Interventions, outcomes and outcome measurement instruments in stillbirth care research: A systematic review to inform the development of a core outcome set

Danya Bakhbakhi<sup>1</sup>, Dimitrios Siassakos<sup>2</sup>, Anna Davies<sup>3</sup>, Abi Merriel<sup>4</sup>, Katie Barnard<sup>5</sup>, Emma Stead<sup>6</sup>, Clare Shakespeare<sup>4</sup>, James Duffy<sup>7</sup>, Lisa Hinton<sup>8</sup>, Karolina McDowell<sup>3</sup>, Anna Lyons<sup>9</sup>, Margaret Redshaw<sup>10</sup>, Vicki Flenady<sup>11</sup>, Alexander Heazell<sup>12</sup>, Laura Timlin<sup>3</sup>, Mary Lynch<sup>1</sup>, Soo Downe<sup>13</sup>, Pauline Slade<sup>14</sup>, Lisa Thorne<sup>15</sup>, Heatherjane Coombs<sup>16</sup>, Aleena Wojcieszek<sup>17</sup>, Margaret Murphy<sup>18</sup>, Heloisa Salgado<sup>19</sup>, Lindsey Wimmer<sup>20</sup>, Danielle Pollock<sup>21</sup>, Neelam Aggarwal<sup>22</sup>, Susannah Leisher<sup>23</sup>, Kate Mulley <sup>16</sup>, Irene Attachie<sup>24</sup>, Bethany Atkins<sup>25</sup>, Christy Burden<sup>4</sup>, and Abigail Fraser<sup>4</sup>

```
<sup>1</sup>University of Bristol Faculty of Health Sciences
```

June 14, 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Institute for Women's Health, University College London

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>North Bristol NHS Trust

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Bristol

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Southmead Hospital, North Bristol NHS Trust

 $<sup>^6</sup>$ Liverpool University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>North Middlesex University Hospital NHS Trust

 $<sup>^8 \</sup>rm University$  of Cambridge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Northern General Hospital

 $<sup>^{10}</sup>$ Oxford University

 $<sup>^{11}\</sup>mathrm{Mater}$ Research Institute, University of Queensland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>The University of Manchester

 $<sup>^{13} \</sup>mathrm{University}$  of Central Lancashire

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>University of Liverpool Institute of Psychology, Health and Society

 $<sup>^{15}{\</sup>rm NHS}$  Devon Cornwall and Isles of Scilly Local Area Team

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>SANDS

 $<sup>^{17}</sup>$ University of Queensland

 $<sup>^{18} \</sup>rm University$  College Cork

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>School of Public Health of University of Sao Paulo

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>Star Legacy Foundation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>The University of Adelaide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>International Stillbirth Alliance

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>University of Ghana

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>University College London

### Abstract

Background A core outcome set could address inconsistent outcome reporting and improve evidence for stillbirth care research, which has been identified as an important research priority. Objectives To identify outcomes and outcome measurement instruments reported by studies evaluating interventions after the diagnosis of a stillbirth. Search strategy Amed, BNI, CINAHL, Clinical Trials.gov, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, Embase, MED-LINE, PsycINFO, and WHO ICTRP from 1998 to August 2021. Selection criteria Randomised and non-randomised comparative or non-comparative studies reporting a stillbirth care intervention. Data collection and analysis Interventions, outcomes reported, definitions and outcome measurement tools were extracted. Main results 40 randomised and 200 non-randomised studies were included. 58 different interventions were reported, labour and birth care (52 studies), hospital bereavement care (28 studies), clinical investigations (116 studies), care in a multiple pregnancy (2 studies), psychosocial support (28 studies) and care in a subsequent pregnancy (14 studies). 391 unique outcomes were reported and organised into 14 outcome domains: labour and birth; postpartum; delivery of care; investigations; multiple pregnancy; mental health; emotional functioning; grief and bereavement; social functioning; relationship; whole person; subsequent pregnancy; subsequent children and siblings and economic. 242 outcome measurement instruments were used, with 0-22 tools per outcome. Conclusions Heterogeneity in outcome reporting, outcome definition and measurement tools in care after stillbirth exists. Considerable research gaps on specific intervention types in stillbirth care were identified. A core outcome set is needed to standardise outcome collection and reporting for stillbirth care research.

#### Hosted file

BJOG Main document stillbirth care outcomes V.2 .doc available at https://authorea.com/users/488992/articles/572924-interventions-outcomes-and-outcome-measurement-instruments-in-stillbirth-care-research-a-systematic-review-to-inform-the-development-of-a-core-outcome-set

### Hosted file

Figure 1.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/488992/articles/572924-interventions-outcomes-and-outcome-measurement-instruments-in-stillbirth-care-research-a-systematic-review-to-inform-the-development-of-a-core-outcome-set

### Hosted file

Table 1 .docx available at https://authorea.com/users/488992/articles/572924-interventions-outcomes-and-outcome-measurement-instruments-in-stillbirth-care-research-a-systematic-review-to-inform-the-development-of-a-core-outcome-set

# Hosted file

Table 2.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/488992/articles/572924-interventions-outcomes-and-outcome-measurement-instruments-in-stillbirth-care-research-a-systematic-review-to-inform-the-development-of-a-core-outcome-set

## Hosted file

Table 3.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/488992/articles/572924-interventions-outcomes-and-outcome-measurement-instruments-in-stillbirth-care-research-a-systematic-review-to-inform-the-development-of-a-core-outcome-set