

Single-center experience of LVAD implantation in patients with sickle-cell trait: a retrospective analysis

Elena Grasso¹, Khalid Al Khamees¹, Ahmed Ibrahim¹, hassane abdallah¹, Mohammed Ibrahim¹, Omer Sayin², and Roberto Lorusso³

¹Prince Sultan Cardiac Center Al Hassa

²Istanbul Universitesi Istanbul Tip Fakultesi

³Maastricht Universitair Medisch Centrum+

August 25, 2022

Abstract

Background: The most worrisome complications in patients supported by left ventricular assist device (LVAD) are pump thrombosis, embolism, and bleeding. The actual rate of these events in patients with sickle-cell disease (SCD) has not well investigated. The aim of our study is to evaluate the outcomes of LVAD implantation in patients with sickle-cell hemoglobinopathy at our institution. **Methods:** This retrospective, observational, single-center study was conducted on patients with sickle-cell trait (SCT), who underwent LVAD implantation using the HeartMate3 LVAD. **Results:** LVAD devices were implanted in four patients with SCT. All procedures were performed successfully. All patients had uneventful post-implant course. Overall, the mean follow-up time was 25 months (range 21-28 months) and showed an unremarkable post-implant course. There was a significant improvement in hematological markers over the follow-up period. **Conclusions:** Despite the limited numbers of patients enrolled in this study, our findings indicate that LVAD surgery is safe in SCD patients and offers remarkable clinical improvement. Further studies are needed to provide more evidence regarding this type of patients undergoing LVAD implantation.

Single-center experience of LVAD implantation in patients with sickle-cell trait: a retrospective analysis

Authors: Khalid Al Khamees¹*MD, Elena Grasso^{1,3}*MD, Ahmed Ibrahim² MD, Ph.D., Hassane Abdallah¹ MD, Mohammed Ibrahim¹ MD, Omer Sayin⁵ MD, Roberto Lorusso^{3,4}MD, Ph.D.

*Equally contributors

Authors' affiliations

1 Department of Adult Cardiac Surgery, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center- AlHassa, Saudi Arabia

2 Department Research& Biostatistics, Prince Sultan Cardiac Center- AlHassa, Saudi Arabia

3 Cardio-Thoracic Surgery Department, Heart & Vascular Centre, Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC+), Maastricht, The Netherlands

4 Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht, The Netherlands

5. Istanbul University,Istanbul Medical Faculty, Dep. Of Cardiovascular Surgery

Address for correspondence

Elena Grasso, M.D.

Department of Adult Cardiac Surgery, PSCCH

Al Hofuf Saudi Arabia

Email: elenagrasso@yahoo.it

Tel. 0039 3470638450

Abstract

Background: The most worrisome complications in patients supported by left ventricular assist device (LVAD) are pump thrombosis, embolism, and bleeding. The actual rate of these events in patients with sickle-cell disease (SCD) has not well investigated. The aim of our study is to evaluate the outcomes of LVAD implantation in patients with sickle-cell hemoglobinopathy at our institution.

Methods: This retrospective, observational, single-center study was conducted on patients with sickle-cell trait (SCT), who underwent LVAD implantation using the HeartMate3 LVAD.

Results: LVAD devices were implanted in four patients with SCT. All procedures were performed successfully. All patients had uneventful post-implant course. Overall, the mean follow-up time was 25 months (range 21-28 months) and showed an unremarkable post-implant course. There was a significant improvement in hematological markers over the follow-up period.

Conclusions: Despite the limited numbers of patients enrolled in this study, our findings indicate that LVAD surgery is safe in SCD patients and offers remarkable clinical improvement. Further studies are needed to provide more evidence regarding this type of patients undergoing LVAD implantation.

Keywords: LVAD, Sickle Cell Disease, Thrombosis, hemorrhage.

