

# Multiple Solutions for a Kirchhoff-type Fractional Coupled Problem with p-Laplacian

yi wany<sup>1</sup>, Lixin Tian<sup>1</sup>, and Dong Min-Jie<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences

<sup>2</sup>School of physical and mathematical sciences

June 27, 2022

## Abstract

In this paper, we look at a class of two-parameter coupled Kirchhoff-type fractional differential equations. Two differentiated methods are used to prove the existence of two solutions to the equation. The fundamental difference between the two methods is that the first provides asymptotic conditions for the non-linear terms on the right-hand side of the equation, while the second provides algebraic conditions; both methods combine substantial A-R conditions.

## Hosted file

MMA.rar available at <https://authorea.com/users/491543/articles/574512-multiple-solutions-for-a-kirchhoff-type-fractional-coupled-problem-with-p-laplacian>

# Multiple Solutions for a Kirchhoff-type Fractional Coupled Problem with p-Laplacian

Yi Wang · Li-Xin Tian · Min-jie Dong

Received: date / Accepted: date

**Abstract** In this paper, we look at a class of two-parameter coupled Kirchhoff-type fractional differential equations. Two differentiated methods are used to prove the existence of two solutions to the equation. The fundamental difference between the two methods is that the first provides asymptotic conditions for the non-linear terms on the right-hand side of the equation, while the second provides algebraic conditions; both methods combine substantial A-R conditions.

**Keywords** Kirchhoff-type fractional equation, p-Laplacian operator, Variational methods, Critical point theory.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 26A33, 35A15, 58E05.

---

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 11731014, No. 72174091.

---

Yi Wang  
School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210023, P.R.China  
E-mail: wy182270@163.com

Li-Xin Tian (✉)  
School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 210023, P.R.China  
Nonlinear Scientific Research Center, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, Jiangsu, 212013, P.R.China  
E-mail: tianlx@ujs.edu.cn

Min-jie Dong  
School of physical and mathematical sciences, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 211816, P.R.China  
E-mail: dongminjiepoppy@126.com

## 1 Introduction

The following coupled Kirchhoff-type fractional differential problem with p-Laplacian is investigated in this paper.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(u(t)) \left( {}_t D_T^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) + \ell_1(t) \phi_p(u(t)) \right) \\ = \lambda f_u(t, u(t), v(t)) + \mu g_u(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ \mathcal{B}(v(t)) \left( {}_t D_T^\beta \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t) {}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) + \ell_2(t) \phi_p(v(t)) \right) \\ = \lambda f_v(t, u(t), v(t)) + \mu g_v(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \quad v(0) = v(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(u(t)) = \left( \hat{a} + \hat{b} \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p + \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{p-1},$$

$$\mathcal{B}(v(t)) = \left( \hat{c} + \hat{d} \int_0^T \tilde{h}_2(t) |{}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|^p + \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^p dt \right)^{p-1},$$

$\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{d} > 0$  and  $p > 1$  are constants,  ${}_0 D_t^\alpha$ ,  ${}_0 D_t^\beta$  and  ${}_t D_T^\alpha$ ,  ${}_t D_T^\beta$  are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha, \beta \in (\frac{1}{p}, 1]$  respectively.  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  ( $s \neq 0$ ),  $\phi_p(0) = 0$ ,  $\tilde{h}_1, \tilde{h}_2 \in L^\infty([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  with  $\tilde{h}_1^0 = \text{ess inf}_{[0, T]} \tilde{h}_1(t) > 0$ ,  $\tilde{h}_2^0 = \text{ess inf}_{[0, T]} \tilde{h}_2(t) > 0$ ,  $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  with  $\ell_1^0 = \text{ess inf}_{[0, T]} \ell_1(t) > 0$ ,  $\ell_2^0 = \text{ess inf}_{[0, T]} \ell_2(t) > 0$ ,  $f(t, u(t), v(t)), g(t, u(t), v(t)) : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2$  are  $C^1$  functions,  $f_s$  and  $g_s$  denote the partial derivatives of  $f$  and  $g$  with respect to  $s$ ,  $\lambda, \mu$  are two positive real parameters.

The variational method and the critical point theorem have yielded substantial results in the study of the existence and multiplicity of solutions to fractional order differential equations in recent years. Of these, two main types of fractional order differential equations have been extensively studied. One type is called the fractional advection dispersion equation, which was developed thanks to the modelling of contaminant transport in groundwater by Fix et al. in [1]. We can turn to the literature for additional investigations of this type of problems, such as [2–6]. In [2], Jiao et al. originally demonstrated that critical point theory is a useful tool for investigating the existence of solutions to the fractional advection dispersion equation below.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2} {}_0 D_t^{-\beta} (u'(t)) + \frac{1}{2} {}_t D_T^{-\beta} (u'(t)) \right) + \nabla F(t, u(t)) = 0, \quad a.e. \ t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  ${}_0 D_t^{-\beta}$  and  ${}_t D_T^{-\beta}$  are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of order  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  respectively,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a given function and  $\nabla F(t, x)$  is the gradient of  $F$  at  $x$ . A differential equation with mixed fractional order derivatives is another type; see, for example, [7–11].

Unlike the two types of fractional order differential equations listed above, the Kirchhoff-type fractional order differential equations discussed in this study have received less attention to my knowledge. (see [12–16] and references therein). In these articles, just certain asymptotic conditions for the non-linear terms on the right-hand side of the equation are given to derive the existence and multiplicity results for the equation's solutions, ignoring the geometric conditions for the non-linear terms, which are addressed in this paper. For instance, Chen et al. deduced in [12] that the following equation has at least one non-trivial weak solution when  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -superlinear or  $(p^2 - 1)$ -sublinear in  $x$  at infinity and possesses infinitely many nontrivial weak solutions when  $f(t, x)$  is  $(p^2 - 1)$ -sublinear in  $x$  at infinity.

$$\begin{cases} \left( (a + b \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt)^{p-1} {}_tD_T^\alpha \phi_p({}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) = f(t, u(t)), & t \in (0, T), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $a, b > 0$  and  $p > 1$  are constants,  ${}_0D_t^\alpha$  and  ${}_tD_T^\alpha$  are the left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives of order  $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{p}, 1]$ , respectively, and  $\phi_p : R \rightarrow R$  is p-Laplacian defined by  $\phi_p(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$  ( $s \neq 0$ ),  $\phi_p(0) = 0$ . and  $f \in C([0, T] \times R, R)$ . In contrast to equation (3), we investigate a new complex equation (1) and explore the case of two equations with the non-linear term including two perturbation parameters, as well as the nonlocal terms  $\mathcal{A}(u(t))$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(v(t))$  on the left-hand side of the equation. The variational structure of equation (1) becomes more complex and difficult to investigate as a result of these factors. As a result, our work complements and improves Chen et al. [12] and Kang et al. [16]. Specifically, when  $\hat{a} = \hat{c} = 1$ ,  $\hat{b} = \hat{d} = \mu = 0$ ,  $\ell_1(t) = \ell_2(t) = \ell$  are constant functions then equation (1) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\begin{cases} {}_tD_T^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) + \tilde{\ell} \phi_p(u(t)) = \lambda f_u(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ {}_tD_T^\beta \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) + \tilde{\ell} \phi_p(v(t)) = \lambda f_v(t, u(t), v(t)), \\ u(0) = u(T) = 0, \quad v(0) = v(T) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

In [7], Using a three-critical point theorem, Li et al. discovered that there are at least three weak solutions for the type of problem mentioned above.

