Implications and Symptoms: Role of the Water Governance Components in Iran on the Existing Water Bankruptcy

Mehdi Ketabchy¹

¹University of Maryland at College Park

November 24, 2022

Abstract

Iran is suffering from a state of water bankruptcy. Several factors have contributed to the current water resources bankruptcy, ranging from anthropogenic impacts, such as an inefficient agricultural sector and aggressive withdrawal of groundwater, to climatological impacts. This presentation suggests that water resources mismanagement in Iran should be evaluated beyond the policy-makers decisions, as it recognizes that the bankruptcy has been intensified due to the structural and institutional form of the political system in Iran. This study discusses the roots of the water bankruptcy and identifies four major shortcomings caused by the political system: (1) the absence of public engagement due to the lack of a democratic and decentralized structure; (2) adopting ideological policies in domestic and foreign affairs; (3) conflicts of interest and the multiplicity of governmental policy-makers and sectors; and (4) a state-controlled, resource-dependent economy. Through the development of a generic causal model, this study recommends a systematic transition towards a democratic, decentralized, non-ideological, and economically diverse political governance as the necessary—but not necessarily sufficient—adaptive and sustainable solution for mitigating the impacts of water resources bankruptcy in Iran. The insights highlighted in this presentation could be employed to inform water resources decision-makers and political actors in other non-democratic and ideological political structures struggling with a water resources crisis or bankruptcy.

Implications and Symptoms: Role of the Water Governance Components in Iran on the Existing Water Bankruptcy



Mehdi Ketabchy

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA



PRESENTED AT:



PURPOSE OF THE STUDY

Few studies have evaluated the extent of the existing water bankruptcy and its consequences and attempted to propose technical and fundamental solutions to combat the water bankruptcy and anthropogenic desertification problems in Iran. Despite the importance of the political power structure, no study has assessed the role of political system components in Iran (on a macro-level) regarding the existing water bankruptcy. The current study elaborates implications and symptoms of the fundamental socio-hydrological and hydro-political causes of water bankruptcy given the structure of the political system in Iran and provides a wealth of insights into the consequences of the existing water resources managerial system.

This study has been recently published in the Journal of Sustainability, which can be found Here (https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/13/24/13657).

Ketabchy M. Investigating the Impacts of the Political System Components in Iran on the Existing Water Bankruptcy. Sustainability. 2021; 13(24):13657. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132413657

WATER RESOURCES AND CLIMATOLOGICAL POTENTIALS AND CHALLENGES IN IRAN

Iran has experienced a series of significant events in its modern history of water resources management during the last 60 years (Figure 1). These include launching a country-wide land reform named the "White Revolution" in 1963 and beginning the conversion of many pastures to agricultural and irrigated lands, being the first state in the Middle East to form a Department of Environment (DOE) in 1971 (prior to the Islamic Revolution in 1979), holding the intergovernmental convention in Ramsar, Iran, on Wetlands in 1971, and having several considerable environmental hazards and disasters due to a series of systematic problems.



Figure 1. Time series of major events related to the modern history of water resources management in Iran and a comparison with similar events in the USA and a country in the region, Turkey; EPA: Environmental Protection Agency, DOE: Department of Environment, MEU: Ministry of Environment and Urbanization.

IMPORTANCE OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND DECENTRALIZATION

Although there are variations in the levels of environmental sustainability and improvement based on a developing country's level of democracy, democracy has been observed to be conducive to the improvement and protection of environmental quality and water resources



Figure 2. Simplified conceptual schematic of (**a**) an effective democratic, multilateral decision-making approach for the allocation and management of water resources, and (**b**) the existing decision-making approach for the management of water resources in Iran. Note: The schematic design was inspired by Andersson and Ostrom (2008) and Meerow et al. (2016).

The necessity of establishing a polycentric and decentralized administrative structure—with no major conflicts of interest between governmental institutions and agencies—is one of the necessary (and not sufficient) requirements for the proper management of water resources. In such a structure, major water resources decisions are made solely by local governmental and public stakeholders, local communities, professionals, and those impacted by the resources, under the extensive supervision and imposed regulations/compliances of a country-wide organization, such as the DOE. Therefore, through the payment of costs by affected local public and stakeholders (e.g., through transparent taxation systems and water pricing), stakeholders can become more conscious and sensitive to the supply of water resources and may comply with water-related regulations, resulting in improved management of water resources. In the governing political structure in Iran, most major decisions regarding water resources are made by the center/capital.

Decentralization based on a participatory approach with no conflicts of interest between governmental agencies, extensive supervision by the DOE, and as a major policy implication, is recommended in Iran to improve the sustainability of water resources management

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST BETWEEN GOVERNMENTAL SECTORS AND THE IMPACTS OF IDEOLOGICAL POLICIES IN DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN AFFAIRS

The multiplicity of governmental sectors and parallel decision-makers (DOE, MOE, MAJ, military organizations, parliament representatives, etc.) have intensified the inexorable competition and conflicts of interest between governmental sectors regarding proper decision-making on water management.



Figure 3. Causal Loop Diagram of the processes for setting regulations; (**a**) An effective value-based socio-political hierarchy of governmental sectors decision-making for setting regulations, with no significant conflicts of interests between the sectors; (**b**) diagram of the existing condition of governmental sectors decision-making in Iran, which reinforces conflicts of interest between the sectors. MAJ: Ministry of Agricultural Jahad; MOE: Ministry of Energy; DOE: Department of Environment.