Abbreviation list

ALT Alanine aminotransferase

ASA Acetylsalicylic acid

AST Aspartate aminotransferase

BMI: Body Mass Index

EF: Ejection fraction

EUROMACS: European Registry for Patients with Mechanical Circulatory Support

Hb: Hemoglobin

HM3: HeartMate 3

INTERMACS: Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support

LDH Lactate dehydrogenase

LV: Left Ventricle

LVAD: Left Ventricular Assist Device

LVEDD: Left Ventricular End- Diastolic Dimension

LVESD : Left Ventricular End -Systolic Dimension

MCS: Mechanical Circulatory Support

NYHA: New York Heart Association

PAP: Pulmonary Artery Pressure

SD: Standard Deviation

Introduction

Sickle-cell disease (SCD) results from a variety of inherited genetic mutations in the hemoglobin-beta (HBB) gene resulting in defective beta-globin synthesis and culminating in attenuated oxygen transport (1). One particular HBB gene mutation produces an altered beta-globin molecule known as hemoglobin S (Hb S) with reduced oxygen delivery to end-organs.

Being regarded as a rare clinical entity, SCD in patients who need open heart surgery at large is poorly addressed in literature (2). With diagnostic techniques' advancement, we have encountered many patients with SCD associated with advanced heart failure that necessitates left ventricular assist device (LVAD) implantation. The aim of our present study was to evaluate the outcome of patients with SCD-related hemoglobinopathy undergoing LVAD implantation at our institution and then followed up after hospital discharge. Hence, we decided to retrospectively look into our data to evaluate the outcomes of LVAD implantation in this type of patients .

Methods

At our institution, LVAD implantation program for eligible patients with heart failure was started in year 2017. During the period from 2017 to 2019, the overall number of patients submitted to LVAD implantation using Heartmate3 was 28 patients, and 4 of them (14.3%) had sickle-cell trait (SCT) hemoglobinopathy. After obtaining approval from the Institutional Review Board, the medical records of the four SCT patients were reviewed and we retrospectively conducted an analysis.

Patient data analysis

Demographics variables, hemodynamic values, and peri-operative, intra-operative and postoperative homeostatic parameters and biomarkers are collected and analysed. Descriptive statistical analysis included categorical data in term of numbers and proportions, while continuous data were analysed in term of mean \pm SD. Analyzed data were presented in tables and graphs. Difference between some essential biomarkers was assessed. Student's T test and Pearson's chi-squared test were used to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between the pre, intra and postoperative measured biomarkers. P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant and been reported.

Anaesthetic Management and Cardiopulmonary Bypass

All patients receive their cardiac medications until the morning of surgery. Oral intake is usually stopped 6 hours before LVAD implantation. With glucose check-up every 2 hours and subcutaneous insulin injections as needed, diabetic adult patients receive intravenous fluids according to their body weight, starting at the initiation of preoperative fasting (2,3). Sublingual lorazepam (2 mg) is administered 2 hours before surgery to decrease anxiety. Anesthesia is induced with either Ketamine iv bolus, Etomidate iv, Fentanyl iv and Esmeron iv. After intubation, all patients were ventilated with 100% oxygen. All invasive procedures are performed while the patients are under deep anesthesia. Arterial blood pressure, central venous pressure, electrocardiogram, saturation with pulse oximetry and rectal temperature are routinely monitored during and after surgery (4). Anesthesia is maintained in these cases with Sevoflurane, Propofol iv, Fentanyl iv and Ketamine iv until the patients are fully awake in the postoperative period. Ultra-fast-track anesthetic (5) management is not performed in any of the patients, and all patients were transferred to the intensive care unit while still under full sedation. Patients are extubated when optimal cognitive, hemodynamic, and respiratory functions were achieved. For postoperative pain management, paracetamol is usually administered. Tranexamic acid (50 mg/kg) is used routinely to prevent bleeding complications (4,5,6). Perioperative changes in temperature, hemodynamics, and respiratory and metabolic parameters are recorded. Alterations in hemoglobin and hematocrit, blood loss, and transfusion requirement are monitored and documented. Standard hemoglobin electrophoresis is performed to detect the concentrations of HbS and HbA. By local protocol, all patients undergo LVAD implantation on central extra-corporeal circulation support.

Results

Base-line pre-LVAD implantation patients' characteristics were presented in Table 1.

