Production of MSTN mutated cattle using CRISPR-Cas9

Gyeong-Mim Gim¹, Dong-Hyuk Kwon¹, Kyeong-Hyeon Eom¹, Joon-Ho Moon¹, Ji-Hyun Park¹, Dae-Jin Jung¹, Dae-Hyun Kim¹, Jun-Koo Yi¹, Jae-Jung Ha¹, Ka-Yeong Lim¹, Jin Su Kim¹, and Goo Jang²

May 19, 2021

Abstract

Many transgenic animals have been produced using CRISPR–Cas9 technology to edit specific genes. However, there are few guidelines for the application of this technique in cattle. The goal of this study was to produce trait-improved cattle using the genome editing technology CRISPR–Cas9. Myostatin (MSTN) was selected as a target locus and synthetic mRNA of sgRNA and Cas9 was microinjected into bovine in vitro fertilized embryos. As a result, 17 healthy calves were born and 3 of these showed MSTN mutation rates of 10.5%, 45.4%, and 99.9%, respectively. Importantly, the offspring with the 99.9% MSTN mutation rate had biallelic mutation (-12bp) and a doubling muscle growth phenotype. In conclusion, we showed that the genome editing technology CRISPR–Cas9 can produce genetically modified calves with improved traits.

Production of MSTN mutated cattle using CRISPR-Cas9

Gyeong-Min Gim^{1,2}, Dong-Hyuk Kwon^{1,2}, Kyeong-Hyeon Eom^{1,2}, JoonHo Moon³, Ji-Hyun Park³, Won-Wu Lee³, Dae-Jin Jung⁴, Dae-Hyun Kim⁴, Jun-Koo Yi⁴, Jae-Jung Ha⁴, Ka-Yeong Lim⁵, Jin-Soo Kim⁵, Goo Jang^{1,2}

¹Laboratory of Theriogenology and Biotechnology, Department of Veterinary Clinical Science, College of Veterinary Medicine and the Research Institute of Veterinary Science, Seoul National University,

²BK21 Plus program, College of Veterinary Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

³LARTBio Inc., Seoul, Republic of Korea

⁴Gyeongsangbukdo Livestock Research Institute, Yeongju, GyeongSang Buk-Do 36052, Republic of Korea

⁵Center for genome engineering, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

Correspondence: Goo Jang, 1 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul, Republic of Korea, 08826

 $\mathbf{E} ext{-}\mathbf{mail:} snujang@snu.ac.kr$

Tel: 82-2-880-1280, Fax: 82-2-873-1269

Keywords: Bovine embryos, CRISPR-Cas9, In vitro fertilization, MSTN, Microinjection

Abbreviations: MSTN, myostatin; sgRNA, single guide RNA; ART, advanced reproductive technologies; SCNT, somatic cell nuclear transfer; T7E1, t7 endonuclease 1

Abstract

Many transgenic animals have been produced using CRISPR—Cas9 technology to edit specific genes. However, there are few guidelines for the application of this technique in cattle. The goal of this study was to

¹Affiliation not available

²Seoul National University

produce trait-improved cattle using the genome editing technology CRISPR—Cas9. Myostatin (MSTN) was selected as a target locus and synthetic mRNA of sgRNA and Cas9 was microinjected into bovine in vitro fertilized embryos. As a result, 17 healthy calves were born and 3 of these showed MSTN mutation rates of 10.5%, 45.4%, and 99.9%, respectively. Importantly, the offspring with the 99.9% MSTN mutation rate had biallelic mutation (-12bp) and a doubling muscle growth phenotype. In conclusion, we showed that the genome editing technology CRISPR—Cas9 can produce genetically modified calves with improved traits.