Adopting ideological governmental policies at external and internal levels can shift the policy priorities from sustainable and adaptive development to idealism and ideological goals. These policies, for example, emphasizing high population growth, emphasizing self-sufficiency in agricultural production, and increasing conflicts with neighboring countries have been a few of the main reasons for inadequate water distribution, a decline in water per capita, and a lack of constructive regional cooperation with countries in the region regarding transboundary water resources in recent decades. The foreign policy of the current political system has intensified regional and trans-regional tensions. This has acted as a driving factor for the intensification of international sanctions on the state since the 1979 Islamic revolution, negatively impacting the sustainability of the environment in Iran, particularly water resources, and reinforcing the government's idealism about self-reliance for food and agricultural production.

Decentralization based on a participatory approach with no conflicts of interest between governmental agencies, extensive supervision by the DOE, and as a major policy implication, is recommended in Iran to improve the sustainability of water resources management

CHARACTERIZING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE POLITICAL SYSTEM AND WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT IN IRAN USING THE MATRIX OF EISENHOWER

The urgency and importance assigned to water resources management issues vary in different political structures based on the level of democracy and the adoption of ideological policies. While in decentralized stakeholder-based democracies, there is a greater chance of perceiving water resources management issues to be relatively urgent and important matters through engaging societies in earning high priorities for water problems, non-democratic and ideological political structures, such as the Islamic Republic of Iran, usually overlook the limited time window for addressing water resources issues; they perceive the environment (including water resourced) as an infinite opportunity to boost the economy and address socio-economic and socio-political problems.



Figure 4. The relationships and common characteristics between different types of political structure involved in water resources management in conjunction with the concept adopted from the Urgency-Importance Matrix of Eisenhower. Note 1: The "urgency" component of Matrix of Eisenhower for a centralized democracy is lower than its "importance" primarily due to weakened tightness of feedback loops. Note 2: The terms "higher" and "lower" are relative concepts, as for example, water bankruptcy can be also observed in democratic political systems.

Water, the economy, the environment, foreign policy, etc. are components of a complex policy-natural-human system of systems, and are all managed through the major policies adopted by a political structure (state), reaffirming the state as the central object of hydro-politics.



Figure 5. Conceptual positions of different elements within the complex policy-human-natural management system of Iran; the background image is a map of Iran.

RECOMMENDATION FOR ADAPTATION TO THE WATER BANKRUPTCY PARADIGM

A systematic transition towards a democratic, decentralized, diversified and non-state-controlled economy, and nonideological political structure is recommended to sustainably mitigate the water resources issues in Iran. A transition to such a structure is not a sufficient, but merely a necessary condition. Such a political structure may not immediately mitigate the impacts of water bankruptcy; however, it does provide the necessary components for sustainable management of water resources and will increase the chance of mitigating the impacts of water resources bankruptcy, and allow for the adaptation of the country's water supply (which is on the verge of territorial destruction) to the existing bankruptcy condition.



Figure 6. The generic causal model of the main causes and consequences of the existing water resources bankruptcy problem in Iran.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Mehdi Ketabchy is a PhD student in Civil and Environmental Engineering at University of Maryland, College Park, USA. He received his second M.S. degree in Biological System Engineering, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University in 2017, received his first M.S. degree in Civil and Environmental Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran in 2013, and graduated with his B.Sc. in Civil Engineering, University of Mazandaran, Babol, Iran in 2010.

His research interests are focused on evaluating complex social-ecological systems, proposing water quality trading among different stakeholders, anthropogenic impacts on water resources, and water governance in an uncertain future. He is employed as an engineering consultant at Gannett Fleming, Inc., based in Baltimore, MD, working on green infrastructures, improving water resources resilience and adaptation given climate and global changes, and watershed modeling. Mehdi Ketabchy also serves as an editor on the editorial board of the Journal of Water Management Modeling.

You can reach out to him vis email: ketabchy@umd.edu

ABSTRACT

Iran is suffering from a state of water bankruptcy. Several factors have contributed to the current water resources bankruptcy, ranging from anthropogenic impacts, such as an inefficient agricultural sector and aggressive withdrawal of groundwater, to climatological impacts. This presentation suggests that water resources mismanagement in Iran should be evaluated beyond the policy-makers decisions, as it recognizes that the bankruptcy has been intensified due to the structural and institutional form of the political system in Iran. This study discusses the roots of the water bankruptcy and identifies four major shortcomings caused by the political system: (1) the absence of public engagement due to the lack of a democratic and decentralized structure; (2) adopting ideological policies in domestic and foreign affairs; (3) conflicts of interest and the multiplicity of governmental policy-makers and sectors; and (4) a state-controlled, resource-dependent economy. Through the development of a generic causal model, this study recommends a systematic transition towards a democratic, decentralized, non-ideological, and economically diverse political governance as the necessary–but not necessarily sufficient–adaptive and sustainable solution for mitigating the impacts of water resources bankruptcy in Iran. The insights highlighted in this presentation could be employed to inform water struggling with a water resources crisis or bankruptcy.

REFERENCES

 Madani, K.; AghaKouchak, A.; Mirchi, A. Iran's Socio-economic Drought: Challenges of a Water-Bankrupt Nation. Iran. Stud. 2016, 49, 997–1016, doi:10.1080/00210862.2016.1259286.

