Elective induction of labour and caesarean section in low-moderate risk nulliparous women: a retrospective cohort study

Sarah Butler¹, Euan Wallace², Andrew Bisits³, Roshan Selvaratnam², and Mary-Ann Davey²

December 13, 2021

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate whether elective induction of labour (eIOL) influences the rate of caesarean birth in uncomplicated pregnant women at term, compared to expectant management. Design: Retrospective cohort study. Setting: Births in Victoria between 2010 and 2018. Population: Term, singleton, vertex births from low-moderate risk pregnancies (n=396,164). Methods: Preliminary analyses compared eIOL at 37 weeks with expectant management both beyond that gestational age (preliminary analysis I) and at that gestational age and beyond (preliminary analysis II). Similar comparisons were made for eIOL at 38, 39, 40 and 41 weeks' gestation and expectant management. The primary analysis repeated these comparisons, limiting the population to nulliparous women whose recorded indication for induction did not include one of a specified list of conditions. Chisquare tests and multivariable logistic regression were used. Adjusted odds ratios and 99% confidence intervals were reported. P<0.01 denoted statistical significance. Main Outcome Measures: Unplanned caesarean birth, perinatal mortality Results: The proportion of nulliparous, low-moderate risk women who underwent IOL [?]37 weeks' gestation in Victoria increased from 24.6% in 2010 to 30.0% in 2018 (p-value <0.001). eIOL in nulliparous women was associated with an increased odds of caesarean birth when performed at 38 (aOR 1.23((1.13-1.32)), 39 (aOR 1.31((1.23-1.40)), 40 (aOR 1.42((1.35-1.50)), and 41 weeks' gestation (aOR 1.43((1.35-1.51)). Perinatal mortality was rare in both groups and non-significantly lower in the induced group at most gestations. Conclusions: eIOL was associated with an increased odds of caesarean birth from 38 weeks' gestation and a decrease in the odds of perinatal mortality.

Hosted file

IOL_Manuscript_Butler.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/450942/articles/549194-elective-induction-of-labour-and-caesarean-section-in-low-moderate-risk-nulliparous-women-a-retrospective-cohort-study

Hosted file

Table 1.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/450942/articles/549194-elective-induction-of-labour-and-caesarean-section-in-low-moderate-risk-nulliparous-women-a-retrospective-cohort-study

Hosted file

Table 2.docx available at https://authorea.com/users/450942/articles/549194-elective-induction-of-labour-and-caesarean-section-in-low-moderate-risk-nulliparous-women-a-retrospective-cohort-study

¹Monash University Faculty of Medicine Nursing and Health Sciences

²Monash University

³University of New South Wales

Hosted file

 $\label{thm:com/users/450942/articles/549194-elective-induction-of-labour-and-caesarean-section-in-low-moderate-risk-nulliparous-women-a-retrospective-cohort-study$

Hosted file

 $\label{thm:com/users/450942/articles/549194-elective-induction-of-labour-and-caesarean-section-in-low-moderate-risk-nulliparous-women-a-retrospective-cohort-study$