Three male and one female were included in the study. The mean age for patients was 33 ± 10.1 years. Overall baseline characteristics of the patients are presented in term as follows: BMI (26.1 ± 3.7), EF: 11 ± 1.2 , cardiac index was 1.7 ± 0.3 , Hb 12 ± 1.6 , HbA% 62.6 ± 7.8 , HbA2% 2.8 ± 0.3 , HbS % 34 ± 6 , HbF% 2 ± 0.5 , Platelets $103/\mu\text{L}$ 299 ± 181 , total Bilirubin mg/dL 21 ± 13 , direct Bilirubin mg/dL 8.5 ± 6 , serum creatinine mg/dL 84 ± 10 , Urea mg/dL 5.2 ± 2 , AST u/l 14.1 ± 7 , ALT u/l 22.1 ± 13 , LDH u/l 159 ± 45 . More detailed preoperative characteristics for each patient are shown in table.1

Two patients underwent aortic clamping and cardiac arrest with warm blood cardioplegia to perform associated procedures. The potential risk of sickling within the coronary arteries with the administration of cold cardioplegia under the cross clamp was avoided with an initial normothermic (36°C) blood cardioplegia dose until cardiac arrest followed by a blood cardioplegia dose of 20 mL/kg at normothermic temperature. Subsequently, 10 mL/kg blood cardioplegia was administered every 20 minutes. Patient 2 underwent combined aortic valve replacement surgery with bioprosthesis implantation; the duration of CBP was 188 minutes and the cross clamp was 86 minutes. Patient 4 underwent combined mitral valve repair; the duration of CBP was 139 minutes and the cross-clamp time was 55. The bypass circuit volume was arranged to be 3 times the patient's circulating volume (7,8). These volumes were adjusted according to the age, weight, and body surface area of each individual patient to reach a hematocrit value of 30% during CPB in two patients and to decrease HbS levels to [?] 10% of circulating hemoglobin. Additional crystalloid, colloid, or red blood cells were added to the CPB circuit as needed according to the desired hematocrit levels (7,8). The flow was adjusted as body surface area times cardiac index (2.2 to 2.4 for the adult patients). To avoid the risk of sickling, rectal temperature was kept around 34°C , while pH was maintained between 7.34 and 7.44 (9). None of the patient received blood or any blood products transfusion preoperatively. Exchange transfusion was not performed preoperatively and during surgery in all patients. Three patients received all three types of blood components intra-operatively, while one patient received only platelets and plasma (Table 2). Neither sickling crisis nor acidosis occurred in any patient. There were no complications related to hemoglobinopathy in the immediate post-operative period, and at 3 and 6 months after discharge (no macroscopic or microscopic evidence of hemolysis were seen, nor hematuria or other clinical evidence of sickling). Anticoagulation for the LVAD was started with low molecular weight heparin on the ICU after 12-24 hours, depending on bleeding amount. No antiplatelet drugs were used in the first few days after LVAD implantation. The following days concomitant warfarin was administered to the patient until INR > 2.0. Target INR for the devices was 2.0—3.0. During postoperative hospital stay, International Normalized Ratio (INR) was daily monitored (10). Then, we combined warfarin and acetylsalicylic acid. There was neither mortality nor complication within 30 days, 3 months and 6 months postoperatively. Currently, all patients remain free of significant signs and symptoms of cardiac failure. Patient 1 received heart transplant 22 months after LVAD implantation without complications up to 30 days after heart transplant.

As a routine for our institution the follow up of the patients after discharge were performed by VAD Team every week in the outpatient clinic. There were variations in hematological markers among the patients, during follow-up. Figure 1 shows the mean hemoglobin remained below 10 g/dl after the procedure for all patients until first month of follow-up, then it gradually increased until it reached 12 g/dl (range 1.3 - 3.9 g/dl). Mean total bilirubin (Figure 3) decreased from 43.8 mg/dL on the first day of the operation to 11.9 mg/dl after six-month of follow-up (range 8.8 - 60 mg/dl). While mean direct bilirubin significantly decreased from 34 mg/dL on the first post-implant day to 6 mg/dl in six-month follow-up respectively (Figure 2). However, mean creatinine (Figure 4) decreased gradually from 124 mg/dl on day one post operation to 64 mg/dl in first month of follow-up, then started to increase to 83 mg/dl in the sixth months of follow-up. The elevation of the mean creatinine level was due to increase in the creatinine level of patient3 and patient 4 (Figure 4). However, there was statistically significant difference in mean creatinine (124 vs. 63 mg/dl; $P < 0.001$) for day one and after one-month of follow-up respectively (Table 3, Figure 4). There was statistically significant increase in mean of LDH in day one compared to baseline (616 vs.159

U/L; $P=0.002$) respectively (Figure 5). However, the level of LDH decreased gradually until it reached 239 U/L in month six of follow-up. There was a remarkable increase in mean platelet counts for all patients on the first postoperative day compared to baseline mean (164 vs. 299; $p=0.2$), but the increase was not statistically significant and was within the normal platelet range. Marked decrease in platelets was observed only in patient 3 in the first postoperative day. At six-months follow-up, the overall mean of platelets count remained within normal range. In spite of decrease in average platelet count at six months of follow-up, we didn't observe any bleeding event in any patient (Figure 6). No patient received platelets transfusion in the postoperative period.