2. Klein, C.A. Water Bankruptcy. Minnesota Law Rev. 2012, 344, 560-624.

Maghrebi, M.; Noori, R.; Bhattarai, R.; Mundher Yaseen, Z.; Tang, Q.; Al-Ansari, N.; Danandeh Mehr, A.; Karbassi, A.; Omidvar, J.;
Farnoush, H.; et al. Iran's Agriculture in the Anthropocene. Earth's Futur. 2020, 8, e2020EF001547, doi:10.1029/2020EF001547.

 Sadegh, M.; AghaKouchak, A.; Mallakpour, I.; Huning, L.S.; Mazdiyasni, O.; Niknejad, M.; Foufoula-Georgiou, E.; Moore, F.C.; Brouwer, J.; Farid, A.; et al. Data and analysis toolbox for modeling the nexus of food, energy, and water. Sustain. Cities Soc. 2020, 61, 102281, doi:10.1016/j.scs.2020.102281.

 Amiraslani, F.; Dragovich, D. Combating desertification in Iran over the last 50 years: An overview of changing approaches. J. Environ. Manag. 2011, 92, 1–13, doi:10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2010.08.012.

6. Delavari Edalat, F.; Abdi, M.R. Water management in developing countries: The example of Iran. In International Series in Operations Research and Management Science; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2018; Volume 258, pp. 37–53.

 Al-Saidi, M.; Dehnavi, S. Comparative scorecard assessment of urban water pricing policies-The case of Jordan and Iran. Water 2019, 11, 1–20, doi:10.3390/w11040704.

 Ashraf, S.; Nazemi, A.; AghaKouchak, A. Anthropogenic drought dominates groundwater depletion in Iran. Sci. Rep. 2021, 11, 9135, doi:10.1038/s41598-021-88522-y.

9. Noori, R.; Maghrebi, M.; Mirchi, A.; Tang, Q.; Bhattarai, R.; Sadegh, M.; Noury, M.; Torabi Haghighi, A.; Kløve, B.; Madani, K. Anthropogenic depletion of Iran's aquifers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2021, 118, e2024221118, doi:10.1073/pnas.2024221118.

10. Sharifi, A.; Mirchi, A.; Pirmoradian, R.; Mirabbasi, R.; Tourian, M.J.; Haghighi, A.T.; Madani, K. Battling Water Limits to Growth: Lessons from Water Trends in the Central Plateau of Iran. Environ. Manag. 2021, 68, 53–64, doi:10.1007/S00267-021-01447-0.

11. Emadodin, I.; Reinsch, T.; Taube, F. Drought and Desertification in Iran. Hydrology 2019, 6, 66, doi:10.3390/HYDROLOGY6030066.

12. Pouladi, P.; Badiezadeh, S.; Pouladi, M.; Yousefi, P.; Farahmand, H.; Kalantari, Z.; Yu, D.J.; Sivapalan, M. Interconnected governance and social barriers impeding the restoration process of Lake Urmia. J. Hydrol. 2021, 598, 126489, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.126489.

13. Abbaspour, K.C.; Faramarzi, M.; Ghasemi, S.S.; Yang, H. Assessing the impact of climate change on water resources in Iran. Water Resour. Res. 2009, 45, 10434, doi:10.1029/2008WR007615.

14. Rahimi, J.; Malekian, A.; Khalili, A. Climate change impacts in Iran: Assessing our current knowledge. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 2018, 135, 545–564, doi:10.1007/S00704-018-2395-7.

15. Ashraf, S.; AghaKouchak, A.; Nazemi, A.; Mirchi, A.; Sadegh, M.; Moftakhari, H.R.; Hassanzadeh, E.; Miao, C.Y.; Madani, K.; Mousavi Baygi, M.; et al. Compounding effects of human activities and climatic changes on surface water availability in Iran. Clim. Change 2019, 152, 379–391, doi:10.1007/s10584-018-2336-6.

 Ashraf Vaghefi, S.; Mousavi, S.J.; Abbaspour, K.C.; Srinivasan, R.; Yang, H. Analyses of the impact of climate change on water resources components, drought and wheat yield in semiarid regions: Karkheh River Basin in Iran. Hydrol. Process. 2014, 28, 2018–2032, doi:10.1002/hyp.9747.

17. Mirzavand, M.; Bagheri, R. The water crisis in Iran: Development or destruction? World Water Policy 2020, 6, 89–97, doi:10.1002/wwp2.12023.

18. Madani, K. Water management in Iran: What is causing the looming crisis? J. Environ. Stud. Sci. 2014, 4, 315–328, doi:10.1007/s13412-014-0182-z.

 Ghazinoory, S.; Khosravi, M.; Nasri, S. A Systems-Based Approach to Analyze Environmental Issues: Problem-Oriented Innovation System for Water Scarcity Problem in Iran. J. Environ. Dev. 2021, 30, 291–316, doi:10.1177/10704965211019084. 20. Tortajada, C. Water management in Singapore. Int. J. Water Resour. Dev. 2006, 22, 227-240, doi:10.1080/07900620600691944.

21. Saatsaz, M. A Historical Investigation on Water Resources Management in Iran; Springer: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2020; Volume 22; ISBN 1066801800.

 Baggio, G.; Qadir, M.; Smakhtin, V. Freshwater availability status across countries for human and ecosystem needs. Sci. Total Environ. 2021, 792, 148230, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.148230.

 Kaboli, S.; Hekmatzadeh, A.A.; Darabi, H.; Haghighi, A.T. Variation in physical characteristics of rainfall in Iran, determined using daily rainfall concentration index and monthly rainfall percentage index. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 2021, 144, 507–520, doi:10.1007/S00704-021-03553-9/TABLES/2.