Many animal product (milk and meat) studies focus on the improvement of performance traits in cattle because cattle contribute 45% of the global animal protein supply for human consumption [1,2]. Significant effort has been made to improve the trait of cattle using advanced reproductive technologies (ART) [3,4] based on genotyping and phenotyping analysis. One application of genotyping and phenotyping breeding is to select and propagate the breeds with high amount of muscle. Belgian Blue and Piedmontese are the most representative double muscles cattle breeds. [5]. Genetic analysis identified the mutation of MSTN (growth and differentiation factor 8) as the causative factor for enhanced muscle development. Mutations in the gene have also been observed in the dog [6], sheep [7], pig [8] and human [9]. However, the incidence of these natural mutations is very low and selecting and breeding these individuals to establish an independent breed is time-consuming and costly.

The development of genome editing tools such as zinc finger nucleases (ZFN), transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs), and clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR-Cas9) has provided new and powerful gene editing tools for functional mutation studies in various biotechnological industries. Applying these genome editing tools to livestock will contribute to: improvements in cattle traits, and better understanding of how to prevent and treat cattle disease [10]. Proof of concept gene edited cattle—using bovine somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT)—have been produced with enhanced traits for disease resistance, allergen removal, production, and welfare [11-15]. Because SCNT is used to produce cloned offspring with precise gene edited cells, it is employed in cattle to generate a valuable model [16,17]. However, abnormal reprogramming results in low efficiency and survival rate, limiting the application of SCNT. An alternative approach to increase the efficiency of gene editing is through Belgian Blue the microinjection of in vitro fertilized embryos [18]. One study used TALENs for editing MSTN gene microinjection of in vitro fertilized cattle embryos [19]. However, there are no reports of a live-born genome edited calf using CRISPR—Cas9 on the MSTN locus. Accordingly, the aim of present study is 1) to develop a method to produce gene edited bovine pre-implantation embryos using through microinjection with Cas9 mRNA and 2) to produce the MSTN edited calves.

Materials and methods

Single guide RNA design and testing on bovine fibroblasts

To produce the MSTN mutated cattle, single guide RNA (sgRNA) for MSTN targeting exon2 was designed by CHOPCHOP software (https://chopchop.cbu.uib.no/) that selects sgRNA candidates for target genome (Fig 1A-a). Then the sgRNA for MSTN, and Cas9 protein were co-transfected on bovine fibroblast cells. After 3 days of transfection, they were harvested for extraction of genome DNA. Through the T7E1 assay, it was confirmed whether the target MSTN gene was mutated.

Oocyte in vitro maturation (IVM)

Ovaries were obtained from a local slaughterhouse and delivered to the laboratory within 2 hours. The ovaries were aspirated with a 18-gauge needle to obtain cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) from follicles with 2–8 mm in diameter. The COCs with more than three layers of cumulus cells and evenly distributed cytoplasm were sorted in the study. For IVM, the COCs were cultured in chemically defined TCM-199 supplemented with 0.005 IU/mL FSH (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat. no. F2293), 1 μ g/mL 17 β -estradiol (Cat. no. E4389), 100 μ M cysteamine (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat. no. M6500), and 10% FBS (Gibco, Cat. no. GIB-16000–044) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 38.5 °C.

Sperm preparation, in vitro fertilization (IVF) and in vitro culture of embryos (IVC)

Motile spermatozoa were selected by the Percoll gradient method. Briefly, frozen-thawed cattle semen at 35 °C was filtered by centrifugation on a Percoll discontinuous gradient (45–90%) at 1680 rpm for 15 min. To produce the 45% Percoll solution, 1 mL of capacitation-TALP medium was added to 1 mL of 90% Percoll. The sperm pellet was washed two times by the addition of 3 mL of capacitation-TALP medium and subsequent centrifugation at 1680 rpm for 5 min. Washed, motile spermatozoa were used for IVF. Spermatozoa (1–2 × 10^6 sperm/mL) were incubated with mature oocytes for 18 h in 50 µl microdrops of IVF-TALP medium covered with mineral oil (Nidacon, Cat. no. NO-100) in humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 38.5 °C. After 18 h of co-incubation, cumulus cells were removed from presumptive zygotes. The zygotes were cultured in a two-step chemically defined culture media [reference] that was covered in mineral oil in an atmosphere of 5% O₂, 5% CO₂ and 90% N₂ at 38.5 °C.

