, Kovar J, Chan KH, et al. Effects of school closures, 2008 winter influenza season, Hong Kong. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2008;14(10):1660-2. Epub 2008/10/02. doi: 10.3201/eid1410.080646. PubMed PMID: 18826841; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2609897.
16. Russell ES, Zheteyeva Y, Gao H, Shi J, Rainey JJ, Thoroughman D, et al. Reactive School Closure During Increased Influenza-Like Illness (ILI) Activity in Western Kentucky, 2013: A Field Evaluation of Effect on ILI Incidence and Economic and Social Consequences for Families. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2016;3(3):ofw113. Epub 2016/11/02. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofw113. PubMed PMID: 27800520; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC45084722.
17. Luca G, Kerckhove KV, Coletti P, Poletto C, Bossuyt N, Hens N, et al. The impact of regular school closure on seasonal influenza epidemics: a data-driven spatial transmission model for Belgium. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2018;18(1):29. Epub 2018/01/13. doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2934-3. PubMed PMID: 29321005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5764028.
18. Eames KT, Tilston NL, Brooks-Pollock E, Edmunds WJ. Measured dynamic social contact patterns explain the spread of H1N1v influenza. *PLoS Comput Biol.* 2012;8(3):e1002425. Epub 2012/03/14. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002425. PubMed PMID: 22412366; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3297563.
19. Hens N, Ayele GM, Goeyvaerts N, Aerts M, Mossong J, Edmunds JW, et al. Estimating the impact of school closure on social mixing behaviour and the transmission of close contact infections in eight European countries. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2009;9:187. Epub 2009/12/01. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-9-187. PubMed PMID: 19943919; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2799408.
20. Temte JL, Barlow S, Goss M, Temte E, Bell C, He C, Hamer C, Schemmel A, Maerz B, Comp L, Arnold M, Breunig K, Clifford S, Reisdorf E, Shult P, Wedig M, Haupt T, Conway J, Gangnon R, Fowlkes A, Uzicanin A. The Oregon Child Absenteeism Due to Respiratory Disease Study (ORCHARDS): Rationale, objectives, and design. *Wiley Online Library*, 2021. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/irv.12920>

21. Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction. Wisconsin Information System for Education data Dashboard [April 27, 2020]. Available from: <https://wisedash.dpi.wi.gov/Dashboard/dashboard/16840>.
22. United States Census. Quick Facts [April 27, 2020]. Available from: <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/oregonvillagewisconsin>.
23. Fowlkes A, Dasgupta S, Chao E, Lemmings J, Goodin K, Harris M, et al. Estimating influenza incidence and rates of influenza-like illness in the outpatient setting. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2013;7(5):694-700. Epub 2012/09/19. doi: 10.1111/irv.12014. PubMed PMID: 22984820; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5781202.
24. Cowling BJ, Fang VJ, Riley S, Malik Peiris JS, Leung GM. Estimation of the serial interval of influenza. *Epidemiology*. 2009;20(3):344-347. doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31819d1092
25. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. FluView Interactive [April 27, 2020]. Available from: <https://gis.cdc.gov/grasp/fluview/fluportaldashboard.html>
26. Sugisaki K, Seki N, Tanabe N, Saito R, Sasaki A, Sasaki S, et al. Effective school actions for mitigating seasonal influenza outbreaks in Niigata, Japan. *PloS one*. 2013;8(9):e74716. Epub 2013/09/17. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0074716. PubMed PMID: 24040329; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3769291.
27. Cauchemez S, Valleron AJ, Boelle PY, Flahault A, Ferguson NM. Estimating the impact of school closure on influenza transmission from Sentinel data. *Nature*. 2008;452(7188):750-4. Epub 2008/04/11. doi: 10.1038/nature06732. PubMed PMID: 18401408.
28. Bell D, Nicoll A, Fukuda K, Horby P, Monto A. World Health Organization Writing Group. Non-pharmaceutical interventions for pandemic influenza, national and community measures. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2006;12(1):88-94. PubMed PMID: WOS:000234419700017.
29. Germann TC, Gao HJ, Gambhir M, Plummer A, Biggerstaff M, Reed C, et al. School dismissal as a pandemic influenza response: When, where and for how long? *Epidemics*. 2019;28. doi: ARTN 10034810.1016/j.epidem.2019.100348. PubMed PMID: WOS:000484089000011.
30. Kawano S, Kakehashi M. Substantial Impact of School Closure on the Transmission Dynamics during the Pandemic Flu H1N1-2009 in Oita, Japan. *PloS one*. 2015;10(12). doi: ARTN e014483910.1371/journal.pone.0144839. PubMed PMID: WOS:000366719300025.
31. Ali ST, Cowling BJ, Lau EHY, Fang VJ, Leung GM. Mitigation of Influenza B Epidemic with School Closures, Hong Kong, 2018. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2018;24(11):2071-3. Epub 2018/10/20. doi: 10.3201/eid2411.180612. PubMed PMID: 30334723; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6200008.
32. Earn DJ, He D, Loeb MB, Fonseca K, Lee BE, Dushoff J. Effects of school closure on incidence of pandemic influenza in Alberta, Canada. *Ann Intern Med*. 2012;156(3):173-81. Epub 2012/02/07. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-156-3-201202070-00005. PMID: 22312137.
33. Wu JT, Cowling BJ, Lau EH, Ip DK, Ho LM, Tsang T, et al. School closure and mitigation of pandemic (H1N1) 2009, Hong Kong. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16(3):538-41. Epub 2010/03/06. doi: 10.3201/eid1603.091216. PubMed PMID: 20202441; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3206396.
34. Ryu S, Ali ST, Cowling BJ, Lau EHY. Effects of School Holidays on Seasonal Influenza in South Korea, 2014-2016. *J Infect Dis*. 2020;222(5):832-5. Epub 2020/04/12. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiaa179. PubMed PMID: 32277239; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC7399705.
35. Wheeler CC, Erhart LM, Jehn ML. Effect of school closure on the incidence of influenza among school-age children in Arizona. *Public Health Rep*. 2010;125(6):851-9. Epub 2010/12/03. doi: 10.1177/003335491012500612. PubMed PMID: 21121230; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2966666.
36. Temte JL, Barlow S, Goss M, Temte E, Schemmel A, Bell C, Reisdorf E, Shult P, Wedig M, Haupt T, Conway JH, Gangnon R, Uzicanin A. Cause-specific student absenteeism monitoring in K-12 schools for