24. Tabari, H.; Hosseinzadeh Talaee, P. Analysis of trends in temperature data in arid and semi-arid regions of Iran. Glob. Planet. Change 2011, 79, 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.07.008.

Kousari, M.R.; Ahani, H.; Hendi-zadeh, R. Temporal and spatial trend detection of maximum air temperature in Iran during 1960–2005.
Glob. Planet. Change 2013, 111, 97–110, doi:10.1016/J.GLOPLACHA.2013.08.011.

26. Sharafi, S.; Mir Karim, N. Investigating trend changes of annual mean temperature and precipitation in Iran. Arab. J. Geosci. 2020, 13, 759, doi:10.1007/s12517-020-05695-y.

27. Pour, S.H.; Wahab, A.K.A.; Shahid, S. Spatiotemporal changes in aridity and the shift of drylands in Iran. Atmos. Res. 2020, 233, 104704, doi:10.1016/J.ATMOSRES.2019.104704.

28. Griffin, P.J.; Ali, S.H. Managing transboundary wetlands: The Ramsar Convention as a means of ecological diplomacy. J. Environ. Stud. Sci. 2014, 4, 230–239, doi:10.1007/S13412-014-0173-0.

29. Ho, M.; Lall, U.; Allaire, M.; Devineni, N.; Kwon, H.H.; Pal, I.; Raff, D.; Wegner, D. The future role of dams in the United States of America. Water Resour. Res. 2017, 53, 982–998, doi:10.1002/2016WR019905.

30. Iran Constitution. Iran (Islamic Republic of)'s Constitution of 1979 with Amendments through 1989; Comparative Constitutions Project. University of Texas: Austin, TX, USA, 1989.

31. Alamdari, K. The power structure of the Islamic Republic of Iran: Transition from populism to clientelism, and militarization of the government. Third World Q. 2007, 26, 1285–1301, doi:10.1080/01436590500336690.

32. Abrahamian, E. Khomeinism: Essays on the Islamic Republic; University of California Press: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1993.

33. Haghayeghi, M. Politics and Ideology in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Middle East. Stud. 1993, 29, 36-52.

34. Bakhash, S. Iran's Foreign Policy under the Islamic Republic, 1979–2000. In Diplomacy in the Middle East: The International Relations of Regional and Outside Powers; Carl Brown, L., Ed.; I.B.Tauris & Co Ltd: New York, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 1–396.

35. Meadowcroft, J. Who is in charge here? Governance for sustainable development in a complex world. J. Environ. Policy Plan. 2007, 9, 299–314.

36. Kuzdas, C.; Wiek, A. Governance scenarios for addressing water conflicts and climate change impacts. Environ. Sci. Policy 2014, 42, 181– 196, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2014.06.007.

37. Alipour, H.; Olya, H.G.T. Sustainable planning model toward reviving Lake Urmia. Int. J. Water Resour. Dev. 2014, 31, 519–539, doi:10.1080/07900627.2014.949636.

38. Júnior, W.S.; Baldwin, C.; Camkin, J.; Fidelman, P.; Silva, O.; Neto, S.; Smith, T.F. Water: Drought, Crisis and Governance in Australia and Brazil. Water 2016, 8, 493, doi:10.3390/W8110493.

39. Dietz, T.; Ostrom, E.; Stern, P.C. The Struggle to Govern the Commons. Science 2003, 302, 1907–1912, doi:10.1126/SCIENCE.1091015.

40. Meadowcroft, J. Participation and sustainable development: Modes of citizen, community and organizational involvement. In Governance for Sustainable Development: The Challenge of Adapting Form to Function; Edward Elgar Publishing: Northampton, MA, USA, 2004.

41. Susskind, L. Water and democracy: New roles for civil society in water governance. Int. J. Water Resour. Dev. 2013, 29, 666–677, doi:10.1080/07900627.2013.781914.

AGU - iPosterSessions.com (agu-vm-0)

42. Jiménez-Martínez, J.; García-Aróstegui, J.L.; Hunink, J.E.; Contreras, S.; Baudron, P.; Candela, L. The role of groundwater in highly human-modified hydrosystems: A review of impacts and mitigation options in the Campo de Cartagena-Mar Menor coastal plain (SE Spain). Environ. Rev. 2016, 24, 377–392, doi:10.1139/er-2015-0089.

43. Rodríguez, J.; De Stefano, L. Intensively irrigated agriculture in the north-west of Doñana. In Water, Agriculture and the Environment in Spain: Can We Square the Circle? Computenese University of Madrid: Madrid, Spain, 2012; pp. 269–280; ISBN 9780203096123.

44. Closas, A.; Molle, F.; Hernández-Mora, N. Sticks and carrots to manage groundwater over-abstraction in La Mancha, Spain. Agric. Water Manag. 2017, 194, 113–124, doi:10.1016/j.agwat.2017.08.024.

45. De Stefano, L.; Lopez-Gunn, E. Unauthorized groundwater use: Institutional, social and ethical considerations. Water Policy 2012, 14, 147–160, doi:10.2166/WP.2012.101.

46. Wurtsbaugh, W.A.; Miller, C.; Null, S.E.; DeRose, R.J.; Wilcock, P.; Hahnenberger, M.; Howe, F.; Moore, J. Decline of the world's saline lakes. Nat. Geosci. 2017, 10, 816–821, doi:10.1038/ngeo3052.