The idea behind this article is as follows. In Section 2, some important definitions and lemmas are given in this section. In Section 3, we conclude that there are at least two different weak solutions to the equation (1) by combining Lemma 5 and Lemma 6, rather than using the traditional mountain pass theorem as in [2, 10, 12, 13, 16], and then Theorem 1 is used to show that the problem has at least two non-trivial solutions based on geometric considerations. Finally, we bring the paper to a close in Section 4.

## 2 Preliminaries and lemmas

In this section we give some important definitions and lemmas to facilitate the discussion in subsequent articles, and we also establish the problem (1) function space and variational structure.

**Definition 1** ([17,18]) Let function  $u(t)$  be defined on  $[a, b]$ . The left and right Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives with order  $0 < \tilde{\gamma} \leq 1$ , respectively are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a D_t^{\tilde{\gamma}} u(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} {}_a D_t^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\gamma})} \frac{d}{dt} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\tilde{\gamma}} u(s) ds, \\ {}_t D_b^{\tilde{\gamma}} u(t) &= -\frac{d}{dt} {}_t D_b^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} u(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\gamma})} \frac{d}{dt} \int_t^b (s-t)^{-\tilde{\gamma}} u(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2** ([17,18]) Let  $0 < \tilde{\gamma} \leq 1$ , and  $u \in AC([a, b])$ , Then, the left and right Caputo fractional derivatives with order  $\tilde{\gamma}$ , respectively are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {}_a^c D_t^{\tilde{\gamma}} u(t) &= {}_a D_t^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} u'(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\gamma})} \int_a^t (t-s)^{-\tilde{\gamma}} u'(s) ds, \\ {}_t^c D_b^{\tilde{\gamma}} u(t) &= -{}_t D_b^{\tilde{\gamma}-1} u'(t) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\tilde{\gamma})} \int_t^b (s-t)^{-\tilde{\gamma}} u'(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1** ([17,19]) Let  $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \geq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} \leq 1 + \tilde{\gamma}$  or  $p \neq 1$ ,  $q \neq 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \tilde{\gamma}$ . If  $u \in L^p([a, b], R)$ ,  $v \in L^q([a, b], R)$ , then

$$\int_a^b ({}_a D_t^{-\tilde{\gamma}} u(t)) v(t) dt = \int_a^b ({}_t D_b^{-\tilde{\gamma}} v(t)) u(t) dt.$$

Let  $C_0^\infty([0, T], R^N)$  be the set of all functions  $u \in C^\infty([0, T], R^N)$  with  $u(0) = u(T) = 0$  and the norm  $\|u\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)|$ ,  $\|u\|_{L^p} = (\int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

In the following we establish the function space and variational structure of problem (1).

**Definition 3** Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The fractional derivative space  $\hat{E}_0^\alpha = \overline{C_0^\infty([0, T], R)}$  with the weighted norm

$$\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha} = \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (5)$$

**Remark 1** ([3]) For  $u \in \hat{E}_0^\alpha$ , we have  $u, {}_0 D_t^\alpha u \in L^p([0, T], R)$ . It is well know that the space  $\hat{E}_0^\alpha$  is a reflexive and separable Banach space.

**Lemma 2** ([20]) Let  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . For any  $u \in \hat{E}_0^\alpha$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_1 \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}, \quad (6)$$

moreover, if  $\alpha > \frac{1}{p}$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_1^* \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1 = \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)(\min\{\tilde{h}_1^0, \ell_1^0\})^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ ,  $\tilde{\Lambda}_1^* = \frac{T^{\alpha-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1)q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}(\min\{\tilde{h}_1^0, \ell_1^0\})^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ .

Analogous to the definition and properties of  $\hat{E}_0^\alpha$ , we define the fractional derivative space  $\hat{E}_0^\beta = \overline{C_0^\infty([0, T], R)}$  with the weighted norm

$$\|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta} = \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_2(t) |{}_0D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (8)$$

Similar with (6) and (7), we have

$$\|v\|_{L^p} \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_2 \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}, \quad (9)$$

moreover, if  $\beta > \frac{1}{p}$  with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then

$$\|v\|_\infty \leq \tilde{\Lambda}_2^* \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}, \quad (10)$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}_2 = \frac{T^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta+1)(\min\{\tilde{h}_2^0, \ell_2^0\})^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ ,  $\tilde{\Lambda}_2^* = \frac{T^{\beta-\frac{1}{p}}}{\Gamma(\beta)((\beta-1)q+1)^{\frac{1}{q}}(\min\{\tilde{h}_2^0, \ell_2^0\})^{\frac{1}{p}}}$ .

Now, for any  $u \in \hat{E}_0^\alpha$ ,  $v \in \hat{E}_0^\beta$ , we define a space  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta = \hat{E}_0^\alpha \times \hat{E}_0^\beta$  with the norm

$$\|(u, v)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} = (\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p + \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall (u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta.$$

Define  $\|(u, v)\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} |u(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, T]} |v(t)|$ . When  $\alpha, \beta > \frac{1}{p}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, v)\|_\infty &\leq \tilde{\Lambda}_1^* \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha} + \tilde{\Lambda}_2^* \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta} \leq \tilde{\Lambda}^* (\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha} + \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}) \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \tilde{\Lambda}^* \|(u, v)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{\Lambda}^* = \max\{\tilde{\Lambda}_1^*, \tilde{\Lambda}_2^*\}$ .

**Lemma 3 ([21])** For  $\alpha, \beta \leq 1$ , and  $1 < p < \infty$ . The fractional derivative space  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  is a reflexive separable Banach space and compactly embedded in  $C^0([0, T], R) \times C^0([0, T], R)$ .

**Lemma 4 ([20])** Let  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Assume that the sequence  $\{u_k\}$  converges weakly to  $u$  in  $\hat{E}_0^\alpha$  or  $\hat{E}_0^\beta$ , i.e.,  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ , then  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $C([0, T], R)$ .

**Definition 4** The function  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  is a weak solution of problem (1) if the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p \right)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) {}_0D_t^\alpha x(t) \\ & + \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) x(t) dt \\ & + \left( \hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) {}_0D_t^\beta y(t) \\ & + \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t) y(t) dt \\ & - \lambda \int_0^T f_u(t, u(t), v(t)) x(t) + f_v(t, u(t), v(t)) y(t) dt \\ & - \mu \int_0^T g_u(t, u(t), v(t)) x(t) + g_v(t, u(t), v(t)) y(t) dt = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

holds for any  $(x, y) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ .

Define the functional  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda, \hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi} : \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow R$  as follow:

$$\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v) = \hat{\Phi}(u, v) - \lambda \hat{\Psi}(u, v), \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Phi}(u, v) &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} \left( \hat{a} + \hat{b} \int_0^T (\hat{h}_1(t)|_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p + \ell_1(t)|u(t)|^p) dt \right)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} \left( \hat{c} + \hat{d} \int_0^T (\hat{h}_2(t)|_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|^p + \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^p) dt \right)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\hat{\Psi}(u, v) = \int_0^T f(t, u(t), v(t)) dt + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_0^T g(t, u(t), v(t)) dt. \quad (15)$$

Clearly,  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda, \hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi} \in C^1(\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta, R)$  and for all  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , we have

$$\langle \hat{\varphi}'_\lambda(u, v), (x, y) \rangle = \langle \hat{\Phi}'(u, v), (x, y) \rangle - \lambda \langle \hat{\Psi}'(u, v), (x, y) \rangle, \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\Phi}'(u, v), (x, y) \rangle &= \left( \hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p \right)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t))_0 D_t^\alpha x(t) \\ &\quad + \ell_1(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)x(t) dt \\ &\quad + \left( \hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t))_0 D_t^\beta y(t) \\ &\quad + \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^{p-2} v(t)y(t) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{\Psi}'(u, v), (x, y) \rangle &= \int_0^T f_u(t, u(t), v(t))x(t) + f_v(t, u(t), v(t))y(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \int_0^T g_u(t, u(t), v(t))x(t) + g_v(t, u(t), v(t))y(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the critical point of functional  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  is a weak solution of (1).

**Definition 5 ([22])** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $\psi \in C^1(E, R)$ . we say that  $\psi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition(denoted by P.S.condition), if any sequence  $\{u_k\} \subset E$  for which  $\{\psi(u_k)\}$  is bounded and  $\psi'(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  possesses a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 5 ([23])** Let  $E$  is a real Banach space and  $\psi \in C^1(E, R)$ , and  $\psi$  satisfies the P.S.condition. Assume that there exist  $u_0, u_1 \in E$  and a bounded open neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $u_0$  such that  $u_1 \in E \setminus \bar{\Omega}$  and

$$\max\{\psi(u_0), \psi(u_1)\} < \inf_{v \in \partial\Omega} \psi(v).$$

Let

$$\sigma = \inf_{j \in \Gamma} \max_{s \in [0,1]} \psi(j(s)),$$

where  $\Gamma = \{j | j \in C([0,1], E) : j(0) = u_0, j(1) = u_1\}$ . Obviously,  $\sigma$  is a critical value of  $\psi$ , i.e. there exists  $u^* \in E$  such that  $\psi'(u^*) = 0$ ,  $\psi(u^*) = \sigma$  with  $\sigma > \max\{\psi(u_0), \psi(u_1)\}$ .

**Lemma 6 (Theorem 38.A, [24])** For the functional  $\psi : B \subseteq E \rightarrow R$  with  $B$  not empty,  $\tilde{\alpha} = \min_{u \in B} \psi(u)$  admits a solution in the following hold:

- (i)  $E$  is a real reflexive Banach space;
- (ii)  $B$  is bounded and weak sequentially closed, i.e., for each  $\{u_k\} \subset B$  such that  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $u \in B$ ;
- (iii)  $\psi$  is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous in  $B$ , i.e., for each  $\{u_k\} \subset B$  such that  $u_k \rightharpoonup u$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $\psi(u) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi(u_k)$ .

**Theorem 1 (Theorem 1.3, [25])** Let  $E$  be a real Banach space.  $\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi} : E \rightarrow R$  be two continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals such that  $\inf_E \hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi}(0) = \hat{\Psi}(0) = 0$ . Assume that there exist  $r \in R$  and  $\tilde{u} \in E$ , with  $0 < \hat{\Phi}(\tilde{u}) < r$ , such that

$$(i) \quad \frac{\sup_{\hat{\Phi}(u) \leq r} \hat{\Psi}(u)}{r} < \frac{\hat{\Psi}(\tilde{u})}{\hat{\Phi}(\tilde{u})},$$

- (ii) for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda := ]\frac{\hat{\Phi}(\tilde{u})}{\hat{\Psi}(\tilde{u})}, \frac{r}{\sup_{\hat{\Phi}(u) \leq r} \hat{\Psi}(u)}[$ , the functional  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda = \hat{\Phi} - \lambda \hat{\Psi}$  is unbounded from below and satisfies P.S.condition.

Then, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the functional  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda = \hat{\Phi} - \lambda \hat{\Psi}$  has at least two distinct critical points in  $E$ .

### 3 Main Results

In this section we study multiple solutions of problem (1) by Lemmas 5, 6 and Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2** Let  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f(t, 0, 0) = g(t, 0, 0) = 0$ . Assume that

(H<sub>1</sub>) for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  there exist nonnegative constants  $\tilde{L}, \tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2$  with  $\max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} < \frac{1}{p^2}$  such that

$$0 < f(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \tilde{\theta}_1 (f_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) + f_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)), |(u, v)| \geq \tilde{L},$$

$$0 < g(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \tilde{\theta}_2 (g_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) + g_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)), |(u, v)| \geq \tilde{L}.$$

(H<sub>2</sub>) for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  such that

$$\lim_{|u(t)| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t, u(t), v(t))}{|u(t)|^p} = 0, \quad \lim_{|v(t)| \rightarrow 0} \frac{g(t, u(t), v(t))}{|v(t)|^p} = 0.$$



(H<sub>3</sub>) for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , there exist a constant  $\tilde{\gamma} > p^2$  such that

$$\lim_{|u(t)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t, u(t), v(t))}{|u(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}}} = \infty, \quad \lim_{|v(t)| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(t, u(t), v(t))}{|v(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}}} = \infty.$$

Then, problem (1) admits at least two weak solutions.

*Proof* We complete the proof by three steps:

Step I. we show that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda : \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow R$  satisfies the P.S. condition.

First, assume that  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  is a sequence such that

$$|\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_k, v_k)| \leq \tilde{C}, \quad \hat{\varphi}'_\lambda(u_k, v_k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (19)$$

where  $\tilde{C} > 0$  is a constant. we prove that  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ . From the continuity of  $f(t, u(t), v(t)) - \tilde{\theta}_1 f_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) - \tilde{\theta}_1 f_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)$ ,  $g(t, u(t), v(t)) - \tilde{\theta}_2 g_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) - \tilde{\theta}_2 g_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $|(u, v)| \leq \tilde{L}$ , and (H<sub>1</sub>), there exist constants  $\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2$  such that

$$f(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \tilde{\theta}_1 (f_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) + f_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)) + \tilde{c}_1, \quad \forall (u, v) \in R^2,$$

$$g(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \tilde{\theta}_2 (g_u(t, u(t), v(t))u(t) + g_v(t, u(t), v(t))v(t)) + \tilde{c}_2, \quad \forall (u, v) \in R^2,$$