Discussion

Sickle-cell hemoglobinopathy is a recessively inherited genetic disorder. Approximately 5% of the whole world population carries a potentially pathological SCD-related gene. SCD is frequently seen among Afro-Caribbean population but is also found in India, the Middle East, and Southern Europe. The prevalence is even higher in areas endemic for malaria, with SCT reaching around 25% in some parts of Africa and up to 60% in some areas of Saudi Arabia (1,25). It results from the mutation of the substitution of adenine for thymidine, which further ends up matching with valine rather than glutamine at the sixth codon of chromosome 11-globin gene (11,12). The condition may present as SCD, the severe form of which is the homozygous genotype (HbSS), in which the fractional concentration of HbS ranges between 70% and 98%. SCD may also manifest itself as SCT, which is rather benign and more common among populations as the heterozygous genotype (HbAS), in which the fractional concentration of HbS is 50%. In our study the mean HbS is 33.3% within a range of 24.5% to 41% (11,12). The SCD-related chronic anemia usually induces an increase of the cardiac output and intravascular volume to maintain adequate oxygen delivery. Consequently, left ventricular dilatation, eccentric hypertrophy and various types of arrhythmias may develop and have been linked to cardiovascular-related mortality in these patients. While systolic function is typically preserved, diastolic dysfunction frequently occurs, which is recognized as an independent risk factor for mortality in patients with SCD (13,14). Chronic hemolytic anemia results in the release of free hemoglobin and other red blood cell intracellular enzymes which inhibits nitric oxide and signals pathways causing vasoconstriction (13). Within the pulmonary vasculature, this mechanism is responsible for the development of pulmonary hypertension. Pulmonary hypertension, either secondary to volume overload and underlying diastolic heart failure, or as a primary pathology, is encountered in up to 60% of adult patients with SCD and contributes to early cardiovascular mortality (13,15).

Unfortunately, some of the patients with SCT developed heart failure in early life, which requires LVAD implantation to improve survival and quality of life for those patients. However, there is a paucity in published evidence on LVAD implantation and its management in SCT patients.

There are many precipitating factors (16) for sickling including stress, exposure to cold, dehydration, infections, hypoxia, inflammatory cascades, and acidosis. Stress is a major factor that may lead to sickling (16,17). Cardiac surgery itself constitutes a major stress for the patient, but the preparatory phase for operation, including intubation and the insertion of catheters, contributes considerably toward this stress and it is strongly recommended that patients must be kept fully sedated during this phase. Such stress conditions lead to potassium efflux, causing formation of insoluble globin polymers. These molecules increase the viscosity of blood and lead to vaso-occlusive phenomena, which include cell sickling, adherence of sickle cells to the endothelium, and vascular obstruction (11,12).

It should be noted that above-mentioned predisposing conditions are more common in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Especially during the operation, CPB itself, as well as aortic cross-clamping, low-flow states, topical or whole-body hypothermia, cold cardioplegia, and use of vasoconstrictive agents, may predispose to the crisis state. Hence, special care should be taken in sickle-cell patients who require cardiac surgery to avoid or, at least, to minimize those risks factors. These maneuvers may start with decreasing the amount of HbS concentration in the blood with red cell exchange transfusion. We do not use this procedure but the red cell exchange transfusion decreases the amount of circulating sickle cells without increasing hematocrit level or blood viscosity (18,19,20). Furthermore, blood transfusion is common during or after any kind of cardiac

surgery. Transfusions can be life-saving for patients with sickle-cell disease (SCD), but patients may develop antibodies against transfused red blood cells (RBCs) resulting in a delayed hemolytic transfusion reaction (DHTR) (21). No studies for SCT have been performed. Cell saver was not used, and auto transfusion was not performed during or after surgery (18,19). Red blood cells were replenished with packed red blood cells from healthy individuals obtained from the hospital blood bank (6,12). Cell-saver systems are frequently applied during cardiac surgery to conserve blood. Cell-saver systems include aspiration, a filter wash, and then re-transfusion of blood to the patient. Intra-operative blood salvage from patients who have sickle cell diseases is an issue that is debated in the medical community. The underlying concern is the possibility that cell salvage blood re-administered to the patient in question will sickle and further reduce oxygen-carrying capacity (20). There are no trials to support this concern, but, at the same time, the only evidence that supports the administration of cell salvage blood lies in case reports.