47. Wehn, U.; Collins, K.; Anema, K.; Basco-Carrera, L.; Lerebours, A. Stakeholder engagement in water governance as social learning: Lessons from practice. Water Int. 2018, 43, 34–59, doi:10.1080/02508060.2018.1403083.

48. Barns, I. Environment, democracy and community. Env. Polit. 2007, 4, 101–133, doi:10.1080/09644019508414230.

49. Hayward, B.M. The greening of participatory democracy: A reconsideration of theory. Env. Polit. 2007, 4, 215–236, doi:10.1080/09644019508414234.

50. Huang, W.-C.; Lee, Y.-Y. Strategic Planning for Land Use under Extreme Climate Changes: A Case Study in Taiwan. Sustainability 2016, 8, 53, doi:10.3390/su8010053.

51. Schulz, S.; Darehshouri, S.; Hassanzadeh, E.; Tajrishy, M.; Schüth, C. Climate change or irrigated agriculture–what drives the water level decline of Lake Urmia. Sci. Rep. 2020, 10, 1–10, doi:10.1038/s41598-019-57150-y.

52. Saemian, P.; Elmi, O.; Vishwakarma, B.D.; Tourian, M.J.; Sneeuw, N. Analyzing the Lake Urmia restoration progress using ground-based and spaceborne observations. Sci. Total Environ. 2020, 739, 139857, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139857.

AghaKouchak, A.; Norouzi, H.; Madani, K.; Mirchi, A.; Azarderakhsh, M.; Nazemi, A.; Nasrollahi, N.; Farahmand, A.; Mehran, A.;
Hasanzadeh, E. Aral Sea syndrome desiccates Lake Urmia: Call for action. J. Great Lakes Res. 2015, 41, 307–311, doi:10.1016/j.jglr.2014.12.007.

54. Gohari, A.; Eslamian, S.; Mirchi, A.; Abedi-Koupaei, J.; Massah Bavani, A.; Madani, K. Water transfer as a solution to water shortage: A fix that can Backfire. J. Hydrol. 2013, 491, 23–39, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2013.03.021.

55. Ananga, E.O.; Naiga, R.; Agong', S.G.; Njoh, A.J.; Vickers, H.P. Examining the contribution of community participation in water resource production and management: Perspectives from developing countries. SN Soc. Sci. 2021, 1, 1–20, doi:10.1007/s43545-020-00050-0.

56. Report of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment. In Proceedings of the United Nations Conference on the Human Environment, Stockholm, Sweden, 5–16 June 1973.

57. Mukhtarov, F.; Dieperink, C.; Driessen, P. The influence of information and communication technologies on public participation in urban water governance: A review of place-based research. Environ. Sci. Policy 2018, 89, 430–438, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2018.08.015.

58. Priscoli, J.D. What is Public Participation in Water Resources Management and Why is it Important? Water Int. 2004, 29, 221–227, doi:10.1080/02508060408691771.

59. Horlemann, L.; Berenji, P.J. Participation in Water Management in Iran. In Reviving Dying Giant; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 51–62, doi:10.1007/978-3-319-54922-4_4.

60. Khatri, K.; Vairavamoorthy, K.; Porto, M. Challenges for urban water supply and sanitation in developing countries. In Water for a Changing World–Developing Local Knowledge and Capacity; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2008; pp. 93–112.

61. Grey, D.; Sadoff, C.W. Sink or Swim? Water security for growth and development. Water Policy 2007, 9, 545–571, doi:10.2166/wp.2007.021.

AGU - iPosterSessions.com (agu-vm-0)

 Biggs, E.M.; Duncan, J.M.A.; Atkinson, P.M.; Dash, J. Plenty of water, not enough strategy: How inadequate accessibility, poor governance and a volatile government can tip the balance against ensuring water security: The case of Nepal. Environ. Sci. Policy 2013, 33, 388– 394, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2013.07.004.

63. Pirsoul, N.; Armoudian, M. Deliberative Democracy and Water Management in New Zealand: A Critical Approach to Collaborative Governance and Co-Management Initiatives. Water Resour. Manag. 2019, 33, 4821–4834, doi:10.1007/s11269-019-02400-x.

64. Carayannis, E.G.; Campbell, D.F.J.; Grigoroudis, E. Democracy and the Environment: How Political Freedom Is Linked with Environmental Sustainability. Sustainability 2021, 13, 5522, doi:10.3390/SU13105522.

 Arvin, M.B.; Lew, B. Does democracy affect environmental quality in developing countries? Appl. Econ. 2011, 43, 1151–1160, doi:10.1080/00036840802600277.

66. Didia, D.O. Democracy, political instability and tropical deforestation. Glob. Environ. Chang. 1997, 7, 63–76, doi:10.1016/S0959-3780(96)00024-6.

67. Kellner, E.; Oberlack, C.; Gerber, J.-D. Polycentric governance compensates for incoherence of resource regimes: The case of water uses under climate change in Oberhasli, Switzerland. Environ. Sci. Policy 2019, 100, 126–135, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2019.06.008.

 Pahl-Wostl, C. Transitions towards adaptive management of water facing climate and global change. Water Resour. Manag. 2007, 21, 49– 62, doi:10.1007/s11269-006-9040-4.

69. Andersson, K.P.; Ostrom, E. Analyzing decentralized resource regimes from a polycentric perspective. Policy Sci. 2008, 41, 71–93, doi:10.1007/s11077-007-9055-6.