which together with (13), (14), (15) and (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C} &\geq \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_k, v_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) dt - \mu \int_0^T g(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\ &\quad - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \left( \lambda \int_0^T f_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) u_k(t) + f_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) v_k(t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \mu \int_0^T g_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) u_k(t) + g_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) v_k(t) dt \right) \\ &\quad - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T \\ &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\ &\quad - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \left( (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p + (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \langle \varphi'_\lambda(u_k, v_k), (u_k, v_k) \rangle \right) - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T \\ &= (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p) - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p \right) \\ &\quad + (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p) - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \langle \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k), (u_k, v_k) \rangle - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T \\
& \geq \left( \hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right)^{p-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \right) \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p + \frac{\hat{a}}{\hat{b}p^2} \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \right)^{p-1} \left( \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \right) \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p + \frac{\hat{c}}{\hat{d}p^2} \right) \\
& \quad - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \|\hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k)\| \cdot \|(u_k, v_k)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T \\
& \geq \min\{\hat{a}^{p-1}, \hat{c}^{p-1}\} \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \right) \|(u_k, v_k)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p \\
& \quad - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \|\hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k)\| \cdot \|(u_k, v_k)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k) \rightarrow 0$ , there exist  $\tilde{N}_0 \in N$ , for  $k > \tilde{N}_0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{C} & \geq \min\{\hat{a}^{p-1}, \hat{c}^{p-1}\} \left( \frac{1}{p^2} - \max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} \right) \|(u_k, v_k)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p \\
& \quad - \|(u_k, v_k)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} - \lambda \tilde{c}_1 T - \mu \tilde{c}_2 T.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from  $\max\{\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2\} < \frac{1}{p^2}$  that  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$  is bounded in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ .

In the following, we prove that the sequence  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has a convergent subsequence in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ . Since  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$  is a reflexive Banach space, there exists a weakly convergent subsequence with  $(u_{k_i}, v_{k_i}) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$  in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ . For the sake of discussion, we note  $\{(u_{k_i}, v_{k_i})\}$  as  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$ , thus  $(u_k, v_k) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$  in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k) - \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u, v), (u_k, v_k) - (u, v) \rangle \\
& = \langle \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k), (u_k, v_k) - (u, v) \rangle - \langle \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u, v), (u_k, v_k) - (u, v) \rangle \\
& \leq \|\hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u_k, v_k)\| \cdot \|(u_k, v_k) - (u, v)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} - \langle \hat{\varphi}'_{\lambda}(u, v), (u_k, v_k) - (u, v) \rangle \\
& \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Additionally, from (11) and lemma 4, we get that the  $\{(u_k, v_k)\}$  is bounded in  $C([0, T], R)^2$  and  $\|(u_k, v_k) - (u, v)\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left( f_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - f_u(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) \\
& + \left( f_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - f_v(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left( g_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - g_u(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) \\
& + \left( g_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - g_v(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then, by straightforward calculation from (16), (17) and (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \hat{\varphi}'_\lambda(u_k, v_k) - \hat{\varphi}'_\lambda(u, v), (u_k, v_k) - (u, v) \rangle \\
& + \lambda \int_0^T \left( f_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - f_u(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) \\
& + \left( f_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - f_v(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& + \mu \int_0^T \left( g_{u_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - g_u(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) \\
& + \left( g_{v_k}(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) - g_v(t, u(t), v(t)) \right) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& = (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\
& + \ell_1(t) |u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\
& + (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t)) ({}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \\
& + \ell_2(t) |v_k(t)|^{p-2} v_k(t) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& - (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\
& + \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\
& - (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) ({}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \\
& + \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& = (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\
& + (\ell_1(t) |u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) - \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\
& + (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\hat{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\hat{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \\
& + (\ell_2(t) |v_k(t)|^{p-2} v_k(t) - \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t)) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& + ((\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1}) \\
& \times \int_0^T \frac{1}{\hat{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\hat{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\
& + \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\
& + ((\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1})
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) ({}_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \\ & + \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt, \end{aligned}$$

considering that a weak convergence of  $\{(u_k(t), v_k(t))\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \right) \\ & \times \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) ({}_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ & + \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\ & = \left( (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u_k\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{a} + \hat{b} \|u\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^{p-1} \right) (\varphi'_{\lambda 1}(u(t)), u_k(t) - u(t)) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \right) \\ & \times \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) ({}_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \\ & + \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^{p-2} v(t) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\ & = \left( (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v_k\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} - (\hat{c} + \hat{d} \|v\|_{\tilde{E}_0^\beta}^p)^{p-1} \right) (\varphi'_{\lambda 2}(v(t)), v_k(t) - v(t)) \\ & \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_{\lambda 1}(u(t)) &= \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^p dt \right), \\ \hat{\varphi}_{\lambda 2}(v(t)) &= \frac{1}{p} \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_2(t) |{}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_2(t) |v(t)|^p dt \right), \end{aligned}$$

by (20) to (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ & + \left( \ell_1(t) |u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) - \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t)) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) ({}_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (\ell_2(t)|v_k(t)|^{p-2}v_k(t) - \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^{p-2}v(t))(v_k(t) - v(t))dt \rightarrow 0. \quad (27)$$

Based on a notorious inequality in [26], for any  $\tilde{s}_1, \tilde{s}_2 \in R^N$ , there exists a constant  $\tilde{a} > 0$  such that

$$\langle |\tilde{s}_1|^{p-2}\tilde{s}_1 - |\tilde{s}_2|^{p-2}\tilde{s}_2, \tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{s}_2 \rangle \geq \begin{cases} \tilde{a}|\tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{s}_2|^p, & p \geq 2, \\ \tilde{a} \frac{|\tilde{s}_1 - \tilde{s}_2|^2}{(|\tilde{s}_1| + |\tilde{s}_2|)^{2-p}}, & 1 < p \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

then by (28), there exist constants  $\tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_3, \tilde{d}_4 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) \\ & \quad \times ({}_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \tilde{d}_1 \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} |\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - \tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt, & p \geq 2, \\ \tilde{d}_1 \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - \tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt, & 1 < p \leq 2, \end{cases} \\ & \int_0^T (\ell_1(t)|u_k(t)|^{p-2}u_k(t) - \ell_1(t)|u(t)|^{p-2}u(t))(u_k(t) - u(t))dt \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \tilde{d}_2 \int_0^T \ell_1(t)|u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt, & p \geq 2, \\ \tilde{d}_2 \int_0^T \ell_1(t) \frac{|u_k(t) - u(t)|^2}{(|u_k(t)| + |u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt, & 1 < p \leq 2, \end{cases} \\ & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) \\ & \quad \times ({}_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\beta v(t)) dt \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \tilde{d}_3 \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-1}} |\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - \tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt, & p \geq 2, \\ \tilde{d}_3 \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_2(t)^{p-1}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t) - \tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|^2}{(|\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v_k(t)| + |\tilde{h}_2(t)_0 D_t^\beta v(t)|)^{2-p}} dt, & 1 < p \leq 2, \end{cases} \\ & \int_0^T (\ell_2(t)|v_k(t)|^{p-2}v_k(t) - \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^{p-2}v(t))(v_k(t) - v(t))dt \\ & \geq \begin{cases} \tilde{d}_4 \int_0^T \ell_2(t)|v_k(t) - v(t)|^p dt, & p \geq 2, \\ \tilde{d}_4 \int_0^T \ell_2(t) \frac{|v_k(t) - v(t)|^2}{(|v_k(t)| + |v(t)|)^{2-p}} dt, & 1 < p \leq 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

When  $1 < p \leq 2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - \tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \quad \times \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} (|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^p dt \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} \frac{|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - \tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|^2}{(|\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u_k(t)| + |\tilde{h}_1(t)_0 D_t^\alpha u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times 2^{(p-1)\frac{2-p}{2}} (\tilde{h}_1^0)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)|^p + |{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}},$$

therefore we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) \\ & \times ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) dt \\ & \geq 2^{(1-p)\frac{2-p}{p}} (\tilde{h}_1^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \tilde{d}_1 \left( \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ & \times \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

