Upscaling gas permeability in tight-gas sandstones

Behzad Ghanbarian¹, Carlos Torres-Verdín², Larry Lake², and Michael Marder²

¹Affiliation not available ²University of Texas at Austin

November 22, 2022

Abstract

Klinkenberg-corrected gas permeability (k) estimation in tight-gas sandstones is essential for gas exploration and production in low-permeability porous rocks. Most models for estimating k are a function of porosity (), tortuosity (τ), pore shape factor (s) and a characteristic length scale (lc). Estimation of the latter, however, has been the subject of debate in the literature. Here we invoke two different upscaling approaches from statistical physics: (1) the EMA and (2) critical path analysis (CPA) to estimate lc from pore throat-size distribution derived from mercury intrusion capillary pressure (MICP) curve. τ is approximated from: (1) concepts of percolation theory and (2) formation resistivity factor measurements (F = τ /). We then estimate k of eighteen tight-gas sandstones from lc, τ , and by assuming two different pore shapes: cylindrical and slit-shaped. Comparison with Klinkenberg-corrected k measurements showed that τ was estimated more accurately from F measurements than from percolation theory. Generally speaking, our results implied that the EMA estimated k within a factor of two of the measurements and more precisely than CPA. We further found that the assumption of cylindrical pores yielded more accurate k estimates when τ was estimated from concepts of percolation theory than the assumption of slit-shaped pores. However, the EMA with slit-shaped pores estimated k more precisely than that with cylindrical pores when τ was estimated from F measurements.



Upscaling gas permeability in tight-gas sandstones

Behzad Ghanbarian¹, Carlos Torres-Verdín², Larry W. Lake², and Michael Marder³ ¹ Department of Geology, Kansas State University, Manhattan 66506 KS, USA (Email address: ghanbarian@ksu.edu) ² Department of Petroleum and Geosystems Engineering, University of Texas at Austin, Austin 78712 TX, USA ³ Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin 78712 TX, USA



BACKGROUND

The effective-medium approximation (EMA)



- Connectivity
- Pore-throat size distribution
- Slip flow and Knudsen diffusion
- Tortuositv
- Porositv
- Pore shape geometry
- Gas characteristics

Theoretical upscaling techniques

- Bundle of capillary tubes approach
- Effective-medium theory (EMA)
- Critical path analysis (CPA)
- Perturbation theory
- Volume averaging method
- Mean-field theory
- Renormalization group theory

PURPOSES AND ASSUMPTIONS

Objectives

- ✓ To evaluate the EMA's reliability to estimate the Klinkenberg-corrected gas permeability k from porethroat size distribution, tortuosity, and porosity.
- \checkmark To estimate k from pore-throat size distribution and electrical conductivity measurements.
- ✓ To compare EMA results to those obtained from critical path analysis in tight-gas sandstones.

Assumptions

- Pores are cylindrical or slit-shaped.
- □ The influence of pore pressure on k in our samples is small because all permeability measurements were Klinkenberg-corrected.
- □ Contact angle is about 140° for mercury.
- □ The air-mercury interfacial tension is 485 mN/m.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hydraulic and electrical conductances

	Hydraulic flow	Electrical flow
Cylindrical	$g_h = \frac{\pi r^4}{8\mu l}$	$g_e = \sigma_f \frac{\pi r^2}{l}$
Slit-shaped	$g_h = \frac{bw^3}{12\mu l}$	$g_e = \sigma_f \frac{bw}{l}$





Slit-shaped pores:



Barrande et al. (2007)

0.8

Pressure

1.0

Delgado (2006)

Predicted

0.6

Porosity ϕ

Predicter

0.4

Inflection point

1.6 ب

1.4

1.2

0.2

Critical pressure

Effective hydraulic and electrical pore sizes are determined from the following EMA governing equation:

$$\int_{g_{min}}^{g_{max}} \frac{(g_e - g)}{g_{+(Z/2-1)g_e}} f(g) dg = 0$$

cortuosity As a first-order approximation, the following geometrical tortuosity model may be used to approximate τ_e and τ_h with the geometrical tortuosity τ_a Seometrical (Ghanbarian et al., 2013):

$$\tau_g = \left(\frac{L_e}{L_s}\right)^2 = \left[\frac{\phi - \phi S_c + (C/L_s)\overline{\nu}}{1 - \phi S_c}\right]^{2(\nu - \nu D_{opt})}$$

Critical path analysis (CPA)





RESULTS







Estimating $\sigma_{\rm b}/\sigma_{\rm f}$ and k via EMA from MICP, porosity and tortuosity assuming that pores are Slit-shaped



CONCLUSION

- EMA with slit-shaped pores estimated k slightly more precisely than CPA with cylindrical pores, although both method estimations were mainly within a factor of two of the measurements.
- Depending on input parameters and upscaling methods, the assumption of cylindrical pores could yield more accurate σ_h/σ_f and k estimates than the assumption of slit-shaped pores and vice versa.

REFERENCES

Ghanbarian, B., Hunt, A. G., Sahimi, M., Ewing, R. P., & Skinner, T. E. (2013). Percolation theory generates a physically based description of tortuosity in saturated and unsaturated porous media. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 77(6), 1920-1929.

Skaggs, T. H. (2011). Assessment of critical path analyses of the relationship between permeability and electrical conductivity of pore networks. Advances in Water Resources. 34(10). 1335-1342.