70. Farzanegan, M.R.; Lessmann, C.; Markwardt, G. Natural resource rents and internal conflicts: Can decentralization lift the curse? Econ. Syst. 2018, 42, 186–205, doi:10.1016/j.ecosys.2017.05.009.

71. Suhardiman, D.; Nicol, A.; Mapedza, E. Water Governance and Collective Action: Multi-Scale Challenges; Routledge Taylor and Francis Group: Oxfordshire, UK, 2017.

72. Setty, E.D. People's participation in rural development: A critical analysis. Indian J. Soc. Work 1985, 46, 73-83.

73. Akhavan, A.; Gonçalves, P. Managing the trade-off between groundwater resources and large-scale agriculture: The case of pistachio production in Iran. Syst. Dyn. Rev. 2021, 37, 155–196, doi:10.1002/SDR.1689.

74. Lehane, S. The Iranian Water Crisis. Futur. Dir. Int. 2014, 11, 1–11.

75. Tang, S.; Brady, U.; Mohajer Iravanloo, B. Deh Salm Irrigation System; Arizona State University: Phoenix, AZ, USA, 2020.

76. Molle, F.; Mamanpoush, A. Scale, governance and the management of river basins: A case study from Central Iran. Geoforum 2012, 43, 285–294, doi:10.1016/J.GEOFORUM.2011.08.004.

77. Walker, B.; Salt, D. Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a Changing World; Island Press: Washington, DC, USA, 2012.

 Meerow, S.; Newell, J.P.; Stults, M. Defining urban resilience: A review. Landsc. Urban Plan. 2016, 147, 38–49, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.11.011.

79. Jalali, L.; Zarei, M.; Gutiérrez, F. Salinization of reservoirs in regions with exposed evaporites. The unique case of Upper Gotvand Dam, Iran. Water Res. 2019, 157, 587–599, doi:10.1016/J.WATRES.2019.04.015.

 Press TV. Iranian dam comes on line to transfer water to Lake Urmia. Available online: https://www.presstv.com/Detail/2020/12/31/641952/Iran-Kani-Sib-Dam-Lak-Urmia-revival (Dec 31, 2020).

81. Yousefi, P.; Pouladi, P.; Badiezadeh, S.; Pouladi, M.; Farahmand, H.; Kalantari, Z.; Yu, D.J.; Sivapalan, M. Socio-hydrological Issues Preventing Restoration of the Urmia Lake in Iran. In Proceedings of the AGU Fall Meeting 2020, online, 1–17 December 2020.

82. Karimi, M.B.; Mammedov, R.; Saghezchi, F.F. Stakeholder Role Analysis for Integrated Management in Protected Areas (Case Study: Urmia Lake, Iran). Ecopresia 2011, 1, 101–110.

83. Donya-e-Eghtesad Who Built the Isfahan Moabarakeh Steel Company? Donya-e-Eghtesad Daily Newspaper, Nov 23, 2016. (In Farsi).

84. CheapeakBayProgram Cheapeak Bay Program, Science, Restoration, Partnership. 1983. Available online: https://www.chesapeakebay.net/who/bay_program_history (accessed on 2021).

85. Huitema, D.; Mostert, E.; Egas, W.; Moellenkamp, S.; Pahl-Wostl, C.; Yalcin, R. Adaptive water governance: Assessing the institutional prescriptions of adaptive (co-)management from a governance perspective and defining a research agenda. Ecol. Soc. 2009, 14, 1–19, doi:10.5751/ES-02827-140126.

86. Mirzaei, A.; Knierim, A.; Nahavand, S.F.; Mahmoudi, H. Gap analysis of water governance in Northern Iran: A closer look into the water reservoirs. Environ. Sci. Policy 2017, 77, 98–106, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2017.08.004.

87. Khabar Online. Massoud Tajrishy: Urmia Lake is in the restoration process, with an unstable condition. Khabar Online News Agency, April 13, 2021. (In Farsi).

88. ISNA. Urmia Lake and Reconstructing Half-Finished Dams. Iran. Student's News Agency, Aug 19, 2020. (In Farsi).

89. KhabarOnline Massoud Tajrishy: The ministry of energy has closed the waterway; Urmia Lake will dry up in five years. Khabar Online News Agency, April 20, 2021. (In Farsi).

90. Terhalle, M. Revolutionary Power and Socialization: Explaining the Persistence of Revolutionary Zeal in Iran's Foreign Policy. Secur. Stud. 2009, 18, 557–586, doi:10.1080/09636410903133076.

91. Rakel, E.P. Iranian Foreign Policy since the Iranian Islamic Revolution: 1979–2006. Perspect. Glob. Dev. Technol. 2007, 6, 159–187, doi:10.1163/156914907X207711.

92. Nia, M. Title: A Holistic Constructivist Approach to Iran's Foreign Policy. Int. J. Bus. Soc. Sci. 2011, 2, 279–294.

93. Warnaar, M. Iranian Foreign Policy during Ahmadinejad: Ideology and Actions; Palgrave Macmillan: New York, NY, USA, 2013.

94. Shahi, A. Drought: The Achilles heel of the Islamic republic of Iran. Asian Aff. 2019, 50, 18–39, doi:10.1080/03068374.2019.1567100.

95. Crane, K.; Lal, R.; Martin, J. Iran's Political, Demographic, and Economic Vulnerabilities; The RAND Corporation: Santa Monica, CA, USA, 2008.

96. Deutsche Welle. "Youth of the Population" legislation was notified to the Deputy of Women's Affairs. Deutsche Welle News Agency, Nov 15, 2021. (In Farsi).