detection of increased influenza activity in the surrounding community-Dane County, Wisconsin, 2014-2020. PLoS One. 2022 Apr 19;17(4):e0267111. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0267111. PMID: 35439269.

37. Litvinova M, Liu QH, Kulikov ES, Ajelli M. Reactive school closure weakens the network of social interactions and reduces the spread of influenza. PNAS. 2019;116(27):13174-81. Epub 2019/07/02. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1821298116. PMID: 31209042; PMCID: PMC6613079.

38. Ewing A, Lee EC, Viboud C, Bansal S. Contact, Travel, and Transmission: The Impact of Winter Holidays on Influenza Dynamics in the United States. J Infect Dis. 2017;215(5):732-9. Epub 2016/12/30. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiw642. PubMed PMID: 28031259; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5853779.

39. Temte JL, Meiman JG, Gangnon RE. School sessions are correlated with seasonal outbreaks of medically attended respiratory infections: electronic health record time series analysis, Wisconsin 2004-2011. Epidemiol Infect. 2019;147:e127. Epub 2019/03/15. doi: 10.1017/S0950268818003424. PubMed PMID: 30868998; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6518471.

40. Charlotte Jackson, Emilia Vynnycky, Punam Mangtani, The Relationship Between School Holidays and Transmission of Influenza in England and Wales, American Journal of Epidemiology, Volume 184, Issue 9, 1 November 2016, Pages 644–651, <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kww083>

**Table 1:** Length (in days) of winter, spring, and pseudo-breaks from the 2014/2015 to 2018/2019 academic years. Specific dates of each break are provided in parentheses.

Year	Winter break	Spring break	Pseudo-break
2014/2015	16 (12/20/2014— 1/04/2015)	9 (3/28/2015—4/05/2015)	9 (2/21/2015—3/01/2015)
2015/2016	12 (12/23/2015— 1/03/2016)	9 (3/19/2016—3/27/2016)	9 (2/13/2016—2/21/2016)
2016/2017	11 (12/23/2016— 1/02/2017)	9 (3/25/2017—4/02/2017)	9 (2/18/2017—2/26/2017)
2017/2018	10 (12/23/2017— 1/01/2018)	9 (3/24/2018—4/01/2018)	9 (2/17/2018—2/25/2018)
2018/2019	11 (12/22/2018— 1/01/2019)	9 (3/23/2019—3/31/2019)	9 (2/16/2019—2/24/2019)