Microinjection

When performing microinjection, Cas9 mRNA (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat. no. CAS9MRNA) and sgRNA were divided into 4 groups to find the most appropriate concentration (CB; only TE microinjection, RNA1X; Cas9 mRNA: 100 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 50 ng/ μ l, RNA2X; Cas9 mRNA: 200 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 100 ng/ μ l, RNA 4X; Cas9 mRNA: 400 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 200 ng/ μ l). After 18 h of IVF, presumptive zygotes were injected with Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA synthesized by GeneArt Precision gRNA Synthesis Kit (Thermofisher, Cat. no. A29377) for MSTN using a microinjector machine (Eppendorf, Femtojet®). The amount of injected Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA for MSTN were different each group (Fig 1 A-b). After 7 days of microinjection, pre-implantation stage embryos were collected and the mutation of MSTN was observed in vitro or transferred in vivo into a surrogate cow.

Primary cell culture

Primary cells were obtained by biopsy of the ear skin of calves. The ear skin was chopped into small pieces with a sterile scalpel and then washed several times and incubated at 38.5 °C for 4-18 h in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (Gibco, Cat. no. 14175095) supplemented with collagenase (Collagenase type I, Gibco, Cat. no. 17–100–017). The following day the dispersed cells were washed several times in DMEM (Gibco, Cat. no. 21068028) and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco, Cat. no. GIB-11150–059), 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, Cat. no. 15140148), 1% non-essential amino acids (Gibco, Cat. no. 11140050), and 100 mM β -mercaptoethanol (Sigma–Aldrich, Cat. no. M3418).

Detection of MSTN gene mutation

Genomic DNA from transgenic primary cells was extracted using a DNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Cat. no. 69504). The MSTN primer was designed using PRIMER3 software (http://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/, Supplementary Table 1), and the target sequence was amplified by PCR (94 °C for 5 min, 35–40 cycles of 94 °C for 20 sec/57 °C for 30 sec/72 °C for 35 sec, and 72 °C for 5 min). The PCR product from each sample was assessed using the T7E1 assay (Toolgene, Cat. no. TGEN_T7E1) to detect MSTN mutations.

Gene expression by real-time PCR

Total RNA was extracted from primary cultured cells using a RNeasy® Mini Kit (Qiagen, Cat. no. 74106), and complementary DNA was synthesized from 1 µg of RNA using the RNA to cDNA EcoDryTM Premix (Takara, Cat. no. 639543). Gene expression assay was conducted using SYBR Green on QuantStudio 3 (Applied Biosystems, Model no. A28132), and relative cycle threshold (CT) values were normalized by GAPDH. The primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

Embryo transfer and pregnancy diagnosis

Blastocysts were stored in PBS supplemented with 20% FBS. A single blastocyst was transferred on day 7 (estrus = day 0 = day of fusion) to the uterine horn of each recipient animal using a non-surgical transcervical method. Pregnancy detection was performed on day 50 post estrus using rectal palpation and ultrasonography. Pregnant cattle were checked by rectal palpation and ultrasonography at regular intervals thereafter.

Targeted deep sequencing

Target sites were first amplified to a size of ~500 bp from extracted genomic DNA using KAPA HiFi HotStart DNA polymerase (Roche, Cat. no. #KK2502) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Then, amplicons were amplified again to a size of ~230 bp, after which the amplicons were amplified using TruSeq HT dual index-containing primers to add adaptor and index sequences for Illumina sequencing platforms to each sample [20]. Primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 3. Pooled PCR amplicons were purified using a PCR purification kit (MGmed) and sequenced on a MiniSeq (Illumina) with paired-end sequencing systems (2x150 bp). Cas-Analyzer (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-analyzer/#!) was used to quantify the indel frequencies from deep sequencing data [20].