97. Mahmoodi, K.; Mohammadpur, A.; Rezaei, M. A discourse analysis of population policies in the context of politics in Iran. Qual. Quant. 2014, 49, 1883–1895, doi:10.1007/S11135-014-0080-1.

98. Marjanizadeh, S.; Fraiture, C.; Loiskandl, W. Food and water scenarios for the Karkheh River Basin, Iran. Water Int. 2010, 35, 409–424, doi:10.1080/02508060.2010.506263.

99. Hakimian, H. Institutional Change, Policy Challenges, and Macroeconomic Performance: Case Study of the Islamic Republic of Iran (1979–2004); Commission on Growth and Development; Working Paper 26; World Bank Group: Washington, DC, USA, 2008.

100. Golian, S.; Mazdiyasni, O.; AghaKouchak, A. Trends in meteorological and agricultural droughts in Iran. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 2015, 119, 679–688, doi:10.1007/s00704-014-1139-6.

101. Barlow, M.; Zaitchik, B.; Paz, S.; Black, E.; Evans, J.; Hoell, A. A review of drought in the Middle East and southwest Asia. J. Clim. 2016, 29, 8547–8574, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00692.1.

102. Purvis, L.; Dinar, A. Are intra- and inter-basin water transfers a sustainable policy intervention for addressing water scarcity? Water Secur. 2020, 9, 100058, doi:10.1016/J.WASEC.2019.100058.

103. Choudury, E.; Islam, S. Complexity of Transboundary Water Conflicts: Enabling Conditions for Negotiating Contingent Resolutions; Anthem Press: London, UK, 2018.

104. Cahan, J.A. Water Security in the Middle East: Essays in Scientific and Social Cooperation; Anthem Press: Kolkata, India, 2017, doi:10.26530/oapen_626411.

105. IRNA. Operation of the first phase of the desalinated water transfer line to the Central Plateau of Iran. IRNA News Agency 2020. Available online: https://www.irna.ir/news/84098852 (accessed on Nov 5, 2020). (In Farsi)

AGU - iPosterSessions.com (agu-vm-0)

106. Susskind, L.E. The Political and Cultural Dimensions of Water Diplomacy in the Middle East. In Water Security in the Middle East Essays in Scientific and Social Cooperation; Cahan, J.A., Ed.; Anthem Press: Kolkata, India, 2017; p. 185.

107. Madani, K. How International Economic Sanctions Harm the Environment. Earth's Futur. 2020, 8, e2020EF001829, doi:10.1029/2020ef001829.

108. Fotourehchi, Z. Are UN and US economic sanctions a cause or cure for the environment: Empirical evidence from Iran. Environ. Dev. Sustain. 2020, 22, 5483–5501, doi:10.1007/S10668-019-00434-0.

109. Madani, K.; Dinar, A. Non-cooperative institutions for sustainable common pool resource management: Application to groundwater. Ecol. Econ. 2012, 74, 34–45, doi:10.1016/J.ECOLECON.2011.12.006.

110. Madani, K. Have International Sanctions Impacted Iran's Environment? World 2021, 2, 231-252, doi:10.3390/world2020015.

111. Radio Farda. Deputy Head of Department of Environment on the Removal of the Requirements of Diesel Vehicles Filters: Sanctions are an Excuse. RadioFarda 2019. Available online: https://www.radiofarda.com/a/30174943.html? fbclid=IwAR0RGzumhnCasoPOhrxk14M5QbCTu8H03xEpmFx4ZpjdMCLkl-Zlq3MLzjw (accessed on Sep 20, 2019). (in Farsi).

112. Schwartzstein, P. How Iran is destroying its once thriving environmental movement. National Geographic Magazine 12 November 2020.

113. Goldenberg, I.; Rosenberg, E.; Golov, A.; Heras, N.A.; Maruyama, E.; Hellman, A. After the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action: A Game Plan for the United States; Center for a New American Security: Washington, DC, USA, 2015.

114. Doyle, T.; Simpson, A. Traversing more than speed bumps: Green politics under authoritarian regimes in Burma and Iran. Env. Polit. 2007, 15, 750–767, doi:10.1080/09644010600937199.

115. IEG Iran-Northern Cities Water Supply & Sanitation Project; The World Bank Group: Washington, DC, USA, 2013.

116. Plus Irna. Has the World Bank allocated funds missed? Islam. Repub. News Agency, Dec 28, 2019. (in Farsi).

117. TheIranDataPortal. The Iran Data Portal. 2021. Available online: https://irandataportal.syr.edu/ (accessed on).

118. Katouzian, M.A. Oil Versus Agriculture a Case of Dual Resource Depletion in Iran. J. Peasant Stud. 1978, 5, 347–369, doi:10.1080/03066157808438052.

119. Bjorvatn, K.; Farzanegan, M.R.; Schneider, F. Resource Curse and Power Balance: Evidence from Iran. Rev. Middle East Econ. Financ. 2013, 9, 133–158, doi:10.1515/rmeef-2012-0029.

120. Farzanegan, M.R.; Krieger, T. Oil booms and inequality in Iran. Rev. Dev. Econ. 2019, 23, 830-859, doi:10.1111/rode.12569.

121. Adger, W.N. Social and ecological resilience: Are they related? Prog. Hum. Geogr. 2000, 24, 347–364, doi:10.1191/030913200701540465.

