# An Analytical Model for the Meridional Gradient in CO2 Forcing

Nadir Jeevanjee<sup>1</sup>, Jacob Seeley<sup>2</sup>, David Paynter<sup>3</sup>, and Stephan Fueglistaler<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Princeton University <sup>2</sup>University of California Berkeley <sup>3</sup>NOAA GFD Lab-Princeton University

November 23, 2022

### Abstract

Meridional gradients in CO2 forcing are known to drive increases in poleward heat transport (Huang and Zhang 2014, Huang et al. 2017). However, the climate factors which control these meridional forcing gradients are not well understood. Building upon the work of Wilson (2012), we build a first-principles, analytical model for CO2 forcing which requires as input only the temperatures at the surface and roughly 30 hPa, as well as column relative humidity. This model quantitatively captures global variations in clear-sky CO2 forcing, and shows that the meridional forcing gradient is directly attributable to the meridional surface temperature gradient.



# Heterogenous CO<sub>2</sub> forcing from surface-stratosphere temperature contrast

Nadir Jeevanjee<sup>1,2</sup>, Jacob T. Seeley<sup>3</sup>, David Paynter<sup>2</sup>, Stephan Fueglistaler<sup>1</sup> 1. Dept. of Geosciences, Princeton University 2.NOAA/GFDL 3. Dept. of Earth and Planetary Sciences, UC Berkeley



## Introduction

 $CO_2$  forcing varies significantly over the globe, with a strong meridional gradient as well as zonal variations, even in clear-skies (see also Huang 2016):



Fig. above: 4X CO\_2 foricing, evaluated for a March 1981 snapshot of an AM3 historical run, as calculated line-by-line using RFM (Dudhia 2016).

**Research Question:** What physics governs these variations? Can we emulate them with a simple model?



**Step 2.** Calculate optical depth and find emission levels, i.e. levels of unit optical depth, denoted  $p_1(k)$ :

$$\tau_k(p) = \kappa(k) \int_0^p \frac{p'}{p_s} q \frac{dp'}{g} = \kappa(k) \frac{qp^2}{2gp_s}$$

$$\implies p_1(k) = \underbrace{\sqrt{\frac{2gp_s}{q\kappa_0}}}_{p_0(q)} \exp\left(\frac{|k-k_0|}{2l_k}\right)$$

### Theory

Step 3. Construct a picture for  $\mbox{CO}_2$  forcing

All orange emission levels exist for both 1x and  $4x \text{ CO}_2$ . So only change in emission with  $4X \text{ CO}_2$  is loss of some surface emission (red) and addition of new stratospheric emission (blue).

 $\Rightarrow$  CO\_2 forcing only depends on surface-stratosphere temperature contrast!



#### Step 4. Use the above accounting to estimate



Only inputs are surface and stratosphere temperatures!

## **Conclusions + References**

- We develop a picture for CO<sub>2</sub> forcing based upon the simplified spectroscopy of Wilson (2012).
- The resulting formula is a function of surfacestratosphere temperature contrast only. It predicts spatial variations in CO<sub>2</sub> forcing remarkably well.
- These spatial variations are are driven by spatial variations in surface temperature. Water vapor strongly dampens the meridional forcing gradient.

#### References

- Dudhia, The Reference Forward Model (RFM), JQSRT 2016
  Wilson and Gea-Banacloche, Simple model to estimate the
- contribution of atmospheric CO2 to the Earth's greenhouse effect, Am. J. Phys. 2012 Huang et al., Inhomogeneous radiative forcing of
- homogeneous greenhouse gases, JGR 2016

# Validation for CO<sub>2</sub> only





- The simple formula reproduces clearsky CO<sub>2</sub>-only forcing remarkably well (figure above)
- The spatial heterogeneity in forcing is driven by surface temperature variations (figure left)

Future work – H<sub>2</sub>O effects

Wilson 2012



- Figure above shows that effect of H<sub>2</sub>O on CO<sub>2</sub> forcing is signifcant, particularly in tropics where large H<sub>2</sub>O path lengths means that H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> bands overlap
- Meridional gradient in  $\mbox{CO}_2$  forcing strongly dampened by  $\mbox{H}_2\mbox{O}$
- Step 5: Elaborate on simple model to account for this