Hulatt et al. as described in the safety guidelines the Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland (AAGBI) on the use of intra-operative cell salvage, advise against the use of cell salvage for those individuals who may require such a blood-related procedure during their operation. They also indicate that the determination of the re-administration of cell salvage blood should be examined more on a case to case and individual basis with appropriate and informed consent (22). Certainly, further study is required in this area. At the same time, when considering the use of cell salvage, the decision should be made according to risk/benefit determinations on an individual patient basis (20). In our series, patients received transfusions in the postoperative period but none presented adverse phenomena. Most likely, this occurred since no use cell-saver system was adopted.

The potential risk of sickling within the coronary arteries with the administration of cold cardioplegia under the cross clamp was avoided with an initial normothermic (36degC) blood cardioplegia dose until cardiac arrest; it was followed by a full blood cardioplegia dose of 20 mL/kg at normothermic temperature. Subsequently, 10 mL/kg blood cardioplegia was administered every 20 minutes (23).

The REMATCH trial (24), which compared medical therapy to the HeartMate XVE, had a higher rate of adverse events in the LVAD group as described, but every subsequent trial has shown drastic improvements in rates of adverse events. This is especially evident in the trials of MOMENTUM trial which is the device used in the patients in this report. LVAD related thrombosis, in contrast to conventional vascular damage or inflammation mediated thrombosis, is largely driven by supraphysiologic levels of shear stress imparted to blood elements, notably platelets, and to anemia upon passage through the pump. In our series, we did not find an increase in thrombotic or hemorrhagic events in any patient.

Finally, LVADs have emerged as a mainstay of therapy for patients with advanced refractory left ventricular heart failure (HF). In recent years, a shift from large bulky pulsatile systems to small, rotary continuous flow pumps has been witnessed. With this shift in design, a progressive increase in survival has been observed. However, despite this overall outcome improvement, an accompanying rise in adverse events, notably device related thrombosis, thromboembolic events and adverse neurologic sequelae, has been detected. In our series, we did not observe any cases of thromboembolic events.

Conclusion

Based upon our experience, LVAD implantation in sickle-cell trait is safe and offers a remarkable improvement in patient outcomes. In spite of the limited numbers of patients enrolled in this case-series, the findings suggest that LVAD implantation can be successfully performed in patients with SCD trait by applying meticulous pre-operative, intra-operative, anesthetic, and post-operative management protocols. However, the literature on the specific evaluation and management of these patients remains limited and further studies are still needed to provide more evidences on this practice.