122. Araral, E.; Wang, Y. Water Governance 2.0: A Review and Second Generation Research Agenda. Water Resour. Manag. 2013, 27, 3945–3957, doi:10.1007/S11269-013-0389-X.

123. Newig, J.; Challies, E.; Cotta, B.; Lenschow, A.; Schilling-Vacaflor, A. Governing global telecoupling toward environmental sustainability. Ecol. Soc. 2020, 25, 1–17, doi:10.5751/ES-11844-250421.

124. Yu, Y.; Feng, K.; Hubacek, K. Tele-connecting local consumption to global land use. Glob. Environ. Chang. 2013, 23, 1178–1186, doi:10.1016/J.GLOENVCHA.2013.04.006.

125. Deines, J.M.; Liu, X.; Liu, J. Telecoupling in urban water systems: An examination of Beijing's imported water supply. Water Int. 2015, 41, 251–270, doi:10.1080/02508060.2015.1113485.

126. Mehr News. Planning Water Transfer from Vanak-Solgan to Rafsanjan. Mehr News Agency 2021. Available online: https://www.mehrnews.com/news/5243012/, June 24, 2021. (In Farsi)

127. Shargh. Transfer of Vanak Water to the Rafsanjan Pistachio Orchards. Shargh Daily Newspaper 2017. Available online: https://www.pishkhan.com/news/54957 (Nov 20, 2017). (In Farsi)

128. Ahern, J. From fail-safe to safe-to-fail: Sustainability and resilience in the new urban world. Landsc. Urban Plan. 2011, 100, 341–343, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.02.021.

129. Ward, H. Liberal democracy and sustainability. Env. Polit. 2008, 17, 386–409, doi:10.1080/09644010802055626.

130. Madani, K. The value of extreme events: What doesn't exterminate your water system makes it more resilient. J. Hydrol. 2019, 575, 269–272.

131. Duit, A.; Feindt, P.H.; Meadowcroft, J. Greening Leviathan: The rise of the environmental state? Env. Polit. 2016, 25, 1–23, doi:10.1080/09644016.2015.1085218.

132. Khazaei, B.; Khatami, S.; Alemohammad, S.H.; Rashidi, L.; Wu, C.; Madani, K.; Kalantari, Z.; Destouni, G.; Aghakouchak, A. Climatic or regionally induced by humans? Tracing hydro-climatic and land-use changes to better understand the Lake Urmia tragedy. J. Hydrol. 2019, 569, 203–217, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.12.004.

133. Jalili, S.; Hamidi, S.A.; Ghanbari, R.N. Climate variability and anthropogenic effects on Lake Urmia water level fluctuations, northwestern Iran. Hydrol. Sci. J. 2016, 61, 1759–1769, doi:10.1080/02626667.2015.1036757.

134. Ghale, Y.A.G.; Altunkaynak, A.; Unal, A. Investigation Anthropogenic Impacts and Climate Factors on Drying up of Urmia Lake using Water Budget and Drought Analysis. Water Resour. Manag. 2017, 32, 325–337, doi:10.1007/S11269-017-1812-5.

135. Shadkam, S.; Ludwig, F.; van Oel, P.; Kirmit, Ç.; Kabat, P. Impacts of climate change and water resources development on the declining inflow into Iran's Urmia Lake. J. Great Lakes Res. 2016, 42, 942–952, doi:10.1016/J.JGLR.2016.07.033.

136. Chaudhari, S.; Felfelani, F.; Shin, S.; Pokhrel, Y. Climate and anthropogenic contributions to the desiccation of the second largest saline lake in the twentieth century. J. Hydrol. 2018, 560, 342–353, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.03.034.

137. Ashraf, B.; Aghakouchak, A.; Alizadeh, A.; Mousavi Baygi, M. Anthropogenic impacts on hydrology of Karkheh River Basin. In AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts; American Geophysical Union: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2015; p. H43E-1546.

138. Savenije, H.H.G.; van der Zaag, P. Water as an Economic Good and Demand Management Paradigms with Pitfalls. Water Int. 2009, 27, 98–104, doi:10.1080/02508060208686982.

139. Islam, S.; Susskind, L.E. Water Diplomacy: A Negotiated Approach to Managing Complex Water Networks; Routledge Taylor and Francis Group: Oxfordshire, UK, 2012.

140. Difrancesco, K.N.; Tullos, D.D. Flexibility in Water Resources Management: Review of Concepts and Development of Assessment Measures for Flood Management Systems. J. Am. Water Resour. Assoc. 2014, 50, 1527–1539, doi:10.1111/jawr.12214.

141. Micklin, P. The past, present, and future Aral Sea. Lakes Reserv. Res. Manag. 2010, 15, 193–213, doi:10.1111/j.1440-1770.2010.00437.x.

142. Ghalibaf, M.B.; Moussavi, Z. Development and Environment in Urmia Lake of Iran. Eur. J. Sustain. Dev. 2014, 3, 219, doi:10.14207/EJSD.2014.V3N3P219.

143. Salimi, J.; Maknoon, R.; Meijerink, S. Designing institutions for watershed management: A case study of the Urmia Lake Restoration National Committee. Water Altern. 2019, 12, 609–635.

144. Dietz, M.E. Low impact development practices: A review of current research and recommendations for future directions. Water. Air. Soil Pollut. 2007, 186, 351–363.

145. Van der Heijden, J. Governance for Urban Sustainability and Resilience: Responding to Climate Change and the Relevance of the Built Environment; Edward Elgar Publishing: Cheltenham, UK, 2014.