Analysis of MSTN off-target effect

The potential off-target effects caused by CRISPR—Cas9 in the three MSTN mutant calves were assessed using Cas-OFF inder software (http://www.rgenome.net/cas-offinder/). This software offers a fast and versatile algorithm that searches for potential off-target sites of Cas9 RNA-guided endonucleases. In the MSTN target site, candidates of five loci targeting the whole gene of cows were found by setting the mismatch number to 3. A primer targeting each sequence (Supplementary Table 1) was prepared to confirm the off-target effect through T7E1 assay.

Blood analysis

To evaluate the general health status in calves, 5 mL of whole blood was collected from the jugular vein for complete blood count (CBC) analysis (Hemavet 950, Drew Scientific, USA) and serum chemistry analysis (BS-400, Mindray, China)s. The animals were also monitored by a veterinarian to assess their general health condition.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as mean \pm SEM. Newman–Keuls Multiple Comparison Test and Tukey's test in a one-way ANOVA were used to evaluate statistically evaluate differences in embryo development, frequency of mutagenesis, and mRNA expression level. A value of P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism program (version 5.01).

Results

Validating single guide RNA for MSTN in bovine fibroblast cells

In bovine fibroblast cells, the selected sgRNA caused a mutation on the target site. The mutation on MSTN was detected by T7E1 assay (data not shown) and sanger sequencing. Though the sanger sequencing results, various types of mutation pattern were observed (Fig 1B). These results show that the selected sgRNA worked well on the MSTN target site.

Developmental competence and mutation efficiency on microinjection mediated gene editing

To determine optimal conditions, including Cas9 mRNA, and sgRNA concentrations for producing mutations in bovine blastocysts, four experimental conditions were used, then developmental competence and mutation efficiency were investigated in the blastocyst stage following each condition (Fig 1C, D, and E). There was no significant difference in cleavage rate across all 4 groups (data not shown). However, in the RNA4X group a diminished blastocyst formation rate was observed in comparison to the control group (Fig 1D). In terms of mutation rate, the RNA2X group (81.3 \pm 17.2%) had the highest mutation compared the other 3 groups (WT: 0%, RNA1X: 33.3 \pm 16.0%, RNA4X: 50.0 \pm 28.5%) (Fig 1E). Thus, the RNA2X condition was selected as the optimal condition for the further production of MSTN mutated cattle.

Production of MSTN mutated Korean beef cow

The aim of this study was to produce MSTN mutated Korean beef cattle. Microinjection was performed on fertilized embryos under RNA2X conditions and cultured blastocysts were used for embryo transfer. The

experiment was repeated four times and 595 oocytes were used to generate mutant embryos. The cleavage rate was $59.0 \pm 21.0\%$ and 86 blastocysts ($14.5 \pm 14.0\%$) were produced. A total of 26 blastocysts with high quality morphology were transferred to surrogate mothers (one blastocyst per recipient). Some of leftover blastocyst (n = 28) were used to assess the mutation occurrence on MSNT and it was determined to be 71.6 \pm 44.3%.

After embryo transfer, 19 of the 26 surrogates were pregnant and 2 of the 19 fetuses were absorbed in the middle of pregnancy. A total of 17 calves (I.D.: #1 $\tilde{}$ #17) were live-born and one calf has a stillbirth (#14) from dystocia. Deep sequencing analysis showed mutations in 3 out of the 17 animals (Fig. 2A). Their MSTN mutation rates were 10.5, 45.4, and 99.9% for #6, #14, and #17, respectively. In addition, MSTN mutation was detected in #17 by T7E1 assay and there were no off-target effects (Fig. 2C). Real-time PCR was conducted to assess the MSTN RNA level of primary cells from #17 and #14. In both individuals the level of MSTN RNA was significantly decreased with wild type (Fig. 2D). Especially in #17 with the -12 deletion, enhanced muscle growth was observed compared with wild type calf (Fig. 2E). There were no abnormal values in a blood test performed to evaluate the general health status of 5 offspring (#44, #6, #7, #9 and #17) including the knockout one (#17) at 8-months-old (Table 1).