A similar calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \ell_1(t) \frac{|u_k(t) - u(t)|^2}{(|u_k(t)| + |u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \left( \int_0^T \ell_1(t) (|u_k(t)| + |u(t)|)^p dt \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_0^T \ell_1(t) \frac{|u_k(t) - u(t)|^2}{(|u_k(t)| + |u(t)|)^{2-p}} dt \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ & \times 2^{(p-1)\frac{2-p}{2}} (\ell_1^0)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \left( \int_0^T |u_k(t)|^p + |u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

therefore we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \ell_1(t) |u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) - \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\ & \geq 2^{(1-p)\frac{2-p}{p}} (\ell_1^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \tilde{d}_2 \left( \|u_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|u(t)\|_{L^p}^p \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ & \times \left( \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

From (29) and (30), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\tilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\ & + \left( \ell_1(t) |u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) - \ell_1(t) |u(t)|^{p-2} u(t) \right) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\ & \geq \tilde{K}_1 \left( \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right) \\ & \geq 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \tilde{K}_1 \left( \int_0^T \tilde{h}_1(t) |{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_1(t) |u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & = 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \tilde{K}_1 \|u_k(t) - u(t)\|_{E_0^\alpha}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $\widetilde{K}_1 = 2^{(1-p)\frac{2-p}{p}} \min\{(\widetilde{h}_1^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{d}_1(\|{}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|{}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}, (\ell_1^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{d}_2(\|u_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|u(t)\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\}$ .

When  $P \geq 2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_1(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)) \\
& \quad + (\ell_1(t)|u_k(t)|^{p-2} u_k(t) - \ell_1(t)|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t)) (u_k(t) - u(t)) dt \\
& \geq \int_0^T \widetilde{d}_1 \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_1(t)^{p-1}} |\widetilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u_k(t) - \widetilde{h}_1(t) {}_0D_t^\alpha u(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \widetilde{d}_2 \ell_1(t) |u_k(t) - u(t)|^p dt \\
& \geq \min\{\widetilde{d}_1, \widetilde{d}_2\} \|u_k(t) - u(t)\|_{\widetilde{E}_0^\alpha}^p. \tag{32}
\end{aligned}$$

Likewise, when  $1 < p < 2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \\
& \quad + (\ell_2(t)|v_k(t)|^{p-2} v_k(t) - \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^{p-2} v(t)) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& \geq \widetilde{K}_2 \left( \int_0^T \widetilde{h}_2(t) |{}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_2(t) |v_k(t) - v(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
& \geq 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{K}_2 \left( \int_0^T \widetilde{h}_2(t) |{}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \ell_2(t) |v_k(t) - v(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
& = 2^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{K}_2 \|v_k(t) - v(t)\|_{\widetilde{E}_0^\beta}^2, \tag{33}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\widetilde{K}_2 = 2^{(1-p)\frac{2-p}{p}} \min\{(\widetilde{h}_2^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{d}_3(\|{}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|{}_0D_t^\beta v(t)\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}, (\ell_2^0)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \widetilde{d}_4(\|v_k(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \|v(t)\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{p-2}{p}}\}$ .

When  $P \geq 2$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T \left( \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t)) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_2(t)^{p-2}} \phi_p(\widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \right) ({}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)) \\
& \quad + (\ell_2(t)|v_k(t)|^{p-2} v_k(t) - \ell_2(t)|v(t)|^{p-2} v(t)) (v_k(t) - v(t)) dt \\
& \geq \int_0^T \widetilde{d}_3 \frac{1}{\widetilde{h}_2(t)^{p-1}} |\widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v_k(t) - \widetilde{h}_2(t) {}_0D_t^\beta v(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T \widetilde{d}_4 \ell_2(t) |v_k(t) - v(t)|^p dt \\
& \geq \min\{\widetilde{d}_3, \widetilde{d}_4\} \|v_k(t) - v(t)\|_{\widetilde{E}_0^\beta}^p. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (26) and (27) with (31) to (34) that

$$\|u_k(t) - u(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|v_k(t) - v(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This show that  $\|(u_k(t), v_k(t)) - (u(t), v(t))\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $\varphi_\lambda$  satisfies the P.S.condition and the first step of the proof is completed.

StepII. We will show that there exists an  $\tilde{r} > 0$  such that the functional  $\varphi_\lambda$  has a local minimum  $(u_0^*, v_0^*) \in \Theta_{\tilde{r}} : \{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \|(u, v)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} < \tilde{r}\}$ .

Apparently,  $\bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$  is a bound and real reflexive Banach space. In addition, we can show that  $\bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$  is weak sequentially closed. In fact, let  $\{(u_k, v_k)\} \subseteq \bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$  such that  $(u_k, v_k) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$ , by the Mazur theorem [23], there exists a sequence of convex combinations

$$\tilde{u}_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_{kj} u_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^k \varepsilon_{kj} = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{kj} > 0, \quad j \in N,$$

$$\tilde{v}_k = \sum_{j=1}^k s_{kj} v_j, \quad \sum_{j=1}^k s_{kj} = 1, \quad s_{kj} > 0, \quad j \in N,$$

such that  $\tilde{u}_k \rightarrow u$  in  $\hat{E}_0^\alpha$ ,  $\tilde{v}_k \rightarrow v$  in  $\hat{E}_0^\beta$ , i.e.,  $(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k) \rightarrow (u, v)$ . Since  $\bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$  is a closed convex set, so  $(\tilde{u}_k, \tilde{v}_k) \in \bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$  and  $(u, v) \in \bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$ . Next, we show that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous in  $\bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$ . Assuming  $(u_k, v_k) \rightharpoonup (u, v)$  in  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , from the lemma 4, then  $(u_k, v_k)$  uniformly converges  $(u, v)$  in  $C([0, T], R)^2$ . So  $\hat{\Psi}(u, v)$  is weakly sequentially continuous. Apparently  $\hat{\Phi}(u, v)$  is a convex and continuous function, we have that  $\hat{\Phi}(u, v)$  is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous. Then  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous in  $\bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}$ . i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_k, v_k) &= \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v_k\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) dt - \mu \int_0^T g(t, u_k(t), v_k(t)) dt \\ &\geq \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 6 that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  has a local minimum. Without loss of generality, we assume  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_0^*, v_0^*) = \min_{(u,v) \in \bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}} \varphi_\lambda(u, v)$ . Next we will demonstrate that

$$\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_0^*, v_0^*) < \inf_{(u,v) \in \partial \bar{\Theta}_{\tilde{r}}} \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v). \quad (35)$$

If (35) holds, the proof of step II is completed.