References

1. John S. Gibson and David C. Rees. How benign is sickle cell trait? EBioMedicine. 2016 Sep; 11: 21–22.

2. Voskaridou E, Christoulas D, Terpos E. Sick cell disease and the heart: Review of the current literature. *Br J Haematol*. 2012;157(6):664-673. doi: 10.1111/j.1365- 2141.2012.09143.x
3. Mennes I, Van de Velde M, Missant C. Sick cell anaemia and the consequences on the anaesthetic management of cardiac surgery. *Acta Anaesthesiol Belg* 2012;63:81-89.
4. Heiner M, Teasdale SJ, David T, Scott AA, Glynn MF. Aorta-coronary bypass in a patient with sickle cell trait. *Can Anaesth Soc J*. 1979;26: 428 – 434.
5. Djaiani GN, Cheng DC, Carroll JA, Yudin M, Karski JM. Fast-track cardiac anesthesia in patients with sickle cell abnormalities. *Anesth Analg*. 1999;89: 598 – 6038.
6. Howard J, Malfroy M, Llewelyn C, et al. The transfusion alternatives preoperatively in sickle cell disease (TAPS) study: A randomised, controlled, multicentre clinical trial. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9870):930-938. doi: 10.1016/S0140- 6736(12)61726-7.
7. Sachithanandan A, Nanjaiah P, Wright CJ, Rooney SJ. Mitral and tricuspid valve surgery in homozygous sickle cell disease: perioperative considerations for a successful outcome. *J Card Surg*. 2008;23:167–168.
8. Lazopoulos G, Kantartzis MM, Kantartzis M. Mitral valve replacement and tricuspid valve repair in a patient with sickle cell disease. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2008;56:567.
9. Sajjad M. Yousafzai, MD; Murat Ugurlucan, MD; Omar A. Al Radhwan, MD; Amal L. Al Otaibi, CP; Charles C. Canver, MD Open Heart Surgery in Patients with Sick Cell Hemoglobinopathy (Circulation. 2010;121: 14-19.).
10. Stehlik J, Johnson SA, Selzman CH. Gold standard in anticoagulation assessment of left ventricular assist device patients? how about bronze. *JACC. Heart failure*. 2015; 3:323–326. [PubMed: 25770402].
11. Thein SL. Genetic association studies in β hemoglobinopathies. *Hematology Am Soc Hematol Educ Program* 2013;2013:354-61.
12. Ashley-Koch A, Yang Q, Olney R. Sick hemoglobin (HbS) allele and sickle cell disease: A HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2000;151:839–45.
13. Gladwin MT, Sachdev V. Cardiovascular abnormalities in sickle cell disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2012;59(13):1123-1133. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2011.10.900.
14. Sachdev V, Machado RF, Shizukuda Y, et al. Diastolic dysfunction is an independent risk factor for death in patients with sickle cell disease. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2007;49(4):472-479. doi: S0735-1097(06)02776-8.
15. Vichinsky EP. Pulmonary hypertension in sickle cell disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2004;350(9):857-859. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp038250.
16. Payal Shah et al. Mental stress causes vasoconstriction in subjects with sickle cell disease and in normal controls. *Hematologica* . Vol. 105 No. 1 (2020): January, 2020. <https://doi.org/10.3324/haematol.2018.211391>.
17. Khan SA, Damanhour G, Ali A, Khan SA, Khan A, Bakillah A, Marouf S, Al Harbi G, Halawani SH, Makki A. Precipitating factors and targeted therapies in combating the perils of sickle cell disease— A special nutritional consideration. *Nutr Metab (Lond)*. 2016 Aug 8; 13:50. doi: 10.1186/s12986-016-0109-7. PMID: 27508000; PMCID: PMC4977632.
18. Hemming AE. Pro: exchange transfusion is required for sickle cell trait patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2004;18: 663 – 665.
19. Messent M. Con: exchange transfusion is not required for sickle cell trait patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth*. 2004;18: 666 – 667.

20. Yousafzai SM, Ugurlucan M, Al Radhwan OA, Al Otaibi AL, Canver CC. Open heart surgery in patients with sickle cell hemoglobinopathy. *Circulation* 2010;121:14-9.
21. France Pirenne and Karina Yazdanbakhsh. How I safely transfuse patients with sickle-cell disease and manage delayed hemolytic transfusion reactions *Blood The American Society of Hematology* 2018Jun 2; 131 (25): 2773-2781.
22. Hulatt LJ, Fisher W. Intra-operative cell salvage and sickle cell carrier status. *Anaesthesia* 2010; 65: 646-56.
23. Stephen A. Esper and Jonathan H. Waters. Intra-operative cell salvage: a fresh look at the indications and contraindications *Blood Transfus.* 2011 Apr; 9(2): 139–147.
24. Eric A. Rose, M.D., Annetine C. Gelijns, Ph.D., Alan J. Moskowitz, M.D., Daniel F. Heitjan. Long-Term Use of a Left Ventricular Assist Device for End-Stage Heart Failure *NEJM* 2001 (345) 1435-43.
25. Abozer Y. Elderderya, b, d, Abdulaziz S. Alshaibana, Reference Value Profile for Healthy Individuals from the Aljouf region of Saudi Arabia *J Hematol.* 2017;6(1):6-11.

Hosted file

Figures.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/503613/articles/583287-single-center-experience-of-lvad-implantation-in-patients-with-sickle-cell-trait-a-retrospective-analysis>

Hosted file

tables.docx available at <https://authorea.com/users/503613/articles/583287-single-center-experience-of-lvad-implantation-in-patients-with-sickle-cell-trait-a-retrospective-analysis>