Discussion

CRISPR—Cas9 mediated genome editing is a powerful biological technology that has widespread application. Its application to livestock has been slow [21]. In this study, by applying genome editing without the integration of the transgene, an effective knockout condition was established at the embryo level and live-born edited offspring were produced. The MSTN knockout was used for proof of concept because of its clear double-muscling phenotype.

The MSTN gene consists of three domains (a signal sequence, a pro-peptide, and a mature-region). After transcription, translation, and two cleavage events (pro-peptide convertase by furin and tolloid protease by BMP-1 metalloprotease), the released mature MSTN protein dimer regulates the inhibition of skeletal muscle growth [22]. In more detail, the first cleavage occurs at the 266th position by Furin, followed by cleavage at 76th by BMP-1/Tolloid metalloproteinase, and finally, the released active MSTN protein dimer binds to the receptor (ActRIIB), resulted in inhibition of muscle growth [22-24]. Two representative cattle breeds, Belgian Blue, and Piedmontese, show natural mutations in this gene, 11 bps deletion, and one base mutation on mature MSTN domain, respectively. These cattle breeds phenotypically indicate that mature MSTN domain mutations contribute to muscle growth [5]. To mimic or reproduce those natural mutations using genome editing technologies, in a previous study, ZFNs disrupted exon1 locus (Signal Sequences region), subsequently, the mature MSTN domain was broken [25]. In another study, the mature domain locus via TALEN was directly targeted and mutated in microinjected embryos [19]. In both studies, the phenotype was observed after mutation of the mature locus of MSTN.

In our study it was assumed that disruption of the mature MSTN locus might occur by applying effective sgRNA on the pro-peptide locus using CRISPR-Cas9. Microinjected embryos were transplanted, and the muscle outgrowing phenotype was observed in one calf (#17). Thus, we thought that gene editing on the propeptide locus region worked well and predicted that the sequence of mature domain locus might be mutated by CRISPR-Cas9. However, one interesting finding was observed as a result of sequencing. This was an in-frameshift (-12bps deletion) knockout in the target locus that did not disrupt the mature MSTN domain region or amino acids of two cleavage regions. In other words, the 266^{th} -, and 76^{th} - amino acids for furin and proteinase were respectively conserved. Thus, the active MSTN protein dimer may be formed and muscle production is suppressed normally, and finally a wild type offspring should be born. Interestingly, the typical phenotyping (muscle outgrowth) was observed in #17 calf and the expression of MSTN mRNA was decreased (Figure 2). Because there have been no reports of phenotyping because of this type of mutation, it is hard to explain why this phenomenon occurred. One possibility is that the 156–160 position can be thought of as another molecular biological function in addition to the previously known two cleavage events. Similarly, in-frameshift mutation of the MSTN pro-peptide in mice showed a muscle gain phenotype [26]. Importantly, the blood test results of the mutated calves were normal (Table 1) and the calves showed no issue in their

general health. In the future, we will monitor the growth, including germline transmission, and investigate how this mutation may have affected the function of MSTN.

Microinjection commonly results in mosaic F0 founder animals that are then screened for the exact knock-out/knockin in the F1 generation following subsequent breeding. This technique is very effective in rodent experiments but is not suited to cattle because of their long gestational periods and single pregnancies. A cattle F0 and F1 system would take more than 3 years and require high costs. Consequently, most genome edited cattle are produced using a SCNT approach. However, live, healthy calf offspring are limited when SCNT is employed because of abnormal reprograming during embryogenesis. In our study, microinjection was used to produce live, healthy genome edited calves. Randomly selected blastocysts were analyzed in vitro by sgRNA/Cas9 mRNA and a $81.3 \pm 17.2\%$ knockout efficiency rate was found. Embryo transfer was performed and a lower MSTN mutant cow generation rate of 17.6% was found in vivo. It is possible that non-mutated blastocysts were selected during the randomly selected process. In the future, to improve the efficiency of producing mutated offspring a portion of the blastocysts could be biopsied prior to transfer to identify possible mutations [27].