There we choose  $\tilde{r} = \tilde{r}_0 > 0$ , from  $(H_2)$ , there exist  $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \min\{1, \frac{1}{\lambda}, \frac{1}{\mu}\}$  and  $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ , for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , with  $|u(t)| \leq \tilde{\delta}$ ,  $|v(t)| \leq \tilde{\delta}$ , such that

$$f(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon} \hat{a}^{p-1}}{p \hat{\Lambda}_1^p} |u(t)|^p, \quad g(t, u(t), v(t)) \leq \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon} \hat{c}^{p-1}}{p \hat{\Lambda}_2^p} |v(t)|^p. \quad (36)$$



So, for any  $(u, v) \in \partial\Theta_{\tilde{r}_0}$ , by (6), (9), (13), (14), (15) and (36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v) &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, u(t), v(t))dt - \mu \int_0^T g(t, u(t), v(t))dt \\
&\geq \frac{\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}\|u(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p + \frac{\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}\|v(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p - \lambda \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p\tilde{\Lambda}_1^p} \int_0^T |u(t)|^p dt \\
&\quad - \mu \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p\tilde{\Lambda}_2^p} \int_0^T |v(t)|^p dt \\
&\geq \frac{\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}\|u(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p + \frac{\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}\|v(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p - \lambda \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}\|u(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p \\
&\quad - \mu \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}\|v(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \\
&\geq \frac{\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}(1 - \lambda\tilde{\varepsilon})\|u(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p + \frac{\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}(1 - \mu\tilde{\varepsilon})\|v(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p \\
&\geq \min\{\hat{a}^{p-1}(1 - \lambda\tilde{\varepsilon}), \hat{c}^{p-1}(1 - \mu\tilde{\varepsilon})\} \frac{\tilde{r}_0^p}{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(0, 0) = 0$ , then  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_0^*, v_0^*) \leq \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(0, 0) < \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v)$  for any  $(u, v) \in \partial\Theta_{\tilde{r}_0}$ . So (35) holds and  $(u_0^*, v_0^*) \in \Theta_{\tilde{r}_0}$ .

Step III. We will demonstrate that there exist an  $(u_1^*, v_1^*)$  with  $\|(u_1^*, v_1^*)\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} > \tilde{r}_0$  such that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_1^*, v_1^*) < \inf_{(u,v) \in \partial\Omega_{\tilde{r}_0}} \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v)$ .

Considering that (H3), there exist nonnegative constants  $\tilde{d}_1^*$ ,  $\tilde{d}_2^*$ ,  $\tilde{d}_3^*$  and  $\tilde{d}_4^*$  such that for any  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ ,

$$f(t, u(t), v(t)) \geq \tilde{d}_1^*|u(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}} - \tilde{d}_2^*, \quad g(t, u(t), v(t)) \geq \tilde{d}_3^*|v(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}} - \tilde{d}_4^*. \quad (37)$$

So, for any  $(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta \setminus (0, 0)$ , since  $\tilde{\gamma} > p^2$ , by (37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(\hat{\xi}u, \hat{\xi}v) &= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\hat{\xi}^p\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\hat{\xi}^p\|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\
&\quad - \lambda \int_0^T f(t, \hat{\xi}u(t), \hat{\xi}v(t))dt - \mu \int_0^T g(t, \hat{\xi}u(t), \hat{\xi}v(t))dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\hat{\xi}^p\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\hat{\xi}^p\|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p \\
&\quad - \lambda\tilde{d}_1^* \int_0^T |\hat{\xi}u(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}} dt + \lambda\tilde{d}_2^*T - \mu\tilde{d}_3^* \int_0^T |\hat{\xi}v(t)|^{\tilde{\gamma}} dt + \mu\tilde{d}_4^*T \\
&= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\hat{\xi}^p\|u\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p)^p + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\hat{\xi}^p\|v\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p)^p \\
&\quad - \lambda\tilde{d}_1^*\hat{\xi}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\|u(t)\|_{L^{\tilde{\gamma}}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} - \mu\tilde{d}_3^*\hat{\xi}^{\tilde{\gamma}}\|v(t)\|_{L^{\tilde{\gamma}}}^{\tilde{\gamma}} + \lambda\tilde{d}_2^*T + \mu\tilde{d}_4^*T \\
&\rightarrow -\infty, \quad \text{as } \hat{\xi} \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Then the (38) implies that there exist  $\xi_0^*$  sufficiently large with  $\|(\xi_0^*u, \xi_0^*v)\|_{\tilde{E}_\alpha^\beta} > \tilde{r}_0$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(\xi_0^*u, \xi_0^*v) \leq 0$ . Therefore we take  $(u_1^*, v_1^*) = (\xi_0^*u, \xi_0^*v)$  with  $\|(u_1^*, v_1^*)\|_{\tilde{E}_\alpha^\beta} > \tilde{r}_0$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_1^*, v_1^*) \leq 0$  which implied that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u_1^*, v_1^*) < \inf_{(u,v) \in \partial \Theta_{\tilde{r}_0}} \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(u, v)$ . Then step III is proved.

It follows from Lemma 5 that the critical value

$$\sigma^* = \inf_{(\tilde{j}_1, \tilde{j}_2) \in \tilde{F}} \max_{s \in [0,1]} \hat{\varphi}_\lambda(\tilde{j}_1(s), \tilde{j}_2(s)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{F} = & \{(\tilde{j}_1(s), \tilde{j}_2(s)) | (\tilde{j}_1(s), \tilde{j}_2(s)) \in C([0, 1], R)^2 \\ & : (\tilde{j}_1(0), \tilde{j}_2(0)) = (u_0^*, v_0^*), (\tilde{j}_1(1), \tilde{j}_2(1)) = (u_1^*, v_1^*)\}, \end{aligned}$$

then, there exists a critical point  $(\tilde{u}^*, \tilde{v}^*) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ , such that  $\hat{\varphi}'_\lambda(\tilde{u}^*, \tilde{v}^*) = 0$ . In addition, by lemma 6, we know that  $(u_0^*, v_0^*)$  is also a critical point, therefore,  $(\tilde{u}^*, \tilde{v}^*)$  and  $(u_0^*, v_0^*)$  are two different critical points of  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  and they are weak solutions of (1). Proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Before we begin the following proof, we give some notations.

$$\begin{aligned} G_1(\alpha, \varrho) = & \frac{1}{(\varrho T)^p} \left( \int_0^{\varrho T} \tilde{h}_1(t) t^{(1-\alpha)p} dt + \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} \tilde{h}_1(t) (t^{1-\alpha} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\alpha})^p dt \right. \\ & + \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \tilde{h}_1(t) (t^{1-\alpha} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\alpha} - (t - (1 - \varrho)T)^{1-\alpha})^p dt \\ & + \left( \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\varrho T} \right)^p \int_0^{\varrho T} \ell_1(t) t^p dt + (\Gamma(2 - \alpha))^p \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} \ell_1(t) dt \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{\Gamma(2 - \alpha)}{\varrho T} \right)^p \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \ell_1(t) (T - t)^p dt, \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_2(\beta, \varrho) = & \frac{1}{(\varrho T)^p} \left( \int_0^{\varrho T} \tilde{h}_2(t) t^{(1-\beta)p} dt + \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} \tilde{h}_2(t) (t^{1-\beta} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\beta})^p dt \right. \\ & + \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \tilde{h}_2(t) (t^{1-\beta} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\beta} - (t - (1 - \varrho)T)^{1-\beta})^p dt \\ & + \left( \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta)}{\varrho T} \right)^p \int_0^{\varrho T} \ell_2(t) t^p dt + (\Gamma(2 - \beta))^p \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} \ell_2(t) dt \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{\Gamma(2 - \beta)}{\varrho T} \right)^p \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \ell_2(t) (T - t)^p dt, \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{M} = \max\left\{ \frac{1}{\hat{a}^{p-1}} \tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*p}, \frac{1}{\hat{c}^{p-1}} \tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*p} \right\},$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\tilde{t}) = \{(u, v) \in R^2 : \frac{1}{p}|u|^p + \frac{1}{p}|v|^p \leq \tilde{t}\},$$