**Table 2:** Absenteeism counts before and after the winter, spring, and pseudo-breaks over 5 academic years for influenza-like illness (ILI)-associated absenteeism (a-ILI) and the complement of this number (all other students in attendance or absent for other reasons). Odds ratios (OR) comparing after break to before break counts calculated using the Mantel-Haenszel test are provided along with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

school year	ILI?	Winter break	Winter break	Spring
		before break	after break	before
2014/15	ILI absences	262	152	74
	Not ILI absences	35618	32140	35806
2015/16	ILI absences	104	62	275
	Not ILI absences	37026	37068	36855
2016/17	ILI absences	80	77	115
	Not ILI absences	37410	33664	37375
2017/18	ILI absences	155	72	69
	Not ILI absences	38125	34380	34383
2018/19	ILI absences	51	47	224
	Not ILI absences	38619	38623	38446

		Winter break	Winter break	Spring
OR estimate of a-ILI, after vs. before	OR estimate of a-ILI, after vs. before	0.679	0.679	0.327
OR 95% CI	OR 95% CI	(0.600, 0.769)	(0.600, 0.769)	(0.283,

Table 3: Summary statistics of the fitted regression model comparing influenza-like illness absenteeism and community medically attended influenza occurring after winter, spring, and pseudo-breaks to the periods before breaks.

Break type	Coefficient	Estimate	Std. Error	z value	p value	Estimate 95% CI	Prop
Winter	after winter break	-0.727	0.169	-4.29	1.78E-05	-1.06 — -0.396	0.48
	weekly community ILI count	0.0592	0.00733	8.07	6.83E-16	0.0448 — 0.0736	1.06
Spring	after spring break	-0.717	0.205	-3.5	0.000465	-1.12 — -0.315	0.48
	weekly community ILI count	0.0453	0.00795	5.7	1.22E-08	0.0297 — 0.0609	1.05
Pseudo	after pseudo-break	0.0174	0.165	0.105	0.916	-0.306 — 0.341	1.02
	weekly community ILI count	0.0102	0.00703	1.45	0.146	-0.00358 — 0.024	1.01

**Figure 1:** Representative kindergarten through 12<sup>th</sup> grade academic year in the Oregon School District (OSD: Dane County, WI) showing school days (yellow squares) and non-school days for the 2016-2017 academic year. Longer-duration planned school breaks for Thanksgiving, winter break and spring break are demonstrated by empty boxes.

**Figure 2.** Weekly counts of Wisconsin influenza A and influenza B detections combined for the 5 academic years in this study. Influenza surveillance data were provided by the Wisconsin State Laboratory of Hygiene. Lightly shaded vertical bands demonstrate the actual timing of winter breaks (green) and spring breaks (orange) for each academic year / influenza season in this study. Nine-day pseudo-breaks between winter and spring breaks each year were introduced in this analysis to support any findings of impact from the planned breaks; they are shown as purple bars. Dark shaded bands demonstrate the 2-week assessment periods before and after winter breaks (green), spring breaks (orange) and pseudo-breaks (purple).

**Figure 3.** Distribution of number of absences due to influenza-like illness (a-ILI) per study year across all grade levels in the Oregon School District. 0 = kindergarten. 13 = students eligible to remain in the public school system past age 18 years.

**Figure 4.** Proportion of students absent due to influenza-like illness in the 2-week periods before and after winter breaks (green – upper panel), spring breaks (orange – upper panel) and pseudo-breaks (purple – lower panel) in each of 5 academic years. Nine-day-long pseudo-breaks between winter and spring breaks each year were included in this analysis to act as control periods for comparison. The 95% confidence intervals are demonstrated by brackets.

**Figure 5:** Estimated mean absenteeism due to influenza-like illness (a-ILI) counts for each of 10 school days before and after winter breaks (green line – upper panel), spring breaks (orange line – upper panel) and pseudo-breaks (purple line – lower panel) in each of 5 academic years (please see Table1 for precise dates and duration of real and pseudo-breaks). Nine-day pseudo-breaks between winter and spring breaks each year were introduced in this analysis to support any findings of true impact from the planned breaks. The 95% confidence intervals are demonstrated by shading. Model estimated an overall reduction in a-ILI in the periods following break compared to the period before break.