Genotyping analysis showed another interesting result. When mRNA of sgRNA and Cas9 was introduced into cells and embryos (blastocysts) various mutant pattern (-12, -10, -3, -2, -1, +1; Figure 1B) were shown, but only one mutant pattern (-12bps) was observed in genome edited calves. It is difficult to explain why only one pattern is observed in all *MSTN* mutated calves. One possible theory is that the cells with the other mutated pattern may be embryonic lethal at some time after the point of embryo transfer. Future studies will focus on improving in vitro and in vivo efficiency.

In conclusion, we demonstrated, for the first time, that microinjection of Cas9 mRNA and sgRNA for *MSTN* into in vitro fertilized embryos can produce live, genome edited, Korean beef calves—including one calf with biallelic mutation. These calves will be served as a model for the future development of CRISPR—Cas9 technology in the agricultural industries.

Acknowledgments

This study was financially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (2017R1A2B3004972, NRF-2020R1F1052024), the Research Institute of Veterinary Science and the BK21 PLUS Program for Creative Veterinary Science Research, and a SNU-Grant (#550–2020005). We also thank members of the G. Jang lab for their valuable comments and the technical support of SR Han and SY Yum

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no commercial or financial conflict of interest.

References

- [1] A. Mottet, C. de Haan, A. Falcucci, G. Tempio, C. Opio, P. Gerber, Livestock: On our plates or eating at our table? A new analysis of the feed/food debate, Global Food Security 14 (2017) 1-8.
- [2] A.L. Van Eenennaam, Application of genome editing in farm animals: Cattle, Transgenic Research, Springer, 2019, pp. 93-100.
- [3] G.E. Dahl, Physiology of lactation in dairy cattle—challenges to sustainable production, Animal Agriculture, Elsevier 2020, pp. 121-129.
- [4] F. Lima, A. De Vries, C. Risco, J. Santos, W. Thatcher, Economic comparison of natural service and timed artificial insemination breeding programs in dairy cattle, Journal of dairy science 93 (2010) 4404-4413.
- [5] R. Kambadur, M. Sharma, T.P. Smith, J.J. Bass, Mutations in myostatin (GDF8) in double-muscled Belgian Blue and Piedmontese cattle, Genome research 7 (1997) 910-915.
- [6] D.S. Mosher, P. Quignon, C.D. Bustamante, N.B. Sutter, C.S. Mellersh, H.G. Parker, E.A. Ostrander, A mutation in the myostatin gene increases muscle mass and enhances racing performance in heterozygote