$$\widetilde{g}^0 = \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\widetilde{c})} g(t, u, v) dt,$$

$$\widetilde{g}_0 = \inf_{[0,T] \times [0, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1] \times [0, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2]} g(t, u, v),$$

$$\widetilde{G}^* = \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\varpi_1^p G_1(\alpha, \varrho))^p + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\varpi_2^p G_2(\beta, \varrho))^p,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mu}^* = \min \{ & \frac{-\lambda \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\widetilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt + \frac{\widetilde{c}}{\widetilde{M}}}{\widetilde{g}^0}, \\ & \frac{-\lambda \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt + \widetilde{G}^*}{T\widetilde{g}_0} \}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3** Let  $\frac{1}{p} < \alpha, \beta \leq 1$ ,  $f(t, 0, 0) = g(t, 0, 0) = 0$ . Assume that (H1) and (H3) hold and there exist three positive constants  $\widetilde{c}$ ,  $\varpi_1$ ,  $\varpi_2$  such that

(H'<sub>1</sub>)

$$\frac{\widetilde{c}}{\widetilde{M}} > \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2} (\hat{a} + \hat{b}\varpi_1^p G_1(\alpha, \varrho))^p + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2} (\hat{c} + \hat{d}\varpi_2^p G_2(\beta, \varrho))^p = \widetilde{G}^*;$$

(H'<sub>2</sub>)

$$\int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt > 0;$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\varrho T} f(t, \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1}{\varrho T} t, \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2}{\varrho T} t) dt \\ & + \int_0^{\varrho T} f(T-t, \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1}{\varrho T} t, \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2}{\varrho T} t) dt > 0; \end{aligned}$$

(H'<sub>3</sub>)

$$\frac{\widetilde{M} \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\widetilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt}{\widetilde{c}} < \frac{\int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt}{\widetilde{G}^*}.$$

Then, for each

$$\lambda \in ] \frac{\widetilde{G}^*}{\int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt}, \frac{\widetilde{c}}{\widetilde{M} \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \widetilde{\Omega}(\widetilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt} [,$$

and  $\mu \in (0, \widetilde{\mu}^*)$ , the problem (1) has at least two non trivial solutions.

*Proof* It is clear that  $\inf_{(u,v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta} \hat{\Phi}(u, v) = \hat{\Psi}(u, v) = 0$ . Besides,  $\hat{\Phi}, \hat{\Psi} : \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are two continuously *Gâteaux* differentiable functionals and  $\hat{\Phi}', \hat{\Psi}'$  can be seen in (17), (18).

Set  $r = \frac{\bar{c}}{M}$  and consider the following two functions  $\hat{u}_1(t) \in \hat{E}_0^\alpha, \hat{v}_1(t) \in \hat{E}_0^\beta$  defined by

$$\hat{u}_1(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1}{\varrho T} t, & t \in [0, \varrho T[, \\ \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, & t \in [\varrho T, (1-\varrho)T], \\ \frac{\Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1}{\varrho T} (T-t), & t \in [(1-\varrho)T, T], \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

and

$$\hat{v}_1(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2}{\varrho T} t, & t \in [0, \varrho T[, \\ \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2, & t \in [\varrho T, (1-\varrho)T], \\ \frac{\Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2}{\varrho T} (T-t), & t \in [(1-\varrho)T, T], \end{cases} \quad (40)$$

Clearly  $\hat{u}_1(0) = \hat{u}_1(T) = \hat{v}_1(0) = \hat{v}_1(T) = 0, \hat{u}_1(t), \hat{v}_1(t) \in L^p[0, T]$ . By the definition 1, we have

$${}_0D_t^\alpha \hat{u}_1(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\varpi_1}{\varrho T} t^{1-\alpha}, & t \in [0, \varrho T[, \\ \frac{\varpi_1}{\varrho T} (t^{1-\alpha} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\alpha}), & t \in [\varrho T, (1-\varrho)T], \\ \frac{\varpi_1}{\varrho T} (t^{1-\alpha} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\alpha} - (t - (1-\varrho)T)^{1-\alpha}), & t \in [(1-\varrho)T, T], \end{cases}$$

and

$${}_0D_t^\beta \hat{v}_1(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{\varpi_2}{\varrho T} t^{1-\beta}, & t \in [0, \varrho T[, \\ \frac{\varpi_2}{\varrho T} (t^{1-\beta} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\beta}), & t \in [\varrho T, (1-\varrho)T], \\ \frac{\varpi_2}{\varrho T} (t^{1-\beta} - (t - \varrho T)^{1-\beta} - (t - (1-\varrho)T)^{1-\beta}), & t \in [(1-\varrho)T, T]. \end{cases}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{u}_1(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\alpha}^p &= \int_0^T \hat{h}_1(t) |{}_0D_t^\alpha \hat{u}_1(t)|^p dt + \ell_1(t) |\hat{u}_1(t)|^p dt \\ &= \int_0^{\varrho T} + \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} + \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \hat{h}_1(t) |{}_0D_t^\alpha \hat{u}_1(t)|^p + \ell_1(t) |\hat{u}_1(t)|^p dt \\ &= \varpi_1^p G_1(\alpha, \varrho), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{v}_1(t)\|_{\hat{E}_0^\beta}^p &= \int_0^T \hat{h}_2(t) |{}_0D_t^\beta \hat{v}_1(t)|^p dt + \ell_2(t) |\hat{v}_1(t)|^p dt \\ &= \int_0^{\varrho T} + \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} + \int_{(1-\varrho)T}^T \hat{h}_2(t) |{}_0D_t^\beta \hat{v}_1(t)|^p + \ell_2(t) |\hat{v}_1(t)|^p dt \\ &= \varpi_2^p G_2(\beta, \varrho). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by  $(H'_1)$  we have

$$0 < \hat{\Phi}(\hat{u}_1(t), \hat{v}_1(t))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\varpi_1^p G_1(\alpha, \varrho))^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\varpi_2^p G_2(\beta, \varrho))^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\varpi_1^p G_1(\alpha, \varrho))^p + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\varpi_2^p G_2(\beta, \varrho))^p < \frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{M}} = r.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \hat{\Phi}(u, v) \leq r\} \\
&= \{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \frac{1}{\hat{b}p^2}(\hat{a} + \hat{b}\|u\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{a}^p}{\hat{b}p^2} + \frac{1}{\hat{d}p^2}(\hat{c} + \hat{d}\|v\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p)^p - \frac{\hat{c}^p}{\hat{d}p^2} \leq r\} \\
&\subseteq \{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \frac{\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}\|u\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p + \frac{\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}\|v\|_{\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta}^p \leq r\} \\
&\subseteq \{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \frac{\hat{a}^{p-1}}{p}\frac{1}{\tilde{\Lambda}_1^{*p}}\|u\|_\infty^p + \frac{\hat{c}^{p-1}}{p}\frac{1}{\tilde{\Lambda}_2^{*p}}\|v\|_\infty^p \leq r\} \\
&\subseteq \{(u, v) \in \hat{E}_\alpha^\beta : \frac{|u|^p}{p} + \frac{|v|^p}{p} \leq \tilde{M}r = \tilde{c}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{\mu}^*)$ , we have

$$\mu < \frac{-\lambda \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt + \frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{M}}}{\tilde{g}^0},$$

then, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\sup_{\hat{\Phi}(u,v) \leq r} \hat{\Psi}(u, v)}{r} \leq \tilde{M} \frac{\int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} \tilde{g}^0}{\tilde{c}} < \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (41)$$

In addition, Due to  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{\mu}^*)$ , we have

$$\mu < \frac{-\lambda \int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt + \tilde{G}^*}{T\tilde{g}_0},$$

then, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\hat{\Psi}(\hat{u}_1(t), \hat{v}_1(t))}{\hat{\Phi}(\hat{u}_1(t), \hat{v}_1(t))} \geq \frac{\int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} T\tilde{g}_0}{\tilde{G}^*} > \frac{1}{\lambda}. \quad (42)$$

by (41) and (42), the hypothesis (i) of theorem 1 holds. In addition, note that when (H1) holds, StepI in the proof of Theorem 2 shows that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda = \hat{\Phi} - \lambda\hat{\Psi}$  satisfies P.S.condition. When (H3) holds, the (38) implies that  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda = \hat{\Phi} - \lambda\hat{\Psi}$  is unbounded from blow on  $\hat{E}_\alpha^\beta$ . Then, the hypothesis (ii) of theorem 1 holds. Therefore, all the assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied.

Hence, theorem 3 implies that for each

$$\lambda \in ] \frac{\tilde{G}^*}{\int_{\varrho T}^{(1-\varrho)T} f(t, \Gamma(2-\alpha)\varpi_1, \Gamma(2-\beta)\varpi_2) dt}, \frac{\tilde{c}}{\tilde{M} \int_0^T \max_{(u,v) \in \tilde{\Omega}(\tilde{c})} f(t, u, v) dt} [,$$

and  $\mu \in (0, \tilde{\mu}^*)$ , the functional  $\hat{\varphi}_\lambda$  has least two non-zero critical point that are non trivial solutions of (1).

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper we study the fractional-order Kirchhoff-type coupled equation with  $p$ -Laplacian operators in two approaches, obtaining results on the existence of two solutions to the equation under important A-R conditions. To the best of my knowledge, there has been relatively little research on such equations. Therefore, we are inclined to continue to explore such problems in the future.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11731014, No. 72174091).

**Conflict of interest** No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors

## References

1. G. J. Fix and J. P. Roop, *Least squares finite-element solution of a fractional order two-point boundary value problem*, Comput. Math. Appl., 2004, 48(7-8), 1017–1033.
2. F. Jiao and Y. Zhou, *Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value problems via critical point theory*, Comput. Math. Appl., 2011, 62(3), 1181–1199.
3. Y. Tian and J. Nieto, *The applications of critical-point theory to discontinuous fractional-order differential equations*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., 2017, 60(4), 1021–1051.
4. N. Nyamoradi and E. Tayyebi, *Existence of solutions for a class of fractional boundary value equations with impulsive effects via critical point theory*, Mediterr. J. Math., 2018, 15(3), 1–25.
5. D. Min and F. Chen, *Three solutions for a class of fractional impulsive advection-dispersion equations with Sturm-Liouville boundary conditions via variational approach*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 2020, 43(15), 9151–9168.
6. D. Ma, L. Liu and Y. Wu, *Existence of nontrivial solutions for a system of fractional advection-dispersion equations*, Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A-Mat., 2019, 113(2), 1041–1057.
7. D. Li, F. Chen and Y. An, *The multiplicity of solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional Dirichlet boundary value problems with  $p$ -Laplacian type via variational approach*, Int. J. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 2019, 20(3-4), 361–371.
8. F. Kamache, R. Guefaïfia, S. Boulaaras and A. Alharbi, *Existence of weak solutions for a new class of fractional  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value systems*, Mathematics, 2020, 8(4), 475.
9. S. Heidarkhani and A. Salari, *Nontrivial solutions for impulsive fractional differential systems through variational methods*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 2020, 43(10), 6529–6541.
10. Y. Zhao, C. Luo and H. Chen, *Existence results for non-instantaneous impulsive nonlinear fractional differential equation via variational methods*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2020, 43(3), 2151–2169.
11. F. Kamache, R. Guefaïfia and S. Boulaaras, *Existence of three solutions for perturbed nonlinear fractional  $p$ -Laplacian boundary value systems with two control parameters*, J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl., 2020, 11(4), 1781–1803.
12. T. Chen, W. Liu and H. Jin, *Nontrivial Solutions of the Kirchhoff-Type Fractional-Laplacian Dirichlet Problem*, Journal of Function Spaces, 2020. DOI: 10.1155/2020/8453205.
13. N. Nyamoradi, Y. Zhou, E. Tayyebi, B. Ahmad and A. Alsaedi, *Nontrivial Solutions for Time Fractional Nonlinear Schrödinger-Kirchhoff Type Equations*, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society, 2017. DOI: 10.1155/2017/9281049.
14. M. Kratou, *Ground state solutions of  $p$ -Laplacian singular Kirchhoff problem involving a Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative*, Filomat, 2019, 33(7), 2073–2088.
15. G. Chai and W. Liu, *Existence of solutions for the fractional Kirchhoff equations with sign-changing potential*, Bound. Value Probl., 2018, 2018(1), 1–18.

16. D. Kang, C. Liu and X. Zhang, *Existence of solutions for Kirchhoff-Type fractional Dirichlet problem with  $p$ -Laplacian*, Mathematics, 2020, 8(1), 106.
17. A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava and J. Trujillo, *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, Elsevier Science B.V., 2006, 204, 2453–2461.
18. I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
19. S. Samko, A. Kilbas and O. Marichev, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives: Theory and Application*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Longhorne, PA, 1993.
20. F. Jiao, and Y. Zhou, *Existence results for fractional boundary value problem via critical point theory*, Int. J. Bifurcation Chaos, 2012, 22(04), 1250086.
21. D. Li, F. Chen and Y. An, *Existence and multiplicity of nontrivial solutions for nonlinear fractional differential systems with  $p$ -Laplacian via critical point theory*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., 2018, 41(8), 3197–3212.
22. P. Rabinowitz, *Minimax methods in critical point theory with applications to differential equations*, Am. Math. Soc., 1986, 65.
23. J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical point theory and Hamiltonian systems*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
24. E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear functional analysis and applications, vol.III*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
25. G. Bonanno, *Relations between the mountain pass theorem and local minima*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal., 2011, 1(3), 205–220.
26. J. Simon, *Régularité de la solution d'un problème aux limites non linéaires*, Annales de la Faculté des sciences de Toulouse: Mathématiques, 1981, 3(3-4), 247–274.