- dogs, PLoS genetics 3 (2007) e79.
- [7] A. Clop, F. Marcq, H. Takeda, D. Pirottin, X. Tordoir, B. Bibé, J. Bouix, F. Caiment, J.-M. Elsen, F. Eychenne, A mutation creating a potential illegitimate microRNA target site in the myostatin gene affects muscularity in sheep, Nature genetics 38 (2006) 813-818.
- [8] L. Qian, M. Tang, J. Yang, Q. Wang, C. Cai, S. Jiang, H. Li, K. Jiang, P. Gao, D. Ma, Targeted mutations in myostatin by zinc-finger nucleases result in double-muscled phenotype in Meishan pigs, Scientific reports 5 (2015) 1-13.
- [9] M. Schuelke, K.R. Wagner, L.E. Stolz, C. Hübner, T. Riebel, W. Kömen, T. Braun, J.F. Tobin, S.-J. Lee, Myostatin mutation associated with gross muscle hypertrophy in a child, New England Journal of Medicine 350 (2004) 2682-2688.
- [10] M. Georges, C. Charlier, B. Hayes, Harnessing genomic information for livestock improvement, Nature Reviews Genetics 20 (2019) 135-156.
- [11] X. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Tian, Y. Yu, M. Gao, G. Hu, F. Su, S. Pan, Y. Luo, Z. Guo, Generation of mastitis resistance in cows by targeting human lysozyme gene to β-casein locus using zinc-finger nucleases, Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 281 (2014) 20133368.
- [12] D.F. Carlson, C.A. Lancto, B. Zang, E.-S. Kim, M. Walton, D. Oldeschulte, C. Seabury, T.S. Sonstegard, S.C. Fahrenkrug, Production of hornless dairy cattle from genome-edited cell lines, Nature biotechnology 34 (2016) 479-481.
- [13] S. Yu, J. Luo, Z. Song, F. Ding, Y. Dai, N. Li, Highly efficient modification of beta-lactoglobulin (BLG) gene via zinc-finger nucleases in cattle, Cell research 21 (2011) 1638-1640.
- [14] J.A. Richt, P. Kasinathan, A.N. Hamir, J. Castilla, T. Sathiyaseelan, F. Vargas, J. Sathiyaseelan, H. Wu, H. Matsushita, J. Koster, Production of cattle lacking prion protein, Nature biotechnology 25 (2007) 132-138.
- [15] B. Brophy, G. Smolenski, T. Wheeler, D. Wells, P. L'Huillier, G. Laible, Cloned transgenic cattle produce milk with higher levels of β -casein and \varkappa -casein, Nature biotechnology 21 (2003) 157-162.
- [16] W. Tan, C. Proudfoot, S.G. Lillico, C.B.A. Whitelaw, Gene targeting, genome editing: from Dolly to editors, Transgenic research 25 (2016) 273-287.
- [17] S.-Y. Yum, K.-Y. Youn, W.-J. Choi, G. Jang, Development of genome engineering technologies in cattle: from random to specific, Journal of animal science and biotechnology 9 (2018) 1-9.
- [18] Z. MCLEAN, B. OBACK, G. LAIBLE, Embryo-mediated genome editing for accelerated genetic improvement of livestock, Frontiers of Agricultural Science and Engineering 7 (2020) 148-160.
- [19] C. Proudfoot, D.F. Carlson, R. Huddart, C.R. Long, J.H. Pryor, T.J. King, S.G. Lillico, A.J. Mileham, D.G. McLaren, C.B.A. Whitelaw, Genome edited sheep and cattle, Transgenic research 24 (2015) 147-153.
- [20] J. Park, K. Lim, J.S. Kim, S. Bae, Cas-analyzer: an online tool for assessing genome editing results using NGS data, Bioinformatics 33 (2017) 286-288. 10.1093/bioinformatics/btw561.
- [21] L. Liu, X.-D. Fan, CRISPR–Cas system: a powerful tool for genome engineering, Plant molecular biology 85 (2014) 209-218.
- [22] S.-J. Lee, A.C. McPherron, Regulation of myostatin activity and muscle growth, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 98 (2001) 9306-9311.
- [23] R.S. Tries, T. Chen, M.V. Da Vies, K.N. Tomkinson, A.A. Pearson, Q.A. Shakey, N.M. Wolfman, GDF-8 propeptide binds to GDF-8 and antagonizes biological activity by inhibiting GDF-8 receptor binding, Growth factors 18 (2001) 251-259.

- [24] N.M. Wolfman, A.C. McPherron, W.N. Pappano, M.V. Davies, K. Song, K.N. Tomkinson, J.F. Wright, L. Zhao, S.M. Sebald, D.S. Greenspan, Activation of latent myostatin by the BMP-1/tolloid family of metalloproteinases, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 100 (2003) 15842-15846.
- [25] J. Luo, Z. Song, S. Yu, D. Cui, B. Wang, F. Ding, S. Li, Y. Dai, N. Li, Efficient generation of myostatin (MSTN) biallelic mutations in cattle using zinc finger nucleases, PloS one 9 (2014) e95225.
- [26] T. Kocsis, G. Trencsenyi, K. Szabo, J.A. Baan, G. Muller, L. Mendler, I. Garai, H. Reinauer, F. Deak, L. Dux, Myostatin propeptide mutation of the hypermuscular Compact mice decreases the formation of myostatin and improves insulin sensitivity, American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism 312 (2017) E150-E160.
- [27] M. Cenariu, E. Pall, C. Cernea, I. Groza, Evaluation of bovine embryo biopsy techniques according to their ability to preserve embryo viability, Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology 2012 (2012).

Table 1. Blood analysis in the offspring

Blood items	References	Offspring	Offspring	Offspring	Offspring	Offspring
		#4	#6	#7	#9	#17
WBC	$4.0 \sim 12.0(10^3/\text{mm}^3)$	7.02	8.80	8.16	10.36	8.20
Neutrophil	$0.6 \sim 4.1(10^3/\text{mm}^3)$	3.12	4.36	3.55	5.79	3.66
Lymphocyte	$2.5 \sim 7.5(10^3/\text{mm}^3)$	2.42	2.53	2.65	3.48	3.30
RBC	$5.0 \sim 10.0(10^3/\text{mm}^3)$	8.14	10.09	9.56	8.83	8.62
HGB	$8.0~~15.0~({\rm g/dL})$	11.20	12.80	11.60	11.20	10.70
HCT	24.0 ~ 46.0 (%)	26.30	29.30	27.70	25.10	27.20
PLT	$200 \sim 800(10^3/\text{mm}^3)$	441.00	286.00	431.00	352.00	395.00
AST	53 ~ 162	55.00	78.00	63.00	75.00	68.00
ALP	$77^{\sim} 265$	209.00	321.00	331.00	390.00	343.00
BUN	10 ~ 25	21.00	12.00	13.00	12.00	12.00
Creatinine	0.4 $^{\sim}$ 2.0	0.90	1.10	0.90	1.00	1.70
Total Protein	7.2 ~ 9.0	7.40	8.30	8.20	7.90	7.60
Albumin	$3.2~\widetilde{}~4.2$	3.80	4.20	4.10	4.00	4.10
Ca (mg/dl)	8.3 ~ 10.4	9.40	9.55	9.77	9.35	9.99
P (mg/dl)	$4.2\ \tilde{}\ 7.7$	9.02	7.76	9.64	9.22	9.23
Total Cholesterol	73 ~ 280	144.00	112.00	110.00	104.00	152.00
Glucose	31 ~ 77	78.00	84.00	90.00	75.00	87.00
Triglyceride	$7~\tilde{\ }323$	15.00	10.00	14.00	12.00	30.00
Total Bilirubin	0.01 ~ 0.5	0.029	0.026	0.031	0.023	0.028

Figure legends

Figure 1. Efficient production of MSTN mutant blastocysts. A) Schematic design for MSTN target site (a) and graphical illustration on analyzing microinjected embryos (b) The red letters and line represent MSTN target site, and the green letters represent PAM sequence. B) MSTN mutation sequence pattern on pooled cells after transfection with sgRNA and Cas9 protein. C) Representative pictures of bovine blastocyst on day 7 for each experiment condition after microinjection (WT: microinjection with Tris-EDTA buffer, RNA1X; Cas9 mRNA: 100 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 50 ng/ μ l, RNA2X; Cas9 mRNA: 200 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 100 ng/ μ l, sgRNA: 200 ng/ μ l); D). The blastocyst formation rate after microinjection with each condition. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. E)MSTN mutation rate of day 7 blastocysts for each condition.

Figure 2. Birth of MSTN mutated Korean beef calves. A) Mutation rate of fetuses (labeled #1–17) on MSTN target site by deep sequencing. B) Mutation assay using T7E1 assay for one calf (#17) (WT: wild

type, NC: negative control, PC: positive control, W-:T7E1 assay without wild type genomic DNA, W+: T7E1 assay with wild type genomic DNA). C) Analysis of off-target effects on five candidate genes. D) Relative expression of MSTN mRNA. The bar graph represents the fold changes in mRNA levels, and the error bars show SEMs (n=3). $^*P < 0.05$, $^{**P} < 0.01$, $^{***P} < 0.001$. E) Representative pictures of a biallelic knockout calf (#17) along with age (a: 1 month, b: 2 months, c: 3 months, and d: